arXiv:1410.1846v4 [physics.gen-ph] 1 Sep 2015

The Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory and Modern Physics A Novel Approach

M. W. Kalinowski
Pracownia Bioinformatyki, Instytut Medycyny Doświadczalnej i Klinicznej PAN,
ul. Pawińskiego 5, 02-106 Warszawa, Poland
e-mail: markwkal@bioexploratorium.pl, mkalinowski@imdik.pan.pl

September 2, 2015

To the memory of my teacher Professor Stanisław Szpikowski

Abstract

We consider in the paper the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory finding a condition for a color confinement in the theory. We consider also a Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in this theory. The Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism is examined. We find a mass spectrum for a broken gauge bosons and Higgs' particles. We derive a generalization of Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in the presence of Higgs' field. A new term in the equation is a generalization of a Lorentz force term for a Higgs' field. We consider also a bosonic part of GSW (Glashow–Salam–Weinberg) model in our theory, getting masses for W, Z bosons and for a Higgs' boson agreed with an experiment. We consider Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in GSW model obtaining some additional charges coupled to Higgs' field.

Introduction

In this paper we consider the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory in a non-Abelian case and the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with Higgs' mechanism and spontaneous symmetry breaking in a new setting. The paper gives a comprehensive review of a subject with many new features which are shortly summarized at the end of the introduction. Moreover, it cannot be considered as a review paper because it contains new achievements in this rapidly developing subject.

The subject of the paper is specialized of course, but it could be very interesting for a wide audience because geometrization and unification of fundamental physical interactions are very interesting. This idea gives a justification for some phenomenological theories which are completely arbitrary. There is no physics without mathematics, especially without geometry—differential geometry. Even Maxwell–Lorentz electrodynamics happens *post factum* geometrized in fibre bundle formalism. In the case of ordinary Kaluza–Klein Theory the geometrization and unification have been achieved. Unfortunately, without "interference effects". We consider in the paper some additional versions of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory. In particular, except of a real version we consider also Nonsymmetric Hermitian Theory in two realizations, complex and hypercomplex. They are natural (Hermitian) metrization of a fiber bundle over a space-time. The

nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein (Jordan–Thiry) Theory (a real version) has been developed in the past (see Refs [1]–[5]). The theory unifies gravitational theory described by NGT (Nonsymmetric Gravitational Theory, see Ref. [6]) and Yang–Mills' fields (also electromagnetic field). In the case of the Nonsymmetric Jordan–Thiry Theory this theory includes scalar field. The Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory can be obtained from the Nonsymmetric Jordan–Thiry Theory by simply putting this scalar field to zero. In this way it is a limit of the Nonsymmetric Jordan–Thiry Theory.

The Nonsymmetric Jordan–Thiry Theory has several physical applications in cosmology, e.g.: (1) cosmological constant, (2) inflation, (3) quintessence, and some possible relations to the dark matter problem. There is also a possibility to apply this theory to an anomalous acceleration problem of Pioneer 10/11 (see Refs [7], [8]).

In this paper a scalar field $\Psi = 0$ ($\rho = 1$). Moreover, the extension to Jordan–Thiry Theory in any nonsymmetric version is still possible and will be done elsewhere. The scalar field can play a role as a dark matter—quintessence with weak interactions with ordinary matter. On the classical level, this is only a gravitational interaction with the possibility to change a strength of gravitational interaction via a change of gravitational constant. On a quantum level due to an excitation of a quantum vacuum a very weak nongravitational interaction with ordinary matter is possible, i.e. a scattering of scalarons with ordinary matter particles and also a scattering of skewons with those particles.

The theory unifies gravity with gauge fields in a nontrivial way via geometrical unifications of two fundamental invariance principles in Physics: (1) the coordinate invariance principle, (2) the gauge invariance principle. Unification on the level of invariance principles is more important and deeper than on the level of interactions for from invariance principles we get conservation laws (via the Noether theorem). In some sense Kaluza–Klein theory unifies the energy-momentum conservation law with the conservation of a color (isotopic) charge (an electric charge in an electromagnetic case).

Let us notice that an idea of geometrization and simultaneously unification of fundamental interactions is quite old. GR is 100 years old and Kaluza–Klein Theory is almost 100 years old. Both ideas: a geometrization of physical interactions and a unification are well established contemporarily.

This unification has been achieved in higher than four-dimensional world, i.e. $(n+4)$-dimensional, where $n = \dim G$, G is a gauge group for a Yang–Mills' field, which is a semisimple Lie group (non-Abelian). In an electromagnetic case we have $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$ and a unification is in 5-dimensional world (see also [9]). The unification has been achieved via a natural nonsymmetric metrization of a fiber bundle. This metrization is right-invariant with respect to an action of a group G. We present also an Hermitian metrization of a fiber bundle in two versions: complex and hypercomplex. The connection on a fiber bundle of frames over a manifold P (a bundle manifold) is compatible with a metric tensor (nonsymmetric or Hermitian in complex or hypercomplex version). In the case of $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$ the geometrical structure is biinvariant with respect to an action of U(1), in a general non-Abelian case this is only right-invariant.

In the paper we do not mention some "modern" Kaluza–Klein developments for the reason described in Conclusion of Ref. [9] which we do not repeat here.

Let us notice the following fact. We use a notion of a nonsymmetric metric as an abuse of nomination for a metric is always symmetric. This will not cause any misunderstanding. It is similar to an abuse of nomination in the case of Minkowski metric in Special Relativity for a

metric is always positive definite.

The unification is nontrivial for we can get some additional effects unknown in conventional theories of gravity and gauge fields (Yang–Mills' or electromagnetic field). All of these effects, which we call *interference effects* between gravity and gauge fields are testable in principle in experiment or an observation. The formalism of this unification has been described in Refs [1]–[5], [9] (without Hermitian versions).

The theory considered here is non-Abelian and even if there are some formulations similar to those from Ref. [9] one should remember that the theory described in Ref. [9] is an Abelian theory with U(1) group. The difference is profound not only because a higher level of mathematical calculations but also because of completely new features which appear in a non-Abelian theory. If we can use similar formulations as in Ref. [9] it means that a geometrical language is correct to describe a physical reality.

It is possible to extend the Nonsymmetric (non-Abelian) Kaluza–Klein Theory to the case of a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism (see Ref. [1]) by a nontrivial combination of Kaluza principle (Kaluza miracle) with dimensional reduction procedure. This consists in an extension of a base manifold of a principal fiber bundle from E (a space-time) to $V = E \times M$, where $M = G/G_0$ is a manifold of classical vacuum states.

In this paper we consider a condition for a color confinement in the theory. We solve the constraints in the case of non-Abelian Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory getting an exact form of an induction tensor for Yang–Mills' fields in the theory. We find a formula for a non-Abelian charge in the theory in comparison to 4-momentum in gravitation theory. We derive the Lagrangian for Yang–Mills' field and an energy-momentum tensor in terms of $H^a_{\mu\nu}$ only. We consider also Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with Higgs' fields and spontaneous symmetry breaking. We solve constraints in the theory getting Lagrangian for Yang–Mills' field, kinetic energy Lagrangian for a Higgs field and Higgs potential in terms of gauge fields and Higgs fields only. We derive pattern of masses for a massive intermediate bosons and Higgs' particles. We derive also a generalization of Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation for a test particle. In such an equation there is a new charge for a test particle which couples a Higgs' field to the particle. This is similar to a Lorentz force term in an electromagnetic case. This term is also similar to a new term coupled a Yang–Mills' field to a test particle via a color (isotopic) charge in ordinary Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation (see Ref. [3]).

The Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory is an example of the geometrization of fundamental interaction (described by Yang–Mills' and Higgs' fields) and gravitation according to the Einstein program for geometrization of gravitational and electromagnetic interactions. It means an example to create a Unified Field Theory. In the Einstein program we have to do with electromagnetism and gravity only. Now we have to do with more degrees of freedom, unknown in Einstein times, i.e. GSW (Glashow–Salam–Weinberg) model, QCD, Higgs' fields, GUT (Grand Unified Theories). Moreover, the program seems to be the same.

We can paraphrase the definition from Ref. [10]: *Unified Field Theory: any theory which attemps to express gravitational theory and fundamental interactions theories within a single unified framework. Usually an attempt to generalize Einstein's general theory of relativity alone to a theory of gravity and classical theories describing fundamental interactions.* In our case this single unified framework is a multidimensional analogue of geometry from Einstein Unified Field Theory (treated as generalized gravity) defined on principal fiber bundles with base manifolds: E or $E \times V$ and structural groups G or H. Thus the definition from an old dictionary (paraphrased

by us) is still valid.

Summing up, Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory connects old ideas of unitary field theories (unified field theories, see Refs [11, 12] for a review) with modern applications. This is a geometrization and unification of a bosonic part of four fundamental interactions.

The paper has been divided into four sections. In the first section we give some elements of geometry used in the paper. In the second section we give some elements of the Nonsymmetric (non-Abelian) Kaluza–Klein Theory in some new setting. We give also a condition for the dielectric confinement of a color charge. We consider in details a non-Abelian charge, color charge in static situations. We consider two versions of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory: 1. the real version and 2. the Hermitian version (complex and hypercomplex). We shortly present the second version. In the third section we give some elements of Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism. In this section we consider also two versions of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory (real and Hermitian, complex and hypercomplex). We derive a pattern of masses for broken intermediate bosons and Higgs' bosons. We write down a generalization of Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in this case, getting a coupling of Higgs' field to a test particle. In other words, we derive an analogue of a Lorentz force term for a Higgs' field.

In the fourth section a bosonic part of GSW (Glashow–Salam–Weinberg) model, according to Manton (6-dimensional model) has been extended to the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory. We get a realistic pattern of masses of $W^{\pm}$, Z^0 and Higgs' boson. In particular, we get a mass of a Higgs boson agreed with an experiment, which is impossible in a pure Manton model. We have as before the $G2$ exceptional group as a unification group with a bare Weinberg angle $\theta_W = 30^{\circ}$ ($\sin^2\theta_W = 0.25$). We apply here the Hermitian version of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory, i.e. Nonsymmetric Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory. In the simplest case with $\xi = 0$ and $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ (in Minkowski space) we calculate a small deviation δ of a bare Weinberg angle (equal to $\frac{\pi}{6}$) as a 1-loop and 2-loop corrections using a Δr (or ΔR) theory known in literature. In Appendix A we give some details of calculations concerning solutions of constraints in the theory.

In Appendix B we give some elements of Manton model in a connection to our approach. In Appendix C we consider the Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in GSW model. We derive some explicit influence of new charges coupled to Higgs' field (from the SM model) on a movement of a test particle. The existence of those new charges and their influence on a test particle movement can be tested in experiment. In Appendix D we give formulas for interactions between gravity and Higgs' field and Yang–Mills' fields in Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory in an application to bosonic part of GSW model. These are "interference effects" between nonsymmetric gravity and GSW model in a unified theory. They can be considered as effects of unification. In Appendix E we calculate a correction δ to a Weinberg angle (equal to $\frac{\pi}{6}$) as radiation corrections to a bare angle using Δr theory.

In Conclusions we give some prospects for further research, in particular, how to treat fermions in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with a spontaneous symmetry breaking and we give a sketch of a program of quantization of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory.

Summing up, the paper contains many novel features (without repetition of heavy calculations from Refs [1]–[5], [9]:

1. Hermitian versions (complex and hypercomplex) in the case of U(1) and a general non-Abelian semisimple group G also in the case with spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism.

2. Solutions of constraints appearing in the theory (also in all considered versions).

3. Detailed calculations of a classical dielectric model of confinement of color (a non-Abelian gauge charge).

4. Spectrum of masses for broken gauge bosons and scalar (Higgs') particles in a general case.

5. An application to bosonic part of GSW model, where we get masses for $W^{\pm}$, Z^0 and Higgs' boson agreed with experiment. In the last case this is possible only for an Hermitian complex version on S^2 and invokes some new research connecting the theory to Kählerian structures.

6. A Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in GSW model with some additional charges coupled a test particle in a motion to Higgs' field (this one from the Standard Model).

7. Additional (non-classical) interaction of a Higgs' field (from the Standard Model) with gravity (described by NGT) and also additional Higgs' phenomena in SM.

8. A possibility to tune a cosmological constant to the value obtained from observational data.

For we have not any traces of GUT or supersymmetry from LHC results we do not consider extensions of our Kaluza–Klein Theory in these directions. Thus we stop (temporarily) on 20-dimensional unification of electro-weak interactions (a bosonic part) and nonsymmetric gravity (NGT) and on 12-dimensional unifications of strong interactions (a bosonic part of QCD) with nonsymmetric gravity (NGT). The inclusion of fermions is under consideration and the work is in progress together with an approach to quantization.

From technical point of view we get also some additional results:

9. An exact formula (a covariant one) for $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $L^{a\mu\nu}$ (an induction tensor).

10. A Lagrangian for a Yang–Mills's field in terms of $H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ only.

11. An exact formula (a covariant one) for a torsion in higher dimension $Q^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ with an interpretation as a polarization of gauge field induced by $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ℓ_{ab}.

12. In the case of spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism, i.e. for a Kaluza–Klein Theory with a dimensional reduction we get analogous formulas for $L^{a}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{b}}$, $L^{a}{}_{\mu\tilde{b}}$ in terms of a Higgs' field $\Phi^{a}_{\tilde{a}}$ and a covariant derivative $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\Phi^{a}_{\tilde{a}}$ of the field. Those formulas are covariant. We get also similar interpretations of *exact formulas* for torsion in higher dimensions.

Let us notice that we consider geodetic equations with respect to Levi-Civita connection generated by a symmetric part of any nonsymmetric tensor on P as equations of motion from a variational principle.

1 Elements of geometry

Let us now describe the notation and definitions of geometric quantities used in the paper. We use a smooth principal bundle which is an ordered sequence

$$\underline{P} = (P, F, G, E, \pi), \tag{1.1}$$

where P is a total bundle manifold, F is typical fibre, G, a Lie group, is a structural group, E is a base manifold and π is a projection. In our case $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$, E is a space-time, $\pi : P \to E$. We have a map $\varphi : P \times G \to P$ defining an action of G on P. Let $a, b \in G$ and ε be a unit element of the group G, then $\varphi(a) \circ \varphi(b) = \varphi(ba)$, $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \mathrm{id}$, where $\varphi(a)p = \varphi(p, a)$. Moreover, $\pi \circ \varphi(a) = \pi$. For any open set $U \subset E$ we have a local trivialization $U \times G \simeq \pi^{-1}(U)$. For any $x \in E$, $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) = F_x \simeq G$, F_x is a fibre over x and is equal to F. In our case we suppose $G = F$, i.e. a Lie group G is a typical fibre. ω is a 1-form of connection on P with values in the algebra of G, $\mathfrak{G}$. Let $\varphi'(a)$ be a tangent map to $\varphi(a)$ whereas $\varphi^*(a)$ is the contragradient to $\varphi'(a)$ at a point a. The form ω is a form of ad-type, i.e.

$$\varphi^*(a)\omega = \mathrm{ad}'_{a^{-1}}\,\omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathrm{ad}'_{a^{-1}}$ is a tangent map to the internal automorphism of the group G

$$\mathrm{ad}_a(b) = aba^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

We may introduce the distribution (field) of linear elements H_r, $r \in P$, where $H_r \subset T_r(P)$ is a subspace of the space tangent to P at a point r and

$$v \in H_r \iff \omega_r(v) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

So

$$T_r(P) = V_r \oplus H_r, \tag{1.5}$$

where H_r is called a subspace of *horizontal* vectors and V_r of *vertical* vectors. For vertical vectors $v \in V_r$ we have $\pi'(v) = 0$. This means that v is tangent to the fibres.

Let

$$v = \mathrm{hor}(v) + \mathrm{ver}(v), \quad \mathrm{hor}(v) \in H,\ \mathrm{ver}(v) \in V_r. \tag{1.6}$$

It is proved that the distribution H_r is equal to choosing a connection ω. We use the operation hor for forms, i.e.

$$(\mathrm{hor}\,\beta)(X, Y) = \beta(\mathrm{hor}\,X, \mathrm{hor}\,Y), \tag{1.7}$$

where $X, Y \in T(P)$.

The 2-form of a curvature is defined as follows

$$\Omega = \mathrm{hor}\,d\omega = D\omega, \tag{1.8}$$

where D means an exterior covariant derivative with respect to ω. This form is also of ad-type.

For Ω the structural Cartant equation is valid

$$\Omega = d\omega + \tfrac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega], \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$[\omega, \omega](X, Y) = [\omega(X), \omega(Y)]. \tag{1.10}$$

Bianchi's identity for ω is as follows

$$D\Omega = \mathrm{hor}\,d\Omega = 0. \tag{1.11}$$

The map $f : E \supset U \to P$ such that $f \circ \pi = \mathrm{id}$ is called a *section* (U is an open set).

From physical point of view it means choosing a gauge. A covariant derivative on P is defined as follows

$$D\Psi = \mathrm{hor}\, d\Psi. \tag{1.12}$$

This derivative is called a *gauge derivative*. Ψ can be a spinor field on P.

In this paper we use also a linear connection on manifolds E and P, using the formalism of differential forms. So the basic quantity is a one-form of the connection $\omega^A{}_B$. The 2-form of curvature is as follows

$$\Omega^A{}_B = d\omega^A{}_B + \omega^A{}_C \wedge \omega^C{}_B \tag{1.13}$$

and the two-form of torsion is

$$\Theta^A = D\theta^A, \tag{1.14}$$

where θ^A are basic forms and D means exterior covariant derivative with respect to connection $\omega^A{}_B$. The following relations are established connections with generally met symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^A{}_B &= \Gamma^A{}_{BC}\theta^C \\ \Theta^A &= \tfrac{1}{2}Q^A{}_{BC}\theta^B \wedge \theta^C \\ Q^A{}_{BC} &= \Gamma^A{}_{BC} - \Gamma^A{}_{CB} \\ \Omega^A{}_B &= \tfrac{1}{2}R^A{}_{BCD}\theta^C \wedge \theta^D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where $\Gamma^A{}_{BC}$ are coefficients of connection (they do not have to be symmetr in indices B and C), $R^A{}_{BCD}$ is a tensor of a curvature, $Q^A{}_{BC}$ is a tensor of a torsion in a holonomic frame. Covariant exterior derivation with respect to $\omega^A{}_B$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D\Xi^A &= d\Xi^A + \omega^A{}_C \wedge \Xi^C \\ D\Sigma^A{}_B &= d\Sigma^A{}_B + \omega^A{}_C \wedge \Sigma^C{}_B - \omega^C{}_B \wedge \Sigma^A{}_C. \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

The forms of a curvature $\Omega^A{}_B$ and torsion Θ^A obey Bianchi's identities

$$\begin{aligned} D\Omega^A{}_B &= 0 \\ D\Theta^A &= \Omega^A{}_B \wedge \theta^B. \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

All quantities introduced here can be found in Ref. [13].

In this paper we use a formalism of a fibre bundle over a space-time E with an electromagnetic connection α and traditional formalism of differential geometry for linear connections on E and P. In order to simplify the notation we do not use fibre bundle formalism of frames over E and P. A vocabulary connected geometrical quantities and gauge fields (Yang–Mills fields) can be found in Ref. [14].

In Ref. [15] we have also a similar vocabulary (see Table I, Translation of terminology). Moreover, we consider a little different terminology. First of all we distinguished between a gauge potential and a connection on a fibre bundle. In our terminology a gauge potential $A_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu$ is in a particular gauge e (a section of a bundle), i.e.

$$A_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu = e^* \omega \tag{1.18}$$

where $A_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu$ is a 1-form defined on E with values in a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ of G. In the case of a strength of a gauge field we have similarly

$$\tfrac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\mu \wedge \overline{\theta}^\nu = e^* \Omega \tag{1.19}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\mu \wedge \overline{\theta}^\nu$ is a 2-form defined on E with values in a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ of G.

Using generators of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ of G we get

$$A = A^a{}_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu X_a = e^* \omega \quad \text{and} \quad F = \tfrac{1}{2} F^a{}_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\mu \wedge \overline{\theta}^\nu X_a = e^* \Omega \tag{1.20}$$

where

$$[X_a, X_b] = C^c{}_{ab} X_c, \quad a, b, c = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \ n = \dim G (= \dim \mathfrak{G}), \tag{1.21}$$

are generators of $\mathfrak{G}$, $C^c{}_{ab}$ are structure constants of a Lie algebra of G, $\mathfrak{G}$, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a commutator of Lie algebra elements.

In this paper we are using Latin lower case letters for 3-dimensional space indices. Here we are using Latin lower case letters as Lie algebra indices. It does not result in any misunderstanding.

$$F^a{}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a{}_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a{}_\mu + C^a{}_{bc} A^b{}_\mu A^c{}_\nu. \tag{1.22}$$

In the case of an electromagnetic connection α the field strength F does not depend on gauge (i.e. on a section of a bundle).

Finally it is convenient to connect our approach using gauge potentials $A^a{}_\mu$ with usually met (see Ref. [16]) matrix valued gauge quantities A_μ and $F_{\mu\nu}$. It is easy to see how to do it if we consider Lie algebra generators X_a as matrices. Usually one supposes that X_a are matrices of an adjoint representation of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$, T^a with a normalization condition

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\{T^a, T^b\}) = 2\delta^{ab}, \tag{1.23}$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ means anticommutator in an adjoint representation.

In this way

$$A_\mu = A^a{}_\mu T^a, \tag{1.24}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F^a{}_{\mu\nu} T^a. \tag{1.25}$$

One can easily see that if we take

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu] \tag{1.26}$$

from Ref. [16] we get

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (F^a{}_{\mu\nu}) T^a, \tag{1.27}$$

where $F^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by (1.22). From the other side if we take a section f, $f : U \to P$, $U \subset E$, and corresponding to it

$$\overline{A} = \overline{A}^a{}_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu X_a = f^* \omega \tag{1.28}$$

$$\overline{F} = \tfrac{1}{2} \overline{F}^a{}_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\mu \wedge \overline{\theta}^\nu X_a = f^* \Omega \tag{1.29}$$

and consider both sections e and f we get transformation from $A^a{}_\mu$ to $\overline{A}^a{}_\mu$ and from $F^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ to $\overline{F}^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ in the following way. For every $x \in U \subset E$ there is an element $g(x) \in G$ such that

$$f(x) = e(x) g(x) = \varphi(e(x), g(x)). \tag{1.30}$$

Due to (1.2) one gets

$$\overline{A}(x) = \mathrm{ad}'_{g^{-1}(x)}\, A(x) + g^{-1}(x)\, dg(x) \tag{1.31}$$

$$\overline{F}(x) = \mathrm{ad}'_{g^{-1}(x)}\, F(x) \tag{1.32}$$

where $\overline{A}(x), \overline{F}(x)$ are defined by (1.28)–(1.29) and $A(x), F(x)$ by (1.20). The formulae (1.31)–(1.32) give a geometrical meaning of a gauge transformation (see Ref. [14]). In an electromagnetic case $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$ we have similarly, if we change a local section from e to f we get

$$f(x) = \varphi(e(x), \exp(i\chi(x))) \quad (f : U \supset E \to P)$$

and $\overline{A} = A + d\chi$.

Moreover, in the traditional approach (see Ref. [16]) one gets

$$\overline{A}_\mu(x) = U(x)^{-1} A_\mu(x) U(x) + U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x) \tag{1.33}$$

$$\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) = U^{-1}(x) F_{\mu\nu} U(x), \tag{1.34}$$

where $U(x)$ is the matrix of an adjoint representation of a Lie group G.

For an action of a group G on P is via (1.2), $g(x)$ is exactly a matrix of an adjoint representation of G. In this way (1.31)–(1.32) and (1.33)–(1.34) are equivalent.

Let us notice that usually a Lagrangian of a gauge field (Yang–Mills field) is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}} \sim \mathrm{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) \tag{1.35}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is given by (1.25)–(1.26). It is easy to see that one gets

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}} \sim h_{ab} F^a{}_{\mu\nu} F^{b\mu\nu} \tag{1.36}$$

where

$$h_{ab} = C^d{}_{ac} C^c{}_{bd} \tag{1.37}$$

is a Cartan–Killing tensor for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$, if we remember that X_a in adjoint representation are given by structure constants $C^c{}_{ab}$.

Moreover, in Refs [1, 3] we use the notation

$$\Omega = \tfrac{1}{2} H^a{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu X_a. \tag{1.38}$$

In this language

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}} = \tfrac{1}{8\pi} h_{ab} H^a{}_{\mu\nu} H^{b\mu\nu}. \tag{1.39}$$

It is easy to see that

$$e^*(H^a{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu X_a) = F^a{}_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\mu \wedge \overline{\theta}^\nu X_a. \tag{1.40}$$

Thus (1.39) is equivalent to (1.36) and to (1.35). (1.35) is invariant to a change of a gauge. (1.39) is invariant with respect to the action of a group G on P.

Let us notice that $h_{ab} F^a{}_{\mu\nu} F^{b\mu\nu} = h_{ab} H^a{}_{\mu\nu} H^b{}_{\mu\nu}$, even $H^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined on P and $F^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ on E. In the non-Abelian case it is more natural to use $H^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $F^a{}_{\mu\nu}$.

Eventually we connect the general fibre bundle formalism and Cartan calculus with a formalism of linear connections on E, P and $E \times G/G_0$.

Let M be an m-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold with metric g of arbitrary signature. Let $T(M)$ be a tangent bundle and $O(M,g)$ the principal fiber bundle of frames (orthogonal frames) over M. The structure group $O(M,g)$ is a group $GL(m,R)$ or the subgroup of $GL(m,R)$ $O(m-p,p)$ which leaves the metric invariant. Let Π be the projection of $O(M,g)$ onto M. Let X be a tangent vector at x in $O(M,g)$. The canonical or soldering form $\widetilde{\theta}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued form on $O(M,g)$ whose A-th component $\widetilde{\theta}^A$ at x of X is the A-th component of $\Pi'(X)$ in the frame x. The connection form $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega^A{}_B X^B{}_A$ is a 1-form on $O(M,g)$ which takes its values in the Lie algebra $gl(m,R)$ of $Gl(m,R)$ or in $o(m-p,p)$ of $O(m-p,p)$ and satisfies the structure equation

$$d\widetilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{\omega},\widetilde{\omega}] = \widetilde{\Omega} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Hor}}\, d\widetilde{\omega} \tag{1.41}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Hor}}$ is understood in the sense of $\widetilde{\omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} = \widetilde{\Omega}^A{}_B X^A{}_B$ is a $gl(m,R)$ ($o(m-p,p)$)-valued 2-form of the curvature. $X^A{}_B$ are generators of a Lie algebra $gl(m,R)$ or $o(m-p,p)$. We can write Eq. (1.41) using $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$-valued forms and commutation relations of the Lie algebra $gl(m,R)$ ($o(m,m-p)$)

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^A{}_B = d\widetilde{\omega}^A{}_B + \widetilde{\omega}^A{}_C \wedge \widetilde{\omega}^C{}_B. \tag{1.42}$$

Taking any local section of $O(M,g)$, e, one can get the coefficients of the connection, curvature, basic forms and torsion

$$\begin{aligned} &e^*\widetilde{\omega}^A{}_B = \omega^A{}_B \\ &e^*(\widetilde{\Omega}^A{}_B) = \widetilde{\Omega}^A{}_B \\ &e^*\widetilde{\theta}^A = \theta^A \\ &e^*\widetilde{\Theta}^A = \Theta^A. \end{aligned} \tag{1.43}$$

The forms on the right-hand side of equations (1.43) are different in Eqs (1.13)–(1.14). We call this formalism a linear (affine) metric, Riemannian–Levi-Civita, Einstein) connections on M.

In our theory it is necessary to consider at least four principal bundles: a principal fiber bundle P over E with a structural group G (a gauge group), connection ω and a projection π, an operator of a horizontality hor, a principal fiber bundle P' of frames over (E,g) with a connection $\widetilde{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta X^\beta{}_\alpha = \omega'$, a structural group $GL(4,R)$ ($O(1,3)$), an operator of horizontality $\overline{\mathrm{hor}}$, and a projection $\overline{\pi}$, a principal fiber bundle P'' of frames over (P,γ) (a metrized fiber bundle P) with a structural group $GL(n+4,R)$ ($O(n+3,1)$), a connection $\widetilde{\omega}^A{}_B X^B{}_A = \widetilde{\omega}$ and with an operator of horizontality $\overline{\mathrm{hor}}'$, a projection $\overline{\pi}'$ and a principal fiber bundle of frames over G with a projection Π'', operator of horizontality $(\mathrm{hor})''$, a connection $\widehat{\omega}$ and a structural group $Gl(n,R)$. In the case with a spontaneous symmetry breaking we need even more principal bundles of frames, i.e. a principal bundle of frames over $E \times G/G_0$ with additional connection $\overline{\overline{\omega}}$, a projection $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}$, an operator of horizontality $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{hor}}}$. In more complicated situation we can also consider a bundle over G/G_0 with structural group $GL(n_1,R)$. Moreover, in order to simplify considerations, we use the formalism of linear connection coefficients on manifold (E,g), (P,γ), and a principal fiber bundle formalism for P, i.e. a principal fiber bundle over E with a structural group G, a gauge group. In the case with a spontaneous symmetry breaking we have also an additional fiber bundle with a structural group H over $E \times G/G_0$. I believe this is a way to make the formalism more natural and readable. We use tensor formalism with many kinds of indices which make some formulas very long. Moreover, they are more readable for a non-expert.

2 Elements of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory in general non-Abelian case and dielectric model of a color confinement

Let $\underline{P}$ be a principal fiber bundle over a space-time E with a structural group G which is a semisimple Lie group. On a space-time E we define a nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{(\mu\nu)} + g_{[\mu\nu]}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \det(g_{\mu\nu}) \neq 0 \\ \widetilde{g} &= \det(g_{(\mu\nu)}) \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$g_{[\mu\nu]}$ is called as usual a skewon field (e.g. in NGT, see Refs [6, 9]). We define on E a nonsymmetric connection compatible with $g_{\mu\nu}$ such that

$$\overline{D} g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\delta} \overline{Q}^{\delta}{}_{\beta\gamma}(\overline{\Gamma}) \overline{\theta}^{\gamma} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\overline{D}$ is an exterior covariant derivative for a connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} \overline{\theta}^{\gamma}$ and $\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\delta}$ is its torsion. We suppose also

$$\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\alpha}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We introduce on E a second connection

$$\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} \overline{\theta}^{\gamma} \tag{2.4}$$

such that

$$\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \tfrac{2}{3} \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \overline{W} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\overline{W} = \overline{W}_{\gamma} \overline{\theta}^{\gamma} = \tfrac{1}{2} (\overline{W}^{\sigma}{}_{\gamma\sigma} - \overline{W}^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma\gamma}) \overline{\theta}^{\gamma}. \tag{2.6}$$

Now we turn to nonsymmetric metrization of a bundle $\underline{P}$. We define a nonsymmetric tensor γ on a bundle manifold P such that

$$\gamma = \pi^* g \oplus \ell_{ab} \theta^{\alpha} \otimes \theta^{b} \tag{2.7}$$

where π is a projection from P to E. On $\underline{P}$ we define a connection ω (a 1-form with values in a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G). In this way we can introduce on P (a bundle manifold) a frame $\theta^A = (\pi^*(\overline{\theta}^{\alpha}), \theta^a)$ such that

$$\theta^a = \lambda \omega^a, \quad \omega = \omega^a X_a, \quad a = 5, 6, \ldots, n+4, \quad n = \dim G = \dim \mathfrak{g}, \quad \lambda = \text{const}.$$

Thus our nonsymmetric tensor looks like

$$\gamma = \gamma_{AB} \theta^A \otimes \theta^B, \quad A, B = 1, 2, \ldots, n+4, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \mu k_{ab}, \tag{2.9}$$

where h_{ab} is a biinvariant Killing–Cartan tensor on G and k_{ab} is a right-invariant skew-symmetric tensor on G, $\mu = \text{const}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab} &= C^{c}{}_{ad} C^{d}{}_{bc} = h_{ab} \\ k_{ab} &= -k_{ba} \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Thus we can write

$$\overline{\gamma}(X,Y) = \overline{g}(\pi' X, \pi' Y) + \lambda^2 h(\omega(X), \omega(Y)) \tag{2.11}$$

$$\underline{\gamma}(X,Y) = \underline{g}(\pi' X, \pi' Y) + \lambda^2 k(\omega(X), \omega(Y)) \tag{2.12}$$

($C^a{}_{bc}$ are structural constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$).

$\overline{\gamma}$ is the symmetric part of γ and $\underline{\gamma}$ is the antisymmetric part of γ. We have as usual

$$[X_a, X_b] = C^c{}_{ab} X_c \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} H^a{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu \tag{2.14}$$

is a curvature of the connection ω,

$$\Omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]. \tag{2.15}$$

The frame θ^A on P is partially nonholonomic. We have

$$d\theta^a = \frac{\lambda}{2}\Big(H^a{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} C^a{}_{bc} \theta^b \wedge \theta^c \Big) \neq 0 \tag{2.16}$$

even if the bundle $\underline{P}$ is trivial, i.e. for $\Omega = 0$. This is different than in an electromagnetic case (see Ref. [3]). Our nonsymmetric metrization of a principal fiber bundle gives us a right-invariant structure on P with respect to an action of a group G on P (see Ref. [3] for more details). Having P nonsymmetrically metrized one defines two connections on P right-invariant with respect to an action of a group G on P. We have

$$\gamma_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \ell_{ab} \end{array} \right) \tag{2.17}$$

in our left horizontal frame θ^A.

$$D\gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{AD} Q^D{}_{BC}(\Gamma) \theta^C \tag{2.18}$$

$$Q^D{}_{BD}(\Gamma) = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

where D is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to a connection $\omega^A{}_B = \Gamma^A{}_{BC} \theta^C$ on P and $Q^A{}_{BC}(\Gamma)$ its torsion. One can solve Eqs (2.18)–(2.19) getting the following results

$$\omega^A{}_B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^*(\overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta) - \ell_{db} g^{\mu\alpha} L^d{}_{\mu\beta} \theta^b & L^a{}_{\beta\gamma} \theta^\gamma \\ \hline \ell_{bd} g^{\alpha\beta} (2H^d{}_{\gamma\beta} - L^d{}_{\gamma\beta}) \theta^\gamma & \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b \end{array} \right) \tag{2.20}$$

where $g^{\mu\alpha}$ is an inverse tensor of $g_{\alpha\beta}$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha} g^{\beta\gamma} = \delta^\gamma_\alpha, \tag{2.21}$$

$L^d{}_{\gamma\beta} = -L^a{}_{\beta\gamma}$ is an Ad-type tensor on P such that

$$\ell_{dc} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} L^d{}_{\gamma\alpha} + \ell_{cd} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} L^d{}_{\beta\gamma} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} H^d{}_{\beta\gamma}, \tag{2.22}$$

$\widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b = \widetilde{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc}\theta^c$ is a connection on an internal space (typical fiber) compatible with a metric ℓ_{ab} such that

$$\ell_{db}\widetilde{\Gamma}^d{}_{ac} + \ell_{ad}\widetilde{\Gamma}^d{}_{cb} = -\ell_{db}C^d{}_{ac} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}^a{}_{ba} = 0, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^a{}_{bc} = -\widetilde{\Gamma}^a{}_{cb} \tag{2.24}$$

and of course $\widetilde{Q}^a{}_{ba}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = 0$ where $\widetilde{Q}^a{}_{bc}(\Gamma)$ is a torsion of the connection $\widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b$.

We also introduce an inverse tensor of $g_{(\alpha\beta)}$

$$g_{(\alpha\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\gamma)} = \delta^\gamma_\beta. \tag{2.25}$$

We introduce a second connection on P defined as

$$W^A{}_B = \omega^A{}_B - \frac{4}{3(n+2)}\,\delta^A{}_B\overline{W}. \tag{2.26}$$

$\overline{W}$ is a horizontal one form

$$\overline{W} = \operatorname{hor}\overline{W} \tag{2.27}$$

$$\overline{W} = \overline{W}_\nu\theta^\nu = \tfrac{1}{2}(\overline{W}^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} - \overline{W}^\sigma{}_{\sigma\nu}). \tag{2.28}$$

In this way we define on P all analogues of four-dimensional quantities from NGT (see Refs [6, 17, 18, 19]). It means, $(n+4)$-dimensional analogues from Moffat theory of gravitation, i.e. two connections and a nonsymmetric metric γ_{AB}. Those quantities are right-invariant with respect to an action of a group G on P. One can calculate a scalar curvature of a connection $W^A{}_B$ getting the following result (see Refs [1, 3]):

$$R(W) = \overline{R}(\overline{W}) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(2\ell_{cd}H^cH^d - \ell_{cd}L^{c\mu\nu}H^d{}_{\mu\nu}) + \widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \tag{2.29}$$

where

$$R(W) = \gamma^{AB}(R^C{}_{ABC}(W) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,R^C{}_{CAB}(W)) \tag{2.30}$$

is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for the connection $W^A{}_B$, $\overline{R}(\overline{W})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for the connection $\overline{W}^\alpha{}_\beta$, and $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for the connection $\widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b$,

$$H^a = g^{[\mu\nu]}H^a{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.31}$$

$$L^{a\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\mu}g^{\beta\nu}L^a{}_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.32}$$

Usually in ordinary (symmetric) Kaluza–Klein Theory one has $\lambda = 2\frac{\sqrt{G_N}}{c^2}$, where G_N is a Newtonian gravitational constant and c is the speed of light. In our system of units $G_N = c = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$. This is the same as in Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory in an electromagnetiic case (see Refs [4, 9]). In the non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein Theory which unifies GR and Yang–Mills field theory we have a Yang–Mills lagrangian and a cosmological term. Here we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\,\ell_{cd}(2H^cH^d - L^{c\mu\nu}H^d{}_{\mu\nu}) \tag{2.33}$$

and $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ plays a role of a cosmological term.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM}$ is invariant with respect to an action of a group G on P (it is gauge invariant). $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is also gauge invariant.

$L^{c\mu\nu}$ plays a role of an induction tensor of Yang–Mills field (a gauge field).

According to Refs [1, 3] we have

$$Q^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 2(H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} - L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}) \tag{2.34}$$

where $Q^{A}{}_{BC}(\Gamma)$ is a torsion of a connection Γ. Writing $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ in the form

$$L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} = H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} - 4\pi M^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.35}$$

we get

$$Q^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 8\pi M^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.36}$$

One can solve Eq. (2.22) getting the result (see Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} = {} & H^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} + \mu h^{na}k_{ad}H^{d}{}_{\omega\mu} + \big(H^{n}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\mu]} - H^{n}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\omega]}\big) \\ & - 2\mu h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\tau)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\delta\alpha}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\mu]} - 2\mu h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}H^{d}{}_{\beta[\omega}g_{\mu]\tau}g_{[\delta\alpha]} \\ & + 2\mu^{2}h^{na}h^{bc}k_{ac}k_{bd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\alpha[\omega}g_{[\mu]\beta]}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

In this way we get that

$$L^{a}{}_{\omega\mu} = -L^{a}{}_{\mu\omega} \tag{2.38}$$

and simultaneously $Q^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ has a physical interpretation as a polarization tensor of Yang–Mills theory (a difference between an induction tensor and a gauge field strength). Moreover, it seems from Eq. (2.33) that $L^{a\mu\nu}$ plays the role of an induction tensor. Thus one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\Big(& h_{nk}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} - 2h_{cd}H^{c}H^{d} + 2h_{nk}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{n}{}_{\delta\omega}g_{[\alpha\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} \\ & + \mu\Big[2k_{nk}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{n}{}_{\delta\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\alpha)}g_{[\alpha\mu]} - 2k_{kd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\delta\alpha}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\beta\omega]}g_{[\rho\mu]} \\ & - k_{kd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\eta\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\mu\alpha]}g_{[\beta\rho]} + k_{kd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\eta\delta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\delta\beta]}g_{[\omega\delta]}\Big] \\ & + \mu^{2}\Big[k_{nk}k^{n}{}_{d}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\eta\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\rho\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\eta\alpha)}g_{[\omega\beta]}g_{[\alpha\rho]} - 2k_{nk}k^{n}{}_{d}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\delta\alpha}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\eta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\eta\omega]}g_{[\rho\mu]} \\ & - k_{nk}k^{n}{}_{d}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\rho\alpha)}\widetilde{g}^{(\eta\beta)}g_{[\mu\alpha]}g_{[\beta\rho]} + k_{k}{}^{b}k_{bd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\mu\alpha]} \\ & - k_{k}{}^{b}k_{bd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\omega\beta]} + k^{p}{}_{n}k_{pk}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{n}{}_{\omega\mu}\Big] \\ & + \mu^{3}\Big[k_{nk}k^{nb}k_{bd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\mu\beta]} - k_{nk}k^{nb}k_{bd}H^{k\omega\mu}H^{d}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\omega\beta]}\Big]\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Eq. (2.39) is written in term of $H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ only. Moreover, the form $\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM}$, i.e. Eq. (2.33), is more convenient for theoretical considerations. One can say the same for $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{n}{}_{\omega\mu}(\Gamma) = 2\Big(& -\mu h^{na}k_{ad}H^{d}{}_{\omega\mu} - \big(H^{n}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\mu]} - H^{n}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\omega]}\big) \\ & + 2\mu h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\tau)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\delta\alpha}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\mu]} + 2\mu h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}H^{d}{}_{\beta[\omega}g_{\mu]\tau}g_{[\delta\alpha]} \\ & - 2\mu^{2}h^{na}h^{bc}k_{ac}k_{bd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\alpha[\omega}g_{|\mu|\beta]}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.34*}$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$H^a{}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B^a_3 & B^a_2 & -E^a_1 \\ B^a_3 & 0 & -B^a_1 & -E^a_2 \\ -B^a_2 & B^a_1 & 0 & -E^a_3 \\ E^a_1 & E^a_2 & E^a_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.40}$$

$$L^{a\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H^{3a} & H^{2a} & -D^{1a} \\ H^{3a} & 0 & -H^{1a} & -D^{2a} \\ -H^{2a} & H^{1a} & 0 & -D^{3a} \\ D^{1a} & D^{2a} & D^{3a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.41}$$

In this way we write $H^a{}_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of $\overrightarrow{E}{}^\alpha = (E^a_{\bar a}) = (E^a_1, E^a_2, E^a_3)$, $\bar a = 1,2,3$, and $\overrightarrow{B}{}^a = (B^a_{\bar a}) = (B^a_1, B^a_2, B^a_3)$, $L^{a\mu}$ in terms of $\overrightarrow{D}{}^a = (D^{\bar a a}) = (D^{1a}, D^{2a}, D^{3a})$ and $\overrightarrow{H}{}^a = (H^{\bar a a}) = (H^{1a}, H^{2a}, H^{3a})$.

In this way

$$\begin{aligned} E^a_{\bar a} &= H^a{}_{4a} \quad D^{\bar a a} = L^{a4a}, \\ \overrightarrow{B}{}^a &= -(H^a{}_{23}, H^a{}_{31}, H^a{}_{12}) \\ \overrightarrow{H}{}^a &= -(L^{a23}, L^{a31}, L^{a12}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

or

$$B^a_{\bar a} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon_{\bar a}{}^{\bar b\bar c} H^a{}_{\bar b\bar c} \quad H^a{}_{\bar c\bar m} = -\varepsilon_{\bar c\bar m}{}^{\bar e} B^a_{\bar e} \tag{2.43}$$

$$H^{\bar a a} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^{\bar a}{}_{\bar b\bar c} L^{a\bar b\bar c}, \quad L^{a\bar c\bar m} = -\varepsilon^{\bar c\bar m}{}_{\bar e} H^{\bar e a} \tag{2.44}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}$, $\bar a, \bar b, \bar c = 1,2,3$, is a usual 3-dimensional antisymmetric symbol, $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$ and it is unimportant for it if its indices are in up or down position. We keep these indices in up or down position only for convenience.

One gets

$$D^{n\bar e} = \overline{B}{}^n{}_f{}^{\bar d\bar e} B^f{}_{\bar d} + \overline{A}{}^n{}_f{}^{\bar v\bar e} E^f{}_{\bar v} \tag{2.45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}{}^n{}_d{}^{\bar p\bar e} = \varepsilon_{\bar m\bar z}{}^{\bar p}\Big(& g^{\bar z 4} g^{\bar m\bar e}\delta^n{}_d + \mu k^n{}_d g^{\bar z 4} g^{\bar m\bar e} + g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\delta\mu]} g^{\bar z 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\delta)} \delta^n{}_d - g^{\omega 4} g_{[\delta\mu]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar z 4)} \delta^n{}_d \\ & + \mu k^n{}_d g^{\mu\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{\bar z 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\bar m)} + \mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\bar z)} - \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g^{\mu\tau} g_{[\mu\beta]} g^{\bar z 4} \widetilde{g}^{(m\beta)} \\ & - \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g^{\omega 4} g_{[\omega\beta]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar z\beta)} - 2\mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} g^{\mu\tau} g_{[\tau\omega]} g_{[\beta\mu]} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar z\tau)} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\beta)} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A}{}^n{}_d{}^{\bar m\bar e} = {} & g^{44} g^{\bar m\bar e}\delta^n{}_d - \mu k^n{}_d g^{\bar m 4} g^{4\bar e} + \mu k^n{}_d g^{44} g^{\bar m\bar e} + g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\delta\mu]} \widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)} g^{\bar m 4} \delta^n{}_d - g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\delta\mu]} g^{44} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\delta)} \delta^n{}_d \\ & - g^{\omega 4} g_{[\delta\omega]} \widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)} \widetilde{g}^{\bar m\bar e} \delta^n{}_d + g^{\omega 4} g_{[\delta\omega]} g^{4\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\delta)} \delta^n{}_d - \mu k^n{}_d g^{\mu\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\mu)} \\ & + \mu k^n{}_d g^{\mu\delta} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{44} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\bar m)} + \mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\mu)} \\ & - \mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} g_{[\mu\tau]} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g^{4\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\bar m)} - \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\mu\beta]} g^{\bar m 4} \widetilde{g}^{(\beta 4)} - \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\mu\beta]} g^{44} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\beta)} \\ & - \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g_{[\omega\beta]} g^{\bar m\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(4\beta)} \widetilde{g}^{(4\omega)} + \mu^2 k^n{}_c k^c{}_d g^{\omega 4} g_{[\omega\beta]} g^{4\bar e} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\beta)} \\ & + 2\mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\tau\omega]} g_{[\beta\mu]} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\tau)} \widetilde{g}^{(4\beta)} + 2\mu k^n{}_d g^{\omega 4} g^{\mu\bar e} g_{[\tau\omega]} g_{[\beta\mu]} \widetilde{g}^{(4\tau)} \widetilde{g}^{(\bar m\beta)} - \delta^n{}_d g^{\bar m 4} g^{4\bar e}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

$$H^{nd} = \overline{C}^{n}{}_{f}{}^{\bar{d}\bar{p}} B^{f}{}_{\bar{p}} + \overline{D}^{n}{}_{f}{}^{d\bar{v}} E^{f}{}_{\bar{v}}, \tag{2.48}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{C}^{n}{}_{d}{}^{\bar{p}\bar{f}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{e}\bar{k}}\varepsilon_{\bar{w}\bar{m}}{}^{\bar{f}}\Big(&g^{\bar{w}\bar{k}}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\delta^{n}{}_{d} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\bar{w}\bar{k}}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}} - g^{\bar{w}\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\delta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\delta)}\delta^{n}{}_{d}\\ &+ \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\bar{w}\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\delta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\delta)}\delta^{n}{}_{d} - g^{\omega\bar{k}}g_{[\delta\omega]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{w}\delta)}\delta^{n}{}_{d}\\ &- 2\mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{w}\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\tau)} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}g_{[\omega\tau]}g_{[\beta\mu]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{w}\beta)}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D}^{n}{}_{d}{}^{\bar{p}\bar{m}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{e}\bar{k}}\Big(&g^{4\bar{k}}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\delta^{n}{}_{d} - g^{\bar{m}\bar{k}}g^{4\bar{e}}\delta^{n}{}_{d} - \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\bar{m}\bar{k}}g^{4\bar{e}} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\bar{e}\bar{k}}g^{4\bar{k}} + g^{\bar{m}\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\delta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)}\delta^{n}{}_{d}\\ &- g^{4\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\delta)}g_{[\delta\mu]}\delta^{n}{}_{d} - g^{\omega\bar{k}}g_{[\delta\omega]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)}\delta^{n}{}_{d} + 2\mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(4\tau)}\\ &- 2\mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(4\beta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\tau)} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\delta\alpha]}g_{[\mu\tau]}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}g^{4\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\bar{m})}\\ &- \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\delta\alpha]}g_{[\mu\tau]}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}g^{\bar{m}\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}g_{[\omega\tau]}g_{[\delta\alpha]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(4\delta)} + \mu^{2}k^{n}{}_{c}k^{c}{}_{d}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\mu\beta]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(4\beta)}\\ &- \mu^{2}k^{n}{}_{c}k^{c}{}_{d}g^{\mu\bar{e}}g_{[\mu\beta]}g^{4\bar{k}}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\beta)} - \mu^{2}k^{n}{}_{c}k^{c}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}g_{[\omega\beta]}g^{\bar{m}\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(4\beta)} + \mu^{2}k^{n}{}_{c}k^{c}{}_{d}g^{\omega\bar{k}}g_{[\omega\beta]}g^{4\bar{e}}\widetilde{g}^{(\bar{m}\beta)}.\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

The confinement condition in this theory means

$$D^{\bar{a}a} = 0 \tag{2.51}$$

with $E^{\bar{a}a} \neq 0$ and can be satisfied by special arrangement of the nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. This generalizes a notion of a charge confinement from Ref. [9] and can be considered as a color confinement in the case of $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ (QCD).

In this case gravitation behaves as a medium which generalizes a notion of bianisotropic medium in electromagnetic theory to non-Abelian Yang–Mills field. This is a dielectric model of confinement.

It is easy to see that if $g_{[\mu\nu]} = k_{ab} = 0$ we get

$$L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} = H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}.$$

We have identities concerning $H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ coming from Eq. (2.22):

$$g^{[\mu\nu]}L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} = h^{ac}\ell_{cp}H^{p}{}_{\mu\nu}g^{[\mu\nu]} \tag{2.52}$$

$$\ell_{dc}g^{\sigma\nu}g^{\alpha\mu}L^{d}{}_{\sigma\alpha}H^{c}{}_{\mu\nu} + \ell_{cd}g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\beta}L^{d}{}_{\beta\sigma}H^{c}{}_{\mu\nu} = 2\ell_{cd}g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\beta}H^{d}{}_{\beta\sigma}H^{c}{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.53}$$

$$\ell_{dc}g^{\alpha\omega}g^{\beta\mu}L^{d}{}_{\alpha\beta}L^{c}{}_{\omega\mu} = \ell_{cd}g^{\alpha\omega}g^{\beta\mu}L^{d}{}_{\alpha\beta}L^{c}{}_{\omega\mu}. \tag{2.54}$$

The problem of a confinement emerged in QCD on a quantum level. Moreover, up to now we have not any realistic explanation of this problem. QCD is a quantum field theory obtained via quantization procedure from classical Yang–Mills' field theory in a perturbative regime. The confinement is a strictly non-perturbative effect. The natural way to solve the problem is to pose it on a classical level and afterwards to quantize the new theory (classical) using non-perturbative methods to get a quantum model of the confinement. The theory is of course highly nonlinear. Nonperturbative quantization of nonlinear theories including gravity can be achieved by using

canonical quantization as in GR (Ashtekar–Lewandowski approach) or using nonlocal approach (as we shortly described in Conclusions). Even strings models need quantization.

There is no dielectric classical model of confinement in a symmetric theory, i.e. with $g_{[\mu\nu]} = 0$, zero skewon field.

Let us give some remarks on a confinement. According to modern ideas (see [20], [21], [22], [23]) the confinement of color could be connected to dielectricity of the vacuum (dielectric model of confinement). Due to the so-called antiscreening mechanism, the effective dielectric constant is equal to zero. This means that the energy of an isolated charge goes to infinity. There are also so-called classical-dielectric models of confinement (see Refs [24], [25]). The confinement is induced by a special kind of dielectricity of the vacuum, such that $\overrightarrow{E} \neq 0$ and $\overrightarrow{D} = 0$ ($\overrightarrow{E}^a \neq 0$, $\overrightarrow{D}^a = 0$). In this case we do not have a distribution of a charge. This is similar to the electric type of Meissner effect.

It is easy to see that in our case (the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory) the dielectricity is induced by the nonsymmetric tensors $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ℓ_{ab}. If $g_{[\mu\nu]} = 0$, $\overrightarrow{D} = \overrightarrow{E}$, $\overrightarrow{B} = \overrightarrow{H}$ (in an electromagnetic case see Ref. [9]). The gravitational field described by the nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ behaves as a medium for an electromagnetic field (or Yang–Mills' field). In this way the skewon field $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ plays a double role:

1) additional gravitational interaction from NGT,

2) a strong interaction field connected to the confinement problem.

In other words we can say that we get a confinement from higher dimensions due to a torsion in higher dimensions.

In Refs [26], [27] one can find some ideas of nonlocal field theory with an application to confinement problem which can be connected to dielectric model of confinement.

There is a body of works on classical models of confinement for Abelian and non-Abelian gauge fields (see Refs [28], [29], [30]) which are not directly connected to our approach. Moreover, it is worth to mention that an idea of a confinement in QCD for SU(3) group (see Ref. [31]) can be applied for electrodynamics in order to get a confinement of plasma in thermonuclear fusion.

We do not confuse here the "confinement" problem in strong interactions (i.e. the fact that quarks are permanently bound in hadrons and never manifest themselves as free particles, unlike leptons) and the "confinement" problem in thermonuclear fusion. We turn only an attention of a reader that some ideas from strong interaction "confinement" problem can be applied to a thermonuclear fusion problem. Moreover, the ideas are really far away from our idea of dielectric model of confinement (see Refs [28]–[31]).

An important problem is to find an exact solution with axial symmetry for the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with fermion sources for $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$. This could offer us a model of a hadron with a confinement condition ($\overrightarrow{D}^a = 0$, $\overrightarrow{E}^a \neq 0$). The axially symmetric, stationary case seems to be very interesting from more general point of view. We have in General Relativity very peculiar properties of stationary, axially symmetric solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations. These solutions describe the gravitational and electromagnetic fields of a rotating charged mass. Thus we get the magnetic field component. Asymptotically (these solutions are asymptotically flat) the magnetic field behaves as a dipole field. We can calculate the gyromagnetic ratio at infinity, i.e. the ratio of the magnetic dipole moment and the angular momentum moment. It is worth noticing that we get the anomalous gyromagnetic ratio, i.e. the gyromagnetic ratio for an electron (for a

charged Dirac particle). We cannot interpret the Kerr–Newman solution as a model of a fermion for we have a singularity. In the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory we can expect completely nonsingular solutions. We can also expect the asymptotic behavior of Einstein–Maxwell theory. Thus it seems that we probably get the solutions with an anomalous gyromagnetic ratio. Such a solution could be treated as a (classical) model of $\frac{1}{2}$-spin particle.

In a non-Abelian case (for $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$) the solution of field equations could offer us a model of a charged barion (i.e. proton), where the skewon field $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ induces a confinement of color. Such solutions should be considered also for a zero charge and without and with fermion sources. Let us mention that fermion fields (quarks fields) are coupled to the Riemannian part (a Levi-Civita connection induced by $g_{(\alpha\beta)}$ metric) of the connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ on E (i.e. $\widetilde{\overline{\omega}}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$).

Let us come back to our presentation of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory. One can easily calculate $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ (see Appendix A) getting

$$\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = -\frac{1}{4}\,\ell^{ab}h_{ab} \tag{2.55}$$

(it is a cosmological constant). In the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory (in the non-Abelian case) we consider a special nonholonomic frame. Moreover, we can consider a different frame which is still nonholonomic, moreover, it looks more classical. Let us take a section $e : E \to P$ and attach to it a frame v^a, $a = 5, 6, \ldots, n+4$, selecting $x^{\mu} = \mathrm{const}$ on a fiber in such a way that e is given by the condition $e^*v^a = 0$ and the fundamental fields ζ_a such that $v^a(\zeta_b) = \delta^a{}_b$ satisfy $[\zeta_a, \zeta_b] = \frac{1}{\lambda}\,C^c{}_{ab}\zeta_c$. Thus we have

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\lambda}\,v^a X_a + \pi^*(A^a{}_{\mu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu})X_a, \tag{2.56}$$

where

$$e^*\omega = A = A^a{}_{\mu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}X_a. \tag{2.57}$$

In this frame a tensor γ takes a form

$$\gamma_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda^2\ell_{ab}A^a{}_{\alpha}A^b{}_{\beta} & \lambda\ell_{cb}A^c{}_{\alpha} \\ \hline \lambda\ell_{ac}A^c{}_{\beta} & \ell_{ab} \end{array}\right). \tag{2.58}$$

This frame is also unholonomic. One gets

$$dv^a = -\frac{1}{2\lambda}\,C^a{}_{bc}v^b \wedge v^c. \tag{2.59}$$

In this way a non-Abelian gauge field four-potential is a part of our theory. We present here a model of a color confinement. This model is a dielectric model of a confinement. It is a classical model of confinement. We know that a confinement of a color is a nonperturbative effect. Moreover, our theory is nonlinear and contains a gravity. Thus we should quantize the theory using Ashtekar–Lewandowski method (see Ref. [32]) or using different methods described in Conclusions, which we mentioned already above.

In our theory test particles move along geodesic equations induced by Levi-Civita connection induced by a symmetric part of a metric γ, i.e. $\gamma_{(AB)}$. This connection has a form

$$\widetilde{\omega}^A{}_B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^*(\widetilde{\overline{\omega}}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}) - h_{db}\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\alpha)}H^d{}_{\mu\beta}\theta^b & H^a{}_{\beta\gamma}\theta^{\gamma} \\ \hline h_{bd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^d{}_{\gamma\beta}\theta^{\gamma} & \widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}^a{}_b \end{array}\right) \tag{2.60}$$

where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}^a{}_b$ means a connection (Levi-Civita one) induced by a Killing–Cartan metric on G.

One can write a geodetic equation on P:

$$u^A \widetilde{\nabla}_A u^B = 0, \tag{2.61}$$

where $u^B(\tau)$ is a tangent vector to geodetic and $\widetilde{\nabla}_A$ means a covariant derivative with respect to a Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\omega}^A{}_B$ (Eq. (2.60)). One gets

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}} u^\alpha}{d\tau} - 2u^b h_{ba} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} H^a{}_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma = 0 \\ \frac{du^b}{d\tau} = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{2.62}$$

This equation is written on P. We have a normalization of a four-velocity u^α, $g_{(\alpha\beta)} u^\alpha u^\beta = 1$. The second equation gives us a constancy of a color charge of a test particle. We can identify

$$q^b = 2mu^b. \tag{2.63}$$

Moreover, if we take a section $e : E \to P$ we get

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}} u^\alpha}{d\tau} + \frac{Q^c}{m_0} u^\beta g^{\alpha\delta} h_{cd} F^d{}_{\beta\delta} = 0 \\ \frac{dQ^a}{d\tau} - C^a{}_{cb} Q^c A^b{}_\nu u^\nu = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{2.64}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} e^* \Omega = \frac{1}{2} F^d{}_{\beta\delta} \overline{\theta}^\beta \wedge \overline{\theta}^\delta X_d \\ e^*(q^b X_b) = Q^b X_b. \end{gathered} \tag{2.65}$$

Eq. (2.64) is called a Wong equation in the case of $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (see Ref. [33]). Moreover, for the first time the equation has been derived by R. Kerner (see Ref. [34]) in general case (an arbitrary group G). In Non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein Theory W. Kopczyński derived this equation on a principal bundle $\underline{P}$ and afterwards projected it on E (see Ref. [35]), i.e. in the form (2.62). (This was of course Kaluza–Klein Theory with a symmetric metric.)

In our theory an action is given by

$$S = \int_U d^{n+4}x \, R(W) \sqrt{\det \gamma_{AB}} \tag{2.66}$$

where $U = V \times G$, $V \subset E$.

The Palatini variational principle adopted here is along the main theoretical stream. Even more unconventional approach is advocated by J. Plebański, where we vary not only with respect to a metric and a connection, but also with respect to a curvature. We do not apply the mentioned formalism. The Palatini variational principle is really interesting if applied to Kaluza–Klein Theory in a nonsymmetric version.

From the Palatini variational principle (with respect to $\overline{W}^\alpha{}_\beta$, $g_{\mu\nu}$, ω)

$$0 = \delta S = \mathrm{vol}(G) \delta \int_V d^4x \Big(\overline{R}(\overline{W}) + \overline{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \ell_{cd} (2H^c H^d - L^{c\mu\nu} H^d{}_{\mu\nu}) \Big) \sqrt{-g} \tag{2.67}$$

one gets field equations

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{W}) - \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\alpha\beta}\overline{R}(\overline{W}) = 8\pi \overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda g_{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.68}$$

$$\underset{,}{\mathcal{g}}^{[\mu\nu]} = 0 \tag{2.69}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu,\sigma} - g_{\zeta\nu}\overline{\Gamma}^{\zeta}{}_{\mu\sigma} - g_{\mu\zeta}\overline{\Gamma}^{\zeta}{}_{\sigma\nu} = 0 \tag{2.70}$$

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}(\ell_{ab}\underset{\sim}{L}^{a\alpha\mu}) = 2g^{[\alpha\beta]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\beta}(h_{ab}\mathcal{g}^{[\mu\nu]}H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}) \tag{2.71}$$

where

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\ell_{ab}}{4\pi}\Big(g_{\gamma\beta}g^{\tau\zeta}g^{\varepsilon\gamma}L^{a}{}_{\zeta\alpha}L^{b}{}_{\tau\varepsilon} - 2g^{[\mu\nu]}H^{(a}{}_{\mu\nu}H^{b)}{}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\, g_{\alpha\beta}(L^{a\mu\nu}H^{b}{}_{\mu\nu} - 2H^{a}H^{b})\Big). \tag{2.72}$$

The skew-symmetric part of the metric induces a current

$$J^{\alpha}{}_{a} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{g}^{[\alpha\beta]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\beta}(h_{ab}g^{[\mu\nu]}H^{b}{}_{\mu\nu}). \tag{2.73}$$

This current vanishes if

$$g_{[\mu\nu]} = 0.$$

One can easily see here that if $D^{a\bar{a}} = 0$ we have zero color charge distribution on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.71). Moreover, a color charge is in general gauge-dependent.

We have here the same problem to define a non-Abelian charge as to define an energy in General Relativity. We cannot define an energy or a charge at a space-time point. However, in non-Abelian gauge field theory the situation is even more severe. According to Ref. [36], the most important difference between theories of Yang–Mills' type and gravitation is that the underlying bundle of the latter—the bundle of linear frames—is "concrete", has more structure than "abstract" bundles occurring in other gauge theories.

A fundamental difference between these two theories (in NGT there is the same problem as in GR) happens if we consider asymptotic behavior (at large distances) of static fields. A gauge transformation U of $A \to \overline{A}$, $U : E \to G$,

$$\overline{A}_{\mu}(x) = U^{-1}(x)A_{\mu}(x)U(x) + U^{-1}(x)\partial_{\mu}U(x) \tag{2.74}$$

$$\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) = U^{-1}(x)F_{\mu\nu}(x)U(x) \tag{2.75}$$

is compatible with a static A iff

$$U(r,\psi,\varphi) = U_0(\psi,\varphi)\Big(1 + \frac{\overline{u}(\psi,\varphi)}{r} + \ldots\Big) \tag{2.76}$$

(U does not depend on time), where ψ and φ are defined as usual on S^2 (r,ψ,φ are spherical coordinates). $U_0 : S^2 \to G$, $\overline{u}(\psi,\varphi)$ is a real function. From Eqs (2.74)–(2.76) one gets ($F_{\mu\nu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}\wedge\overline{\theta}^{\nu} = e^{*}(H_{\mu\nu}\theta^{\mu}\wedge\theta^{\nu})$, $H_{\mu\nu} = H^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}X_a$)

$$\overline{F}_{\mu\nu}(r,\psi,\varphi) = U_0^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}U_0 + O(r^{-3}). \tag{2.77}$$

In the case of gravitational fields we have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + O(r^{-1}), \tag{2.78}$$

$\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is a Minkowski tensor, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is nonsymmetric,

$$\overline{\Gamma} \simeq O(r^{-2}), \tag{2.79}$$

$\overline{\Gamma}$ is a $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ connection in static configurations.

One gets also for $G^{a\alpha\mu}$

$$\overline{G}^{\alpha\mu} = U_0^{-1} G^{\alpha\mu} U_0 + O(r^{-2}) \tag{2.80}$$

where $G^{a\alpha\mu} = g^{\beta\alpha} g^{\mu\nu} G^{a}{}_{\beta\nu}$, $G^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu} \wedge \overline{\theta}^{\nu} = e^{*}(h^{\alpha d}\ell_{db}\underset{\sim}{L}{}^{d}{}_{\alpha\mu}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\mu})$ and $G^{\alpha\mu} = G^{a\alpha\mu} X_a$.

We define a Levi-Civita symbol and a dual Cartan basis

$$\overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},\ \overline{\eta}_{1234} = \sqrt{-g} \tag{2.81}$$

$$\overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \overline{\theta}^{\delta} \overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{2.82}$$

$$\overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\overline{\theta}^{\gamma} \wedge \overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tag{2.83}$$

$$\overline{\eta}_{\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{3}\,\overline{\theta}^{\beta} \wedge \overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.84}$$

$$\overline{\eta} = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\overline{\theta}^{\alpha} \wedge \overline{\eta}_{\alpha}. \tag{2.85}$$

Eq. (2.71) can be rewritten after taking a section e

$$\partial_{\mu}(G^{a\alpha\mu}) = 4\pi J^{\alpha a} - C^{a}{}_{dc} A^{c}_{\mu} G^{d\alpha\mu} \tag{2.86}$$

where we raise Latin indices by a Killing–Cartan tensor h^{ab}.

We rewrite Eq. (2.86) using dual forms

$$\widehat{D}\overset{\star}{G} = 4\pi \overset{\star}{J} \tag{2.87}$$

or in the Gauss form

$$d\overset{\star}{G} = 4\pi \overset{\star}{J} - [A, \overset{\star}{G}] \tag{2.88}$$

where $A = A_{\mu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}$, $\overset{\star}{J} = J^{\alpha a} X_a \overline{\eta}_{\alpha}$, $\star$ means a Hodge star and $\overset{\star}{J}$ and $\overset{\star}{G}$ mean dual forms for J^{α} and $G^{\alpha\mu}$

$$\overset{\star}{G} = G^{\alpha\mu}\overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

$\widehat{D}$ means an exterior covariant derivative with respect to a gauge connection ω on a fiber bundle $\underline{P}$ (in a section e), d is an ordinary exterior derivative of E. $\overline{\eta}_{\alpha}, \overline{\eta}_{\alpha\beta}$ mean a dual Cartan base.

In this way a total non-Abelian charge

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\oint \overset{\star}{G} \tag{2.89}$$

is ill-defined.

A conservation law for a non-Abelian charge can be written

$$d\Big(\overset{\star}{J} - \frac{1}{4\pi}[A, \overset{\star}{G}]\Big) = 0 \tag{2.90}$$

(see Ref. [36]).

One gets

$$J_a^4 = \frac{1}{2\pi} g^{[4\bar{b}]} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\bar{b}} (h_{ab} g^{[\mu\nu]} H^b{}_{\mu\nu}) \tag{2.91}$$

and

$$\partial_{\bar{m}}(\ell_{ab} D^{a\bar{m}}) + C^d{}_{be} A^e{}_{\bar{m}} D^{a\bar{m}} \ell_{ab} = J_a^4. \tag{2.92}$$

Our confinement condition ($D^{a\bar{m}} = 0$) is considered in a static (or stationary) limit. In this way $J_a^4 = 0$ and a fact that a total non-Abelian charge is ill-defined does not concern us. In the case with some external sources, i.e. quark fields (fermion colored fields) J_a^4 will compensate a charge caused by fermions and a total color charge distribution remains zero. In this situation we can develop a color confinement program on the level of exact solutions with fermion sources which we mentioned before.

In the case of gravitational field (see Ref. [36]) an analogue of a non-Abelian charge (2.89) is not ill-defined in static situation for an asymptotically flat space-time (also in the case of nonsymmetric gravitation field).

Let us consider a transformation of a connection $\overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta$, ($\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}$), i.e.

$$\overline{\omega}' = U^{-1}(x) \overline{\omega} U(x) + U^{-1}\, dU \tag{2.93}$$

where

$$\omega = \omega^\alpha{}_\beta X^\beta{}_\alpha \tag{2.94}$$

where $X^\beta{}_\alpha$ are generators of a $GL(4,R)$ group and $U(x)$ has the form (2.76). Now of course the group G is $GL(4,R)$ group. In order to have the asymptotic behavior (2.79) in static configurations, U_0 must be a constant Lorentz transformation, i.e. it belongs to $\mathrm{SO}(1,3) \subset GL(4,R)$. Introducing pseudotensor of an energy-momentum for a gravitational field we can define a conserved four-momentum from a conservation law

$$d(\overset{\star}{T}_\mu + \overset{\star}{t}_\mu) = 0 \tag{2.95}$$

where

$$T_\mu = T_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\nu, \quad t_\mu = t_{\mu\nu} \overline{\theta}^\nu, \tag{2.96}$$

$\overset{\star}{T}_\mu$, $\overset{\star}{t}_\mu$ are dual Hodge forms to T_μ and t_μ.

$T_{\mu\nu}$ is an energy-momentum tensor for a matter (Yang–Mills' field) which is nonsymmetric in general. In some future extensions we include also an energy-momentum tensor for fermion (quark) fields. $t_{\mu\nu}$ is a pseudotensor of an energy-momentum for a gravitational field, defined in such a way that Eq. (2.95) is equivalent to gravitational field equations via Bianchi identity. In this way we can define superpotentials V_μ such that

$$dV_\mu = 4\pi(\overset{\star}{T}_\mu + \overset{\star}{t}_\mu) \tag{2.97}$$

and a conserved 4-momentum

$$P_\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint V_\mu. \tag{2.98}$$

P_μ is well defined in static situation (under condition (2.78) and (2.79)).

Using (2.37) one easily gets

$$\overset{\star}{G} = h^{ed} g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\nu\omega} e^* \Big(\ell_{dn} \Big(H^n{}_{\omega\mu} - \mu h^{na} k_{ad} H^d{}_{\omega\mu} + (H^n{}_{\alpha\omega} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} g_{[\delta\mu]} - H^n{}_{\alpha\mu} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} g_{[\delta\omega]}) - 2\mu h^{na} k_{ad} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\tau)} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} H^d{}_{\delta\alpha} g_{[\tau\omega]} g_{[\beta\mu]} - 2\mu h^{na} k_{ad} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)} H^d{}_{\beta[\omega} g_{\mu]\tau} g_{[\delta\alpha]} + 2\mu^2 h^{na} h^{bc} k_{ac} k_{bd} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} H^d{}_{\alpha[\omega} g_{|\mu|\beta]} \Big) \eta_{\mu\nu} X_e \Big), \quad (2.99)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \pi^*(\overline{\eta}_{\mu\nu})$.

One also derives

$$V'_\mu = V_\nu U^\nu_{0\mu} + O(r^{-3}) \quad (2.100)$$

where $U_0 = (U^\nu_{0\mu}) \in \mathrm{SO}(1,3)$.

If we want to consider fermions (quarks) in the theory we should add a Lagrangian of fermions (quarks)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} = \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} \sum_f (i\overline{\psi}_{jf} (\gamma^\mu D_\mu)_{jk} - m_f \delta_{jk}) \psi_{kf} \quad (2.101)$$

where

$$(D_\mu)_{jk} = \overset{\widetilde{}}{\overline{\nabla}}_\mu \delta_{jk} + \overline{g} A^a{}_\mu (X_a)_{jk} \quad (2.102)$$

is a covariant derivative of a spinor field with respect to Riemannian part of a connection $\overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta$ ($\widetilde{\overline{\omega}}^\alpha{}_\beta$) generated by $g_{(\alpha\beta)}$ and a gauge field at once ($\widetilde{g} = \det(g_{(\alpha\beta)})$).

$$\overset{\widetilde{}}{\overline{\nabla}}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \sigma_\alpha{}^\beta \overset{\widetilde{}}{\overline{\Gamma}}{}^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{8}[\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta], \quad (2.103)$$

$\overline{g}$ is a coupling constant, m_f is the mass of a quark of flavour f. X_a is a generator of the Lie algebra of a group G (equal to SU(3)) in a fundamental representation and repeated indices are summed over.

One derives a color current for fermions (quarks) getting

$$J^{q\mu}{}_a = \frac{i\overline{g}}{4\pi} \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} \sum_f \overline{\psi}_{jf} \gamma^\mu (X_a)_{jk} \psi_{kf} \quad (2.104)$$

and a color charge distribution

$$J^{q4}{}_a = \frac{i\overline{g}}{4\pi} \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} \sum_f \overline{\psi}_{jf} \gamma^4 (X_a)_{jk} \psi_{kf}. \quad (2.105)$$

The color confinement condition reads now

$$J^{q4}{}_a + J^4{}_a = 0 \quad (2.106)$$

or

$$\frac{i\overline{g}}{2} \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} \sum_f \overline{\psi}_{jf} \gamma^4 (X_a)_{jk} \psi_{kf} + \underset{\sim}{g}{}^{[4\bar{b}]} e^* \Big(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\bar{b}} (h_{ab} g^{[\mu\nu]} H^a{}_{\mu\nu}) \Big) = 0. \quad (2.107)$$

Spinor fields (quark fields) are defined in the same gauge e. In this way we can write

$$e^* \Big(\frac{i\overline{g}}{2} \sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} \sum_f \overline{\Psi}_{jf} \gamma^4 (X_a)_{jk} \Psi_{kf} + \underset{\sim}{g}{}^{[4\bar{b}]} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\bar{b}} (h_{ab} g^{[\mu\nu]} H^a{}_{\mu\nu}) \Big) = 0, \quad (2.108)$$

i.e. in any "gauge", $\Psi_{jf}, \overline{\Psi}_{jf}$ are spinor fields on P. This means that

$$\frac{i\overline{g}}{2}\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\sum_f \overline{\Psi}_{jf}\gamma^4(X_a)_{jk}\Psi_{kf} + \mathcal{g}^{[4\bar{b}]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\bar{b}}\,(h_{ab}g^{[\mu\nu]}H^a{}_{\mu\nu}) = 0. \tag{2.109}$$

Eq. (2.109) means a dielectric color confinement condition in a presence of fermion (quark) sources.

It is interesting to express $\overrightarrow{E}^a$ and $\overrightarrow{B}^a$ fields in terms of $\overrightarrow{D}^a$ and $\overrightarrow{H}^a$ fields. From Eq. (2.22) one gets

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\ell^{ce}\ell_{dc}g_{\nu\omega}g_{\mu\beta} + \delta^e{}_d g_{\beta\mu}g_{\omega\nu})L^{d\mu\nu} = H^e{}_{\beta\omega}. \tag{2.110}$$

From Eq. (2.110) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E^e{}_{\bar{w}} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\varepsilon^{\bar{n}\bar{m}}{}_{\bar{l}}(\ell^{ce}\ell_{dc}g_{\bar{n}\bar{w}}g_{\bar{m}4} + \delta^e{}_d g_{4\bar{n}}g_{\bar{w}\bar{n}})H^{\bar{l}d} \\ &\quad + (\ell^{ce}\ell_{dc}(g_{\bar{m}\bar{w}}g_{44} - g_{4\bar{w}}g_{\bar{m}4}) + \delta^e{}_d(g_{44}g_{\bar{w}\bar{m}} - g_{4\bar{m}}g_{\bar{w}4}))D^{d\bar{m}}\Big) \qquad (2.111) \\ B^e{}_{\bar{a}} &= \varepsilon_{\bar{a}}{}^{\bar{w}\bar{b}}\Big[\varepsilon^{\bar{n}\bar{m}}{}_{\bar{l}}(\ell^{ce}\ell_{dc}g_{\bar{n}\bar{w}}g_{\bar{m}\bar{b}} + \delta^e{}_d g_{\bar{b}\bar{m}}g_{\bar{w}\bar{n}})H^{\bar{l}d} \\ &\quad + (\ell^{ce}\ell_{dc}(g_{\bar{n}\bar{w}}g_{4\bar{b}} - g_{4\bar{w}}g_{\bar{n}\bar{b}}) + \delta^e{}_d(g_{\bar{b}4}g_{\bar{w}\bar{n}} - g_{\bar{b}\bar{n}}g_{\bar{w}4}))D^{\bar{n}d}\Big] \qquad (2.112) \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to ask how to construct a Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with a group $G = G_0 \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$ with an obvious application $G_0 = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c$.

The simplest choice is to suppose that a nonsymmetric right-invariant tensor on G has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.113}$$

where $\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \mu k_{ab}$ is a nonsymmetric right-invariant tensor on G_0.

In this case we can consider also a fermion sources (quarks) adding to the Lagrangian a Lagrangian of fermion (quark) fields. We considered such a situation before. Moreover, now we should add also a coupling with an electromagnetic field, i.e.

$$iq\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\sum_f \overline{\psi}_{fj}\gamma^\mu\psi_{fj}A_\mu \tag{2.114}$$

where q is an elementary charge, q_f is a charge of a quark measured in q, A_μ is a four-potential of an electromagnetic field and repeated indices are summed over.

In this way we get an electric current as a source of Maxwell equations in our theory:

$$J^\alpha_{n+5} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{g}^{[\alpha\mu]}\partial_\mu(g^{[\nu\beta]}F_{\nu\beta}) + \frac{q\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}}{4\pi}\sum_f q_f\sum_j \overline{\psi}_{fj}\gamma^\mu\psi_{fj} \tag{2.115}$$

a density of a charge is

$$J^4_{n+5} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{g}^{[4\bar{m}]}\partial_{\bar{m}}(g^{[\mu\nu]}F_{\nu\beta}) + \frac{q\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}}{4\pi}\sum_f q_f\sum_j \overline{\psi}_{fj}\gamma^4\psi_{fj}. \tag{2.116}$$

If we want a confinement of a charge we should have $\overrightarrow{D} = 0$ (see Ref. [9]). This means that even $\overrightarrow{E} \neq 0$, $J^4_{n+5} = 0$, for $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ $n = 8$. Let us consider Eqs (2.110)–(2.112) for

$G = \mathrm{U}(1)$, i.e. in an electromagnetic case. Let us notice that in the formulas below Latin small cases $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3$ correspond to space indices as in Ref. [9]. One gets

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(g_{\nu\omega}g_{\mu\beta} + g_{\beta\mu}g_{\omega\nu})H^{\mu\nu} = F_{\beta\omega} \tag{2.117}$$

$$E_w = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^{nm}{}_e(g_{nw}g_{m4} + g_{4m}g_{wn})H^e + (g_{nw}g_{44} - g_{4w}g_{m4} - g_{44}g_{wm} - g_{4m}g_{w4})D^n) \tag{2.118}$$

$$B_a = \varepsilon_a{}^{wb}(\varepsilon^{nm}{}_e(g_{nw}g_{mb} + g_{bm}g_{wn})H^e + (g_{nw}g_{4b} - g_{4w}g_{mb} + g_{b4}g_{wn} - g_{bn}g_{w4})D^n) \tag{2.119}$$

Thus we get

$$E_a = \overline{K}_{ae}H^e + \overline{L}_{an}D^n \tag{2.120}$$

$$B_a = K_{ae}H^e + L_{an}D^n \tag{2.121}$$

where

$$\overline{K}_{we} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^{nm}{}_e(g_{nw}g_{m4} + g_{4m}g_{wn}) \tag{2.122}$$

$$\overline{L}_{an} = \tfrac{1}{2}\,(g_{nw}g_{44} - g_{4w}g_{m4} - g_{44}g_{wm} - g_{4m}g_{w4}) \tag{2.123}$$

$$K_{ae} = \varepsilon^{wb}{}_a\varepsilon^{mn}{}_e(g_{nw}g_{mb} + g_{bm}g_{wm}) \tag{2.124}$$

$$L_{an} = \varepsilon^{wb}{}_a(g_{nw}g_{4b} - g_{4w}g_{mb} - g_{b4}g_{wn} - g_{bn}g_{w4}) \tag{2.125}$$

Let us give the following remark on confinement condition $\overrightarrow{D}^a = 0$. This condition should be satisfied outside a hadron, which in our model is a solution of field equation for the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with or without fermion (quark) sources with $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ or $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$. In this way we consider a soliton model of hadrons. Inside a hadron, i.e. a solution of field equation this condition is not satisfied. The solution should be static (or stationary) with spherical symmetry or axial symmetry.

Eq. (2.68) can be rewritten in a different shape

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{W}) = 8\pi \overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta} - \Lambda g_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.126}$$

From Eq. (2.126) one gets

$$\overline{R}_{(\alpha\beta)}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 8\pi \overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{(\alpha\beta)} - \Lambda g_{(\alpha\beta)} \tag{2.127}$$

$$\overline{R}_{[[\alpha\beta],\gamma]}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 8\pi \overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{[[\alpha\beta],\gamma]} - \Lambda g_{[[\alpha\beta],\gamma]} \tag{2.128}$$

We use of course a fact that a trace of $\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ is zero

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta}\, g^{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{2.129}$$

Eq. (2.71) can be rewritten in the form

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\overline{\nabla}}_\mu\,(\ell_{ab}L^{a\alpha\mu}) = 2g^{[\alpha\beta]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\overline{\nabla}}_\beta\,(h_{ab}g^{[\mu\nu]}H^a{}_{\mu\nu}), \tag{2.130}$$

$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu$ means a gauge derivative with respect to a connection ω, $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\overline{\nabla}}_\mu$ means a covariant derivative with respect to connection ω (a gauge derivative) and a connection $\overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta$ on E at once,

$$\underset{\sim}{L}^{a\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}\,L^{a\mu\nu}, \quad \underset{\sim}{g}^{[\mu\nu]} = \sqrt{-g}\,g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.131}$$

Let us consider a different approach to NGT coming from Einstein Unified Field Theory. This is a so called Hermitian-Nonsymmetric Theory (see Refs [18, 37]). In this theory we have a fundamental tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ as before. Moreover, now this tensor is complex and Hermitian

$$g^{*}_{\nu\mu} = g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.132}$$

(In this case the star $*$ means the complex conjugation. Do not mix up it with the Hodge star.) In such a way one gets

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{(\mu\nu)} + g_{[\mu\nu]} \tag{2.133}$$

and $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ is pure imaginary

$$g_{[\mu\nu]} = ip_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.134}$$

where $p_{\mu\nu}$ is a real antisymmetric tensor

$$p_{\mu\nu} = -p_{\nu\mu}. \tag{2.135}$$

In the theory we have two connections as before (in the real version) $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}\overline{\theta}^{\gamma}$ and $\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}\overline{\theta}$. The first connection is an Hermitian connection (in holonomic system of coordinates)

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{*\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\beta} \tag{2.136}$$

and

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{[\beta\alpha]} = 0 \tag{2.137}$$

or

$$\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\alpha}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 0 \tag{2.138}$$

where $\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}(\overline{\Gamma})$ is a torsion of the connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$. The second connection is not Hermitian

$$\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \frac{2}{3}\,\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\overline{W} \tag{2.139}$$

and the form $\overline{W}$ is pure imaginary.

The Ricci tensor is defined as before (Moffat–Ricci) tensor. This tensor is Hermitian. The inverse tensor of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $g^{\mu\nu}$ is also Hermitian. It is easy to prove that in a nonholonomic system of coordinates we have in place of Eq. (2.136)

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{*\nu}{}_{\mu\omega} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\nu}{}_{\omega\mu} + (\widetilde{\overline{\Gamma}}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\omega} - \widetilde{\overline{\Gamma}}^{\nu}{}_{\omega\mu}) \tag{2.140}$$

where $\widetilde{\overline{\Gamma}}^{\nu}{}_{\omega\mu}$ is a Levi-Civita connection generated by the symmetric part of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{(\mu\nu)}$. The connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ satisfies Eqs (2.2)–(2.3).

Now we construct a Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory exactly as before (see Refs [3, 4]). In the 5-dimensional (electromagnetic) case we have in place of the nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ an Hermitian tensor $g^{*}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$. Thus we get Nonsymmetric Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory. It is an Hermitian metrization of a fiber bundle. This is a natural Hermitian metrization of a fiber bundle (5-dimensional case).

All the formulas are the same. Moreover, we should remember that $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ is pure imaginary. The connection $\omega^{A}{}_{B}$ on $\underline{P}$ is Hermitian in holonomic system of coordinates. Moreover, in our lift-horizontal basis it satisfies a different condition

$$\Gamma^{*N}{}_{MW} = \Gamma^{N}{}_{MW} + (\widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{MW} - \widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{WM}) \tag{2.141}$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}^N{}_M = \widetilde{\Gamma}^N{}_{MW}\theta^W$ is a Levi-Civita connection generated by $\gamma_{(AB)}$, where $N, W, M, A, B = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. If a frame is holonomic, we get a condition to be Hermitian from Eq. (2.141).

In the case of an exact solution from Ref. [9] we have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & \omega \\ 0 & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.142}$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{i\ell^2}{r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \Big(1 - \frac{\ell^4}{r^4}\Big)\alpha^{-1}$$

and all the remaining formulae are the same as in Ref. [9] (Eqs (4.1), (4.3), (4.5), (4.6) of Ref. [9]). The energy-momentum tensor for an electromagnetic field is also Hermitian

$$\overset{\text{em}}{T}{}^*_{\alpha\beta} = \overset{\text{em}}{T}{}_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{2.143}$$

Let us come to the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory in a general non-Abelian case. In this way we consider an Hermitian nonsymmetric tensor on $\underline{P}$ (as in this section) γ_{AB}. But now our constant μ is a pure imaginary constant, e.g. $\mu = i\overline{\mu}$, where $\overline{\mu}$ is a real number. Our tensor is right invariant with respect to the group action on $\underline{P}$ and Hermitian,

$$\gamma^*_{AB} = \gamma_{BA}. \tag{2.144}$$

In all the formulae derived here it is enough to put $i\overline{\mu}$ in place of μ. The connection on $\underline{P}$, $\omega^A{}_B$ satisfies condition (2.141), but now $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots, n+4$,

$$\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \mu k_{ab} = h_{ab} + i\overline{\mu}k_{ab}, \tag{2.145}$$

$$\ell^*_{ab} = \ell_{ba} \tag{2.146}$$

In this way we consider a natural Hermitian metrization of a fiber bundle in a general non-Abelian case (for a semisimple gauge group). All energy-momentum tensors are now Hermitian, e.g.

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{T_{\alpha\beta}}{}^* = \overset{\text{gauge}}{T}{}_{\beta\alpha}\,. \tag{2.147}$$

Let us give the following remark. A lift horizontal basis in Kaluza–Klein Theory is nonholonomic. For this a Levi-Civita connection coefficients are not Christoffel symbols. They are not symmetric in lower indices.

One can consider in place of a complex (Hermitian) metric also a hypercomplex (Hermitian) metric (see Ref. [38]). Hypercomplex numbers (see Ref. [39]) are defined as

$$x = x_1 + Ix_2 \tag{2.148}$$

where x_1, x_2 are real numbers and

$$I^2 = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for complex numbers,} \\ +1 & \text{for hypercomplex numbers,} \\ 0 & \text{for dual or parabolic numbers.} \end{cases} \tag{2.149}$$

Hypercomplex numbers form a ring. They do not form a field. Addition and multiplication are defined as usual taking into account the fact that $I^2 = 1$ $(I \neq 1)$. An inverse of a number does not always exist. This ring contains also divisors of zero. One gets

$$\begin{gathered} E_{1,2} = \tfrac{1}{2}(I \pm 1), \quad E_{1,2}^2 = E_{1,2} \\ E_1 \cdot E_2 = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{2.150}$$

In this way

$$x = \widetilde{x}_1 E_1 + \widetilde{x}_2 E_2 = (x_1 + x_2)E_1 + (x_2 - x_1)E_2 \tag{2.151}$$

and the ring of hypercomplex numbers is isomorphic to a simple product of two copies of real numbers field. In some sense it is a trivial structure in comparison with the complex numbers field.

Thus if we take

$$\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{(\mu\nu)} + I g_{[\mu\nu]} = E_1 g_{\mu\nu} - E_2 g_{\nu\mu} \tag{2.152}$$

where

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{(\mu\nu)} + g_{[\mu\nu]} \tag{2.153}$$

as in the real version of the theory, we get two disconnected real versions of the theory for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and transpose $g_{\nu\mu}$. The nonsymmetric natural metrization of a fiber bundle in Hermitian (hypercomplex) can be done analogously to the complex one in 5-dimensional case and in general non-Abelian (for a semisimple group) case.

Moreover, all the calculations given by us in the case of a real version can be repeated remembering that $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ should be shifted to $I g_{[\mu\nu]}$ and also $k_{ab} \to I k_{ab}$. In this way we get Nonsymmetric–Hermitian (Hypercomplex) Kaluza–Klein Theory. Moreover, we can write also in the case of a tensor γ_{AB}

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{AB} = \gamma_{(AB)} + I\gamma_{[AB]} = E_1 \gamma_{AB} - E_2 \gamma_{BA} \tag{2.154}$$

$$\gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{(AB)} + \gamma_{[AB]} \tag{2.155}$$

and we have as before in a 4-dimensional case two disconnected real versions. Thus in the case of Hermitian (Hypercomplex) Kaluza–Klein Theory we are reduced to a real version. Moreover, from the methodological point of view it is better to consider a Hermitian approach.

The solution (2.142) will now look

$$\omega = \frac{I\ell^2}{r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \Big(1 + \frac{\ell^4}{r^4}\Big)\alpha^{-1}. \tag{2.156}$$

This solution can be written also in the form (2.153)–(2.154), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} + J \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{l^2}{r^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{l^2}{r^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= E_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & \frac{l^2}{r^2} \\ 0 & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ -\frac{l^2}{r^2} & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} - E_2 \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & -\frac{l^2}{r^2} \\ 0 & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ \frac{l^2}{r^2} & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.157}$$

where γ is given by the second formula of Eq. (2.156).

3 Spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory

In order to incorporate a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in our geometrical unification of gravitation and Yang–Mills' fields we consider a fiber bundle $\underline{P}$ over a base manifold $E \times G/G_0$, where E is a space-time, $G_0 \subset G$, G_0, G are semisimple Lie groups. Thus we are going to combine a Kaluza–Klein theory with a dimensional reduction procedure.

Let $\underline{P}$ be a principal fiber bundle over $V = E \times M$ with a structural group H and with a projection π, where $M = G/G_0$ is a homogeneous space, G is a semisimple Lie group and G_0 its semisimple Lie subgroup. Let us suppose that (V, γ) is a manifold with a nonsymmetric metric tensor

$$\gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{(AB)} + \gamma_{[AB]}. \tag{3.1}$$

The signature of the tensor γ is $(+---, \underbrace{---\cdots-}_{n_1})$. Let us introduce a natural frame on $\underline{P}$

$$\theta^{\tilde{A}} = (\pi^*(\theta^A), \theta^0 = \lambda\omega^a), \quad \lambda = \text{const}. \tag{3.2}$$

It is convenient to introduce the following notation. Capital Latin indices with tilde $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C}$ run $1, 2, 3, \ldots, m+4$, $m = \dim H + \dim M = n + \dim M = n + n_1$, $n_1 = \dim M$, $n = \dim H$. Lower Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and lower Latin indices $a, b, c, d = n_1 + 5, n_2 + 5, \ldots, n_1 + 6, \ldots, m + 4$. Capital Latin indices $A, B, C = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1 + 4$. Lower Latin indices with tilde $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}$ run $5, 6, \ldots, n_1 + 4$. The symbol over θ^A and other quantities indicates that these quantities are defined on V. We have of course

$$n_1 = \dim G - \dim G_0 = n_2 - (n_2 - n_1),$$

where $\dim G = n_2$, $\dim G_0 = n_2 - n_1$, $m = n_1 + n$.

On the group H we define a bi-invariant (symmetric) Killing–Cartan tensor

$$h(A, B) = h_{ab} A^a B^b. \tag{3.3}$$

We suppose H is semisimple, it means $\det(h_{ab}) \neq 0$. We define a skew-symmetric right-invariant tensor on H

$$k(A, B) = k_{bc} A^b B^c, \quad k_{bc} = -k_{cb}.$$

Let us turn to the nonsymmetric metrization of $\underline{P}$.

$$\kappa(X, Y) = \gamma(X, Y) + \lambda^2 \ell_{ab} \omega^a(X) \omega^b(Y) \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \xi k_{ab} \tag{3.5}$$

is a nonsymmetric right-invariant tensor on H. One gets in a matrix form (in the natural frame (3.2))

$$\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \gamma_{AB} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \ell_{ab} \end{array} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

$\det(\ell_{ab}) \neq 0$, $\xi = \text{const}$ and real, then

$$\ell_{ab}\ell^{ac} = \ell_{ba}\ell^{ca} = \delta^c_b. \tag{3.7}$$

The signature of the tensor κ is $(+,---,\underbrace{-\cdots-}_{n_1},\underbrace{--\cdots-}_{n})$. As usual, we have commutation relations for Lie algebra of H, $\mathfrak{h}$

$$[X_a, X_b] = C^c{}_{ab}X_c. \tag{3.8}$$

This metrization of $\underline{P}$ is right-invariant with respect to an action of H on P.

Now we should nonsymmetrically metrize $M = G/G_0$. M is a homogeneous space for G (with left action of group G). Let us suppose that the Lie algebra of G, $\mathfrak{g}$ has the following reductive decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{m} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie algebra of G_0 (a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$) and $\mathfrak{m}$ (the complement to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$) is $\operatorname{Ad} G_0$ invariant, $\dot{+}$ means a direct sum. Such a decomposition might be not unique, but we assume that one has been chosen. Sometimes one assumes a stronger condition for $\mathfrak{m}$, the so called symmetry requirement,

$$[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0. \tag{3.10}$$

Let us introduce the following notation for generators of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad Y_{\hat{i}} \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad Y_{\hat{a}} \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \tag{3.11}$$

This is a decomposition of a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ according to (3.9). We define a symmetric metric on M using a Killing–Cartan form on G in a classical way. We call this tensor h_0.

Let us define a tensor field $h^0(x)$ on G/G_0, $x \in G/G_0$, using tensor field h on G. Moreover, if we suppose that h is a biinvariant metric on G (a Killing–Cartan tensor) we have a simpler construction.

The complement $\mathfrak{m}$ is a tangent space to the point $\{\varepsilon G_0\}$ of M, ε is a unit element of G. We restrict h to the space $\mathfrak{m}$ only. Thus we have $h^0(\{\varepsilon G_0\})$ at one point of M. Now we propagate $h^0(\{fG_0\})$ using a left action of the group G

$$h^0(\{fG_0\}) = (L_f^{-1})^*(h^0(\{\varepsilon G_0\})).$$

$h^0(\{\varepsilon G_0\})$ is of course $\operatorname{Ad} G_0$ invariant tensor defined on $\mathfrak{m}$ and $L_f^* h^0 = h^0$.

We define on M a skew-symmetric 2-form k^0. Now we introduce a natural frame on M. Let $f^i{}_{jk}$ be structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.

$$[Y_j, Y_k] = f^i{}_{jk}Y_i. \tag{3.12}$$

Y_j are generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us take a local section $\sigma : V \to G/G_0$ of a natural bundle $G \mapsto G/G_0$ where $V \subset M = G/G_0$. The local section σ can be considered as an introduction of a coordinate system on M.

Let ω_{MC} be a left-invariant Maurer–Cartan form and let

$$\omega^\sigma{}_{MC} = \sigma^*\omega_{MC}. \tag{3.13}$$

Using decomposition (3.9) we have

$$\omega^{\sigma}{}_{MC} = \omega^{\sigma}{}_{0} + \omega^{\sigma}{}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \theta^{\widehat{i}} Y_{\widehat{i}} + \overline{t}^{\tilde{a}} Y_{\tilde{a}}. \tag{3.14}$$

It is easy to see that $\overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}}$ is the natural (left-invariant) frame on M and we have

$$h^0 = h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}} \otimes \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{b}} \tag{3.15}$$

$$k^0 = k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.16}$$

According to our notation $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6, \ldots, n_1 + 4$.

Thus we have a nonsymmetric metric on M

$$\gamma_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = r^2 (h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} + \zeta k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) = r^2 g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Thus we are able to write down the nonsymmetric metric on $V = E \times M = E \times G/G_0$

$$\gamma_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & r^2 g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta} &= g_{(\alpha\beta)} + g_{[\alpha\beta]} \\ g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} &= h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} + \zeta k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \\ k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} &= -k^0{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}} \\ h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} &= h^0{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}}, \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6, \ldots, n_1 + 4 = \dim M + 4 = \dim G - \dim G_0 + 4$. The frame $\overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}}$ is unholonomic:

$$d\overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{b}} \wedge \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{c}} \tag{3.19}$$

where $\kappa^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ are coefficients of nonholonomicity and depend on the point of the manifold $M = G/G_0$ (they are not constant in general). They depend on the section σ and on the constants $f^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$.

We have here three groups H, G, G_0. Let us suppose that there exists a homomorphism μ between G_0 and H,

$$\mu : G_0 \to H \tag{3.20}$$

such that a centralizer of $\mu(G_0)$ in H, C^{μ} is isomorphic to G. C^{μ}, a centralizer of $\mu(G_0)$ in H, is a set of all elements of H which commute with elements of $\mu(G_0)$, which is a subgroup of H. This means that H has the following structure, $C^{\mu} = G$.

$$\mu(G_0) \otimes G \subset H. \tag{3.21}$$

If μ is a isomorphism between G_0 and $\mu(G_0)$ one gets

$$G_0 \otimes G \subset H. \tag{3.22}$$

Let us denote by μ' a tangent map to μ at a unit element. Thus μ' is a differential of μ acting on the Lie algebra elements. Let us suppose that the connection ω on the fiber bundle $\underline{P}$ is invariant

under group action of G on the manifold $V = E \times G/G_0$. According to Refs [13, 40, 41, 42] this means the following.

Let e be a local section of $\underline{P}$, $e : V \subset U \to P$ and $A = e^*\omega$. Then for every $g \in G$ there exists a gauge transformation ρ_g such that

$$f^*(g)A = \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho_g^{-1}} A + \rho_g^{-1}\, dg_g, \tag{3.23}$$

f^* means a pull-back of the action f of the group G on the manifold V. According to Refs [40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45] (see also Refs [46, 47, 48]) we are able to write a general form for such an ω. Following Ref. [42] we have

$$\omega = \widetilde{\omega}_E + \mu' \circ \omega^\sigma{}_0 + \Phi \circ \omega^\sigma{}_{\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{3.24}$$

(An action of a group G on $V = E \times G/G_0$ means left multiplication on a homogeneous space $M = G/G_0$.) where $\omega^\sigma{}_0 + \omega^\sigma{}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \omega^\sigma{}_{MC}$ are components of the pull-back of the Maurer–Cartan form from the decomposition (3.14), $\widetilde{\omega}_E$ is a connection defined on a fiber bundle Q over a space-time E with structural group C^μ and a projection π_E. Moreover, $C^\mu = G$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_E$ is a 1-form with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. This connection describes an ordinary Yang–Mills' field gauge group $G = C^\mu$ on the space-time E. Φ is a function on E with values in the space $\widetilde{S}$ of linear maps

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{h} \tag{3.25}$$

satisfying

$$\Phi([X_0, X]) = [\mu' X_0, \Phi(X)], \quad X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \tag{3.26}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}_E &= \widetilde{\omega}^i{}_E Y_i, \quad Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ \omega^\sigma{}_0 &= \widehat{\theta^i} Y_{\widehat{i}}, \quad Y_{\widehat{i}} \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \\ \omega^\sigma{}_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}} Y_{\tilde{a}}, \quad Y_{\tilde{a}} \in \mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Let us write condition (3.24) in the base of left-invariant form $\widehat{\theta^i}, \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}}$, which span respectively dual spaces to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ (see Refs [49, 50]). It is easy to see that

$$\Phi \circ \omega^\sigma{}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \Phi^a{}_{\tilde{a}}(x) \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{a}} X_a, \quad X_a \in \mathfrak{h} \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\mu' = \mu^a{}_{\widehat{i}} \widehat{\theta^i} X_a. \tag{3.29}$$

From (3.26) one gets

$$\Phi^c_{\tilde{b}}(x) f^{\tilde{b}}_{\widehat{i}\tilde{a}} = \mu^a_{\widehat{i}} \Phi^b_{\tilde{a}}(x) C^c{}_{ab} \tag{3.30}$$

where $f^{\tilde{b}}_{\widehat{i}\tilde{a}}$ are structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $C^c{}_{ab}$ are structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Eq. (3.30) is a constraint on the scalar field $\Phi^a_{\tilde{a}}(x)$. For a curvature of ω one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = \frac{1}{2} H^C{}_{AB} \theta^A \wedge \theta^B X_C = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu \alpha^c_i X_c + \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi^c_{\tilde{a}} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^{\tilde{a}} X_c \\ + \frac{1}{2} C^c{}_{ab} \Phi^a_{\tilde{a}} \Phi^b_{\tilde{b}} \theta^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \theta^{\tilde{b}} X_c - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^c_{\tilde{d}} f^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \theta^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \theta^{\tilde{b}} X_c. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Thus we have

$$H^{c}{}_{\mu\nu} = \alpha^{c}_{i}\widetilde{H}^{i}{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.32}$$

$$H^{c}{}_{\mu\tilde{a}} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}} = -H^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}\mu} \tag{3.33}$$

$$H^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = C^{c}{}_{ab}\cdot\Phi^{a}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{b}_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^{c}_{\widehat{i}}f^{\widehat{i}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^{c}_{\tilde{d}}f^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \tag{3.34}$$

where $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}$ means gauge derivative with respect to the connection $\widetilde{\omega}_E$ defined on a bundle Q over a space-time E with a structural group G

$$Y_i = \alpha^{c}_{i}X_c. \tag{3.35}$$

$\widetilde{H}^{i}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of the connection $\overline{\omega}_E$ in the base $\{Y_i\}$, generators of the Lie algebra of the Lie group G, $\mathfrak{g}$, α^{c}_{i} is the matrix which connects $\{Y_i\}$ with $\{X_c\}$. Now we would like to remind that indices a, b, c refer to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}$ to the space $\mathfrak{m}$ (tangent space to M), $\widehat{\imath}, \widehat{\jmath}, \widehat{k}$ to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and i, j, k to the Lie algebra of the group G, $\mathfrak{g}$. The matrix α^{c}_{i} establishes a direct relation between generators of the Lie algebra of the subgroup of the group H isomorphic to the group G.

Let us come back to a construction of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory on a manifold P. We should define connections. First of all, we should define a connection compatible with a nonsymmetric tensor γ_{AB}, Eq. (3.18),

$$\overline{\omega}^{A}{}_{B} = \overline{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{BC}\theta^{C} \tag{3.36}$$

$$\overline{D}\gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{AD}\overline{Q}^{D}{}_{BC}(\overline{\Gamma})\theta^{C} \tag{3.37}$$

$$\overline{Q}^{D}{}_{BD}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 0$$

where $\overline{D}$ is the exterior covariant derivative with respect to $\overline{\omega}^{A}{}_{B}$ and $\overline{Q}^{D}{}_{BC}(\overline{\Gamma})$ its torsion.

Using (3.18) one easily finds that the connection (3.36) has the following shape

$$\overline{\omega}^{A}{}_{B} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^{*}_{E}(\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \widehat{\overline{\omega}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}} \end{array}\right) \tag{3.38}$$

where $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}\overline{\theta}^{\gamma}$ is a connection on the space-time E and $\widehat{\overline{\omega}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}} = \widehat{\overline{\Gamma}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}\overline{\theta}^{\tilde{c}}$ on the manifold $M = G/G_0$ with the following properties

$$\overline{D}g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\delta}\overline{Q}^{\delta}{}_{\beta\gamma}(\overline{\Gamma})\overline{\theta}^{\gamma} = 0 \tag{3.39}$$

$$\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\alpha}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 0 \tag{3.40}$$

$$\widehat{\overline{D}}g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}\widehat{\overline{Q}}^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}}). \tag{3.41}$$

$$\widehat{\overline{Q}}^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}}) = 0$$

$\overline{D}$ is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to a connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$. $\overline{Q}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}$ is a tensor of torsion of a connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$. $\widehat{\overline{D}}$ is an exterior covariant derivative of a connection $\widehat{\overline{\omega}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}}$ and $\widehat{\overline{Q}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}})$ its torsion.

On a space-time E we also define the second affine connection $\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ such that

$$\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \frac{2}{3}\,\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\overline{W}, \tag{3.42}$$

where

$$\overline{W} = \overline{W}_{\gamma}\overline{\theta}^{\gamma} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\overline{W}^{\sigma}{}_{\gamma\sigma} - \overline{W}^{\sigma}{}_{\gamma\sigma}).$$

We proceed a nonsymmetric metrization of a principal fiber bundle $\underline{P}$ according to (3.18). Thus we define a right-invariant connection with respect to an action of the group H compatible with a tensor $\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$

$$\begin{gathered} D\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{D}}Q^{\tilde{D}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}(\Gamma)\theta^{\tilde{C}} \\ Q^{\tilde{D}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}}(\Gamma) = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{3.43}$$

where $\omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} = \Gamma^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}\widetilde{\theta}^{\tilde{C}}$. D is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to the connection $\omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$ and $Q^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}$ its torsion. After some calculations one finds

$$\omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^{*}(\overline{\omega}^{A}{}_{B}) - \ell_{db}\gamma^{MA}L^{d}{}_{MB}\theta^{b} & L^{a}{}_{BC}\theta^{C} \\ \ell_{bd}\gamma^{AB}(2H^{d}{}_{CB} - L^{d}{}_{CB})\theta^{C} & \widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} \end{array}\right) \tag{3.44}$$

where

$$L^{d}{}_{MB} = -L^{d}{}_{BM} \tag{3.45}$$

$$\ell_{dc}\gamma_{MB}\gamma^{CM}L^{d}{}_{CA} + \ell_{cd}\gamma_{AM}\gamma^{MC}L^{d}{}_{BC} = 2\ell_{cd}\gamma_{AM}\gamma^{MC}H^{d}{}_{BC}, \tag{3.46}$$

$L^{d}{}_{CA}$ is Ad-type tensor with respect to H (Ad-covariant on $\underline{P}$)

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b} = \widetilde{\Gamma}^{a}{}_{bc}\theta^{c} \tag{3.47}$$

$$\ell_{db}\widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{ac} + \ell_{ad}\widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{cb} = -\ell_{db}C^{d}{}_{ac} \tag{3.48}$$

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{ac} = -\widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{ca}, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{ad} = 0. \tag{3.49}$$

We define on $\underline{P}$ a second connection

$$W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} = \omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} - \frac{4}{3(m+2)}\,\delta^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}\overline{W}. \tag{3.50}$$

Thus we have on P all $(m+4)$-dimensional analogues of geometrical quantities from NGT, i.e.

$$W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}, \quad \omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}.$$

Let us calculate a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for the connection $W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$

$$R(W) = \kappa^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\big(R^{\tilde{C}}{}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}(W) + \tfrac{1}{2}R^{\tilde{C}}{}_{\tilde{C}\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(W)\big) \tag{3.51}$$

where $R^{\tilde{C}}{}_{\tilde{C}\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(W)$ is a curvature tensor for a connection $W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$ and $\kappa^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$ is an inverse tensor for $\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$

$$\kappa^{\tilde{A}\tilde{C}}\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \kappa^{\tilde{C}\tilde{A}}\kappa_{\tilde{B}\tilde{A}} = \delta^{\tilde{C}}{}_{\tilde{B}}. \tag{3.52}$$

Using results from Ref. [1] one gets (having in mind some analogies from a theory with a base space E to the theory with the base space $V = E \times M = E \times G/G_0$)

$$R(W) = \overline{R}(\overline{W}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\, R(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}}) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\, \widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\, \ell_{ab}(2H^a H^b - L^{aMN} H^b{}_{MN}) \tag{3.53}$$

where $\overline{R}(\overline{W})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar on the space-time E for a connection $\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$, $R(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for a connection $\widehat{\overline{\omega}}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}}$ on a homogeneous space $M = G/G_0$, $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for a connection $\widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}$,

$$H^a = \gamma^{[AB]} H^a{}_{[AB]} = g^{[\alpha\beta]} H^a{}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{r^2}\, g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]} H^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \tag{3.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L^{aMN} = \gamma^{AM}\gamma^{BN} L^a{}_{AB} &= \delta^M{}_{\mu}\delta^N{}_{\gamma} g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\gamma} L^a{}_{\alpha\beta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2}(g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\alpha\tilde{b}} + g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{n}} g^{\beta\gamma} L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\beta})\delta^M{}_{\mu}\delta^N{}_{\tilde{n}} + \frac{1}{r^4}\, g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \delta^M{}_{\tilde{m}}\delta^N{}_{\tilde{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

One finds that

$$\begin{aligned} -\ell_{ab} L^{aMN} H^b{}_{MN} &= -\ell_{ab}\Big(g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} L^a{}_{\alpha\beta} H^b{}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{r^2}\, g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\alpha\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\mu\tilde{n}} + \frac{1}{r^4}\, g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\Big) \\ &= -\ell_{ab}\Big(L^{a\mu\nu} H^b{}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{r^2}\, g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^{\alpha\mu}{}_{\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\mu\tilde{n}} + \frac{1}{r^4}\, g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

We get conditions from Eq. (3.46)

$$\ell_{dc} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} L^d{}_{\gamma\alpha} + \ell_{cd} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} L^d{}_{\beta\gamma} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} H^d{}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{3.57}$$

$$\ell_{dc} g_{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}} g^{\tilde{c}\tilde{m}} L^d{}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} + \ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} L^d{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} H^d{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\ell_{dc} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} L^d{}_{\gamma\tilde{a}} + \ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} L^d{}_{\beta\tilde{c}} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} H^d{}_{\beta\tilde{c}} \tag{3.59}$$

$$L^{a\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} L^a{}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.60}$$

$$L^{a\mu}{}_{\tilde{b}} = g^{\alpha\mu} L^a{}_{\mu\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.61}$$

For $\ell_{ab} H^a H^b = h_{ab} H^a H^b$ we have the following:

$$h_{ab} H^a H^b = h_{ab} H^a{}_0 H^b{}_0 + \frac{2}{r^2}\, h_{ab} H^a{}_0 H^b{}_1 + \frac{1}{r^4}\, h_{ab} H^a{}_1 H^b{}_1 \tag{3.62}$$

where

$$H^a{}_0 = g^{\alpha\beta} H^a{}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad H^a{}_1 = g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]} H^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.63}$$

Finally, we have for a density of $R(W)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{|\kappa|}\, R(W) &= \sqrt{-g}\, r^{n_1} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, \sqrt{|\ell|}\, R(W) \\ &= \sqrt{-g}\, r^{n_1} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, \sqrt{|\ell|}\, \Big(\overline{R}(\overline{W}) + \frac{\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\, R(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\, \ell_{ab}(2H^a{}_0 H^b{}_0 - L^{a\mu\nu} H^b{}_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{4r^2}\, \ell_{ab}(4H^{(a}{}_0 H^{b)}{}_1 - 2g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^{a\mu}{}_{\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\mu\tilde{n}}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4r^2}\, \ell_{ab}(2H^a{}_1 H^b{}_1 - g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} H^b{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}})\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

We define an integral of action

$$S \sim \int_U \sqrt{|\kappa|}\, R(W)\, d^{m+4}x, \tag{3.65}$$

where

$$U = M \times G \times V, \quad V \subset E, \quad d^{m+4}x = d^4x\, d\mu_H(h)\, dm(y),$$

$d\mu_H(h)$ is a biinvariant measure on a group H and $dm(y)$ is a measure on M induced by a biinvariant measure on G. $\overline{R}(\overline{W})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar for a connection $\overline{W}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ on E.

Let us consider Eqs (3.57)–(3.59) modulo equations (3.32)–(3.34). One gets

$$\ell_{ij} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} \widetilde{L}^i{}_{\gamma\alpha} + \ell_{ji} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} \widetilde{L}^i{}_{\beta\gamma} = 2\ell_{ji} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} \widetilde{H}^i{}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{3.66}$$

where $\ell_{ij} = \ell_{cd} \alpha^c{}_i \alpha^d{}_j$ is a right-invariant nonsymmetric metric on the group G and

$$L^c{}_{\mu\nu} = \alpha^c{}_i \widetilde{L}^i{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.67}$$

$\widetilde{L}^i{}_{\mu\nu}$ plays a role of an induction tensor for the Yang–Mills' field with the gauge group G. $\widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu}$ is of course the tensor of strength of this field. The polarization tensor is defined as usual

$$\widetilde{L}^i{}_{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu} - 4\pi \widetilde{M}^i{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.68}$$

We introduce two Ad_G-type 2-forms with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (of G)

$$\widetilde{L} = \tfrac{1}{2}\, \widetilde{L}^i{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu Y_i \tag{3.69}$$

$$\widetilde{M} = \tfrac{1}{2}\, \widetilde{M}^i{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu Y_i \tag{3.70}$$

and we easily write

$$\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{\Omega}_E - 4\pi \widetilde{M} = \widetilde{\Omega}_E - \tfrac{1}{2}\, Q \tag{3.71}$$

where $\widetilde{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\, \widetilde{Q}^i{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu Y_i$, $\widetilde{Q}^i{}_{\mu\nu} = \alpha^i{}_c Q^c{}_{\mu\nu}$. $\widetilde{\Omega}_E$ is a 2-form of a curvature of a connection $\widetilde{\omega}_E$ (Eq. (3.27)) in Eq. (3.31) (the first term of this equation).

In this way we get a geometrical interpretation of a Yang–Mills' induction tensor in terms of the curvature tensor and torsion in additional dimensions (see Refs [1, 3]). Afterwards we get

$$\ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}} g^{\tilde{c}\tilde{m}} L^d{}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} + \ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} L^d{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} (C^d{}_{ab} \Phi^a_{\tilde{b}} \Phi^b_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^d_{\widehat{i}} f^{\widehat{i}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} - \Phi^d_{\tilde{d}} f^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}), \tag{3.72}$$

$$\ell_{cd} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} L^d{}_{\gamma\tilde{a}} + \ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} L^d{}_{\beta\tilde{c}} = 2\ell_{cd} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}_\beta \Phi^d_{\tilde{c}}. \tag{3.73}$$

Let us rewrite an action integral

$$S = -\frac{1}{V_1 V_2 r^{n_1}} \int_U (R(W)\, d^n x)\, d^{n_1}x\, d^4x, \quad U = V \times M \times H, \quad V \subset E, \tag{3.74}$$

$$V_1 = \int_H \sqrt{|\ell|}\, d^n x \tag{3.75}$$

$$V_2 = \int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x. \tag{3.76}$$

Thus we get

$$S = -\int_V \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x\, \mathcal{L}(\overline{W}, g, \widetilde{A}, \Phi) \tag{3.77}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\overline{W}, g, \widetilde{A}, \Phi)$$
$$= \overline{R}(\overline{W}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\Big(8\pi\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM}(\widetilde{A}) + \frac{2}{r^2}\,\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}\Phi) + \frac{1}{r^4}\,V(\Phi) - \frac{4}{r^2}\,\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}(\Phi, \widetilde{A})\Big) + \lambda_c \qquad (3.78)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM}(\widetilde{A}) = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\,\ell_{ij}(2\widetilde{H}^i\widetilde{H}^j - L^{i\mu\nu}\widetilde{H}^j{}_{\mu\nu}) \qquad (3.79)$$

is the lagrangian for the Yang–Mills' field with the gauge group G (see Eqs (2.33) and (2.39)),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}\Phi) = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x(\ell_{ab}g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}}L^{a\mu}{}_{\tilde{b}}\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\mu\Phi^b_{\tilde{n}})$$
$$= \ell_{ab}g^{\alpha\mu}\,\frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x(g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}}L^a{}_{\alpha\tilde{b}}\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\mu\Phi^b_{\tilde{n}}) \qquad (3.80)$$

is a kinetic part of a lagrangian for a scalar field $\Phi^a_{\tilde{a}}$. It is quadratic in gauge derivative of $\Phi^a_{\tilde{a}}$ and is invariant with respect to the action of groups H and G.

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{\ell_{ab}}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x\Big[2g^{[\tilde{m}\tilde{n}]}(C^a{}_{cd}\Phi^c_{\tilde{m}}\Phi^d_{\tilde{n}} - \mu^a_{\widehat{i}}\widehat{f^i}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}} - \Phi^a_{\tilde{e}}f^{\tilde{e}}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}})$$
$$g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]}(C^b{}_{ef}\Phi^e_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^f_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^b_{\widehat{j}}\widehat{f^j}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^b_{\tilde{a}}f^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) - g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}}L^a{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}(C^b{}_{cd}\Phi^c_{\tilde{m}}\Phi^d_{\tilde{n}} - \mu^b_{\widehat{i}}\widehat{f^i}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}} - \Phi^b_{\tilde{e}}f^{\tilde{e}}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}})\Big] \qquad (3.81)$$

is a self-interacting term for a field Φ. It is invariant with respect to the action of the groups H and G. This term is a polynomial of fourth order in Φ's (a Higgs' field potential term)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}(\Phi, \widetilde{A}) = h_{ab}\mu^a{}_i\widetilde{H}^i\underline{g}^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]}(C^b{}_{cd}\Phi^c_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^d_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^b_{\widehat{i}}\widehat{f^i}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^b_{\tilde{d}}f^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) \qquad (3.82)$$

where

$$\underline{g}^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]} = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x\,g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]} \qquad (3.83)$$

is the term describing non-minimal coupling between the scalar field F and the Yang–Mills' field. This term is also invariant with respect to the action of the groups H and G.

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\,\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{r^2V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,\widehat{\overline{R}}(\widehat{\overline{\Gamma}})\,d^{n_1}x = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\,\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\,\underline{\widetilde{P}}. \qquad (3.84)$$

The condition (3.73) can be explicitly solved (see Appendix A). One gets

$$L^n{}_{\omega\tilde{m}} = \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^n_{\tilde{m}} + \xi k^n{}_d\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^d_{\tilde{m}} - (\zeta\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^n_{\tilde{a}}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}k_{0\tilde{d}\tilde{m}} + \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\mu)}\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\alpha\Phi^n_{\tilde{m}}g_{[\mu\omega]})$$
$$- 2\xi\zeta k^n{}_d\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^d_{\tilde{d}}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\alpha)}g_{[\alpha\omega]}h^{0\tilde{d}\tilde{a}}k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} + \xi k^n{}_d(\zeta^2h^{\tilde{d}\tilde{a}}\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^d_{\tilde{a}}k^0{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{b}}k^0{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}}h^{0\tilde{c}\tilde{b}}$$
$$+ \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\beta\Phi^d_{\tilde{m}}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)}g_{[\delta\alpha]}g_{[\omega\mu]}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\mu)}) - \xi^2k^{nb}k_{bd}(\zeta\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega\Phi^d_{\tilde{a}}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}k^0{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{b}} + \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_a\Phi^d_{\tilde{m}}g_{[\omega\beta]}) \qquad (3.85)$$

where

$$k^{nb} = h^{na}h^{bp}k_{ap}. \qquad (3.86)$$

The condition (3.72) can be also explicitly solved. One gets

$$L^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} = H^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} + \mu k^{n}{}_{d} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} + \zeta(h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}} H^{n}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{m}} - h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}} H^{n}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{w}})$$
$$- 2\mu\zeta^{2} h^{0\tilde{d}\tilde{c}} h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{a}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{m}} - 2\mu\zeta k^{n}{}_{d} h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{p}} h^{0\tilde{d}\tilde{b}} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{b}[\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{m}]\tilde{p}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{a}}$$
$$+ 2\mu^{2}\zeta k^{nb} k_{bd} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{a}[\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{m}]\tilde{p}} h^{0\tilde{p}\tilde{a}}. \quad (3.87)$$

In this case a kinetic term for a scalar field takes a form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}\Phi) = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x \Big[\ell_{nk} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{p}} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\mu} \Phi^{k}_{\tilde{p}} \Big\{ \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{n}_{\tilde{m}} + \zeta k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}}$$
$$- \zeta \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{q}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{m}} - \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\alpha} \Phi^{a}_{\tilde{m}} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\mu)} g_{[\eta\omega]} - 2\xi\zeta \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\delta} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} k^{n}{}_{d} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\alpha)} g_{[\alpha\omega]} h^{o\tilde{d}\tilde{q}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{m}}$$
$$- \xi(\zeta^{2} k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} h^{0\tilde{b}\tilde{q}} h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{m}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{b}} + k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\beta} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\nu)} \widetilde{g}^{(\beta\rho)} g_{[\nu\omega]} g_{[\rho\alpha]})$$
$$+ \xi^{2}(\zeta k^{nb} k_{bd} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{q}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{m}} + \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\alpha} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} g_{[\beta\omega]}) \Big\}\Big]. \quad (3.88)$$

In the case of $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ (a Minkowski space-time) one gets

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}\Phi) = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x \Big[\ell_{nk} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{p}} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla^\omega} \Phi^{k}_{\tilde{p}} \Big\{ \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{n}_{\tilde{m}} + \xi k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}}$$
$$- \zeta \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{m}} - \xi\zeta^{2} k^{n}{}_{d} k^{0\tilde{b}}{}_{\tilde{m}} k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} + \xi^{2}\zeta k^{nb} k_{bd} k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{m}} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{a}} \Big\}\Big] \quad (3.89)$$

where $\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla^\omega} \Phi^{k}_{\tilde{p}} = \eta^{\omega\mu} \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\mu} \Phi^{k}_{\tilde{p}}$, $k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}} = h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} k_{0\tilde{c}\tilde{b}}$.

The Higgs potential is given by

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x \Big\{ g^{\tilde{w}\tilde{p}} g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{q}} \Big[h_{nk} H^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} + 2\zeta h_{nk} H^{n}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{w}} k^{0\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{m}}$$
$$+ \mu\zeta\Big(2k_{nk} H^{n}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{w}} k^{0\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{m}} + \zeta(-2k_{kd} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{n}} k^{0\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{w}} k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{m}} - k_{kd} H^{d}{}_{\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{a}} k^{0\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{a}} + k_{kd} H^{d}{}_{\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{m}} k^{0\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{a}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{w}}{}^{\tilde{a}})$$
$$+ \zeta(k_{nk} k^{n}{}_{d} H^{d}{}_{\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{m}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{w}}{}^{\tilde{r}} k^{\widetilde{\imath}}{}_{\tilde{r}} - 2k_{nk} k^{n}{}_{d} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{a}} k^{0\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{w}} k^{0\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{m}} - k_{nk} k^{n}{}_{d} H^{d}{}_{\widetilde{\imath}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{m}}{}^{\tilde{r}} k^{0\widetilde{\imath}}{}_{\tilde{r}})\Big)$$
$$+ \mu^{3}\zeta(k_{nk} k^{nb} k_{bd} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{w}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{m}}{}^{\tilde{a}} - k_{nk} k^{nb} k_{bd} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} k^{0}{}_{\tilde{w}}{}^{\tilde{a}})\Big] H^{k}{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} - 2h_{cd}(H^{c}{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} g^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]})(H^{d}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]})\Big\} \quad (3.90)$$

or

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x \Big(P_{kl}{}^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}][\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]} H^{k}{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} H^{l}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - 2h_{kl}(H^{k}{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}} g^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]})(H^{l}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]})\Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x\, Q_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} H^{s}{}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} H^{k}{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}. \quad (3.91)$$

$$Q^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]}_{sk} = Q^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}][\tilde{c}\tilde{d}]}_{ks} = -Q^{[\tilde{d}\tilde{c}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]}_{sk} = -Q^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{q}\tilde{p}]}_{sk} = Q^{[\tilde{d}\tilde{c}][\tilde{q}\tilde{p}]}_{sk}$$

where

$$P_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} = g^{[\tilde{c}[\tilde{p}}g^{\tilde{d}]\tilde{q}]}h_{sk} - 2\zeta h_{sk}k^{0[\tilde{d}}{}_{|\tilde{e}|}g^{\tilde{c}][\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{e}|\tilde{q}]} + \mu\zeta\Big(-2k_{sk}k^{0[\tilde{d}}{}_{|\tilde{e}|}g^{\tilde{c}][\tilde{p}|\tilde{e}|\tilde{q}]}$$
$$+ \zeta\big(2k_{sk}k^{0[\tilde{c}}{}_{|\tilde{e}|}k^{0\tilde{d}]}{}_{\tilde{f}}g^{\tilde{e}[\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{f}|\tilde{q}]} - k_{sk}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{e}\tilde{a}}k^{0[\tilde{d}|\tilde{a}|}g^{\tilde{c}][\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{e}|\tilde{q}]} - k_{sk}k^{0[\tilde{c}|\tilde{a}|}g^{\tilde{d}][\tilde{q}}g^{|\tilde{e}|\tilde{p}]}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{e}\tilde{a}}\big)\Big)$$
$$+ \mu^2\zeta\Big(-k_{bs}k_{k}{}^{b}k^{0[\tilde{d}}{}_{|\tilde{a}|}g^{\tilde{c}[\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{a}|\tilde{q}]} - 2k^{n}{}_{s}k_{nk}k^{0[\tilde{c}}{}_{|\tilde{e}|}k^{0\tilde{d}]}{}_{\tilde{f}}g^{\tilde{e}[\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{e}|\tilde{q}]} + \zeta\big(k^{n}{}_{s}k_{nk}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{a}}{}^{\tilde{r}}k^{0[\tilde{c}}{}_{|\tilde{r}|}g^{\tilde{a}[\tilde{p}}g^{\tilde{d}]\tilde{q}]}$$
$$+ \zeta\big(k^{n}{}_{s}k_{nk}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{a}}{}^{\tilde{r}}k^{0[\tilde{c}}{}_{|\tilde{r}|}g^{\tilde{a}[\tilde{p}\tilde{a}]\tilde{q}]} - 2k^{n}{}_{s}k_{nk}k^{0[\tilde{c}}{}_{|\tilde{e}|}k^{0\tilde{d}]}{}_{\tilde{f}}g^{\tilde{e}[\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{f}|\tilde{q}]}\big)\big)\Big)$$
$$+ \mu^3\zeta(-k_{bs}k^{nb}k_{nk}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{e}}{}^{[\tilde{d}}g^{\tilde{c}][\tilde{p}}g^{|\tilde{e}|\tilde{q}]} - k_{bs}k^{nb}k_{nk}k^{0}{}_{\tilde{e}}{}^{[\tilde{c}}g^{|\tilde{e}|[p}g^{\tilde{d}]\tilde{q}]}) + \mu^2 g^{[\tilde{c}[\tilde{p}}g^{\tilde{a}]\tilde{q}]}k^{p}{}_{s}k_{pk}, \quad (3.92)$$

$$Q_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} = P_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} - 2h_{sk}g^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}]}g^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]}.$$

Let us do some manipulations concerning physical dimensions. The connection ω on the fiber bundle P has no correct physical dimensions. Let us pass in all formulas from ω to $\alpha_s \frac{1}{\hbar c}\omega$,

$$\omega \mapsto \alpha_s \frac{1}{\hbar c}\omega, \quad (3.93)$$

where $\hbar$ is a Planck constant, c is the velocity of light in the vacuum and α_s is a dimensionless coupling constant for the Yang–Mills' field if this field couples to a matter. For example in the electromagnetic case $\alpha_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{137}}$. We use $\alpha_g = \alpha_s^2 = \frac{g^2}{\hbar c}$ where g is a coupling constant for a gauge field. The redefinition of ω is equivalent to a usual treatment in local section $e : V \supset U \to P$, $e^*\omega = \frac{g}{\hbar c}A$.

Let us notice that we do this redefinition for a connection ω, not only for ω_E. This means that we treat Higgs' field as a part of Yang–Mills' field (gauge field). This is a part of our geometrical unification of fundamental interactions. One easily writes an integral of action

$$S = -\frac{1}{r^2}\int \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x \Big[\overline{R}(\overline{W})$$
$$+ \frac{8\pi\lambda^2\alpha_s^2}{4c\hbar}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}} + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{kin}} - \frac{1}{8\pi r^2}V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2\pi r^2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}(\Phi, \widetilde{A})\Big) + \lambda_c\Big]. \quad (3.94)$$

If we want to be in line with an ordinary coupling between gravity and matter we should put

$$\frac{8\pi\lambda^2\alpha_s^2}{4c\hbar} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}. \quad (3.95)$$

One gets

$$\lambda = \frac{2}{\alpha_s}\ell_{\mathrm{pl}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha_g}}\ell_{\mathrm{pl}} \quad (3.96)$$

where ℓ_{pl} is the Planck length $\ell_{\mathrm{pl}} = \sqrt{\frac{G_N\hbar}{c^3}} \simeq 10^{-33}$ cm. In this case we have

$$\lambda_c = \Big(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{\ell_{\mathrm{pl}}^2}\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{\widetilde{P}}{r^2}\Big). \quad (3.97)$$

Let us pass to spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in our theory. In order to do this we look for the critical points (the minima) of the potential $V(\Phi)$. However, our field satisfies the constraints

$$\Phi_{\tilde{b}}^{c}f^{\tilde{b}}{}_{\widehat{i}\tilde{a}} - \mu^{a}{}_{\widehat{i}}\Phi_{\tilde{a}}^{b}C^{c}{}_{ab} = 0. \quad (3.98)$$

Thus we must look for the critical points of

$$V' = V + \psi^{\widehat{i}\tilde{d}}{}_{c}(\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{b}} f^{\tilde{b}}{}_{\widehat{i}\tilde{a}} - \mu^{a}_{\widehat{i}}\Phi^{b}_{\tilde{a}} C^{c}{}_{ab}) \tag{3.99}$$

where $\psi^{\widehat{i}\tilde{d}}{}_{c}$ is a Lagrange multiplier. Moreover, we should change dimensions of the scalar field $\Phi^{a}_{\tilde{a}}$ in the potential. It is in the following exchange form

$$H^{b}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = C^{d}{}_{cd}\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^{b}_{\widehat{i}} f^{\widehat{i}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^{b}_{\tilde{c}} f^{\tilde{c}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \tag{3.100}$$

to

$$H^{b}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = \alpha_s \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar c}} C^{d}{}_{cd}\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}} - \frac{1}{\alpha_s}\sqrt{\hbar c}\,\mu^{b}_{\widehat{i}} f^{\widehat{i}}{}_{\widetilde{a}\widetilde{b}} - \Phi^{b}_{\tilde{c}} f^{\tilde{c}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.101}$$

It is easy to see that, if

$$H^{a}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}} = 0 \tag{3.102}$$

then

$$\frac{\delta V'}{\delta \Phi} = 0 \tag{3.103}$$

if (3.98) is satisfied.

This was noticed in Refs [42], [1] and it is known in the symmetric theory. $H^{a}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{m}}$ is a part of the curvature of ω over a manifold M. Thus it means that $\Phi_{\rm crt}$ satisfying Eq. (3.102) is a "pure gauge". If the potential $V(\Phi)$ is positively defined, then we have the absolute minimum of V

$$V(\Phi^{0}_{\rm crt}) = 0. \tag{3.104}$$

But apart from this solution there are some others due to an influence of nonsymmetric metric on H and M. The details strongly depend on constants ξ, ζ and on groups G, G_0, H. There are also some critical which are minima. Moreover, we expect the second critical point $\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt} \neq \Phi^{0}_{\rm crt}$ such that $V(\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}) \neq 0$ and

$$H^{a}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}(\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}) \neq 0 \tag{3.105}$$

$$\frac{\delta V'}{\delta \Phi}(\Phi^{i}_{\rm crt}) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1. \tag{3.106}$$

This means that $\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}$ is not a "pure gauge" and a gauge configuration connected to $\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}$ is not trivial. This indicates that the local minimum is not a vacuum state. It is a "false vacuum" in contradiction to "true vacuum" for the absolute minimum $\Phi^{0}_{\rm crt}$.

Now we answer the question of what is a symmetry breaking if we choose one of the critical values of $\Phi^{0}_{\rm crt}$ (we choose one of the degenerated vacuum states and the spontaneous breaking of the symmetry takes place). In Ref. [42] it was shown that if $H^{a}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}} = 0$ and Eq. (3.98) is satisfied then the symmetry is reduced to G_0. In the case of the second minimum (local minimum—false vacuum) the unbroken symmetry will be in general different.

Let us call it G'_0 and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0$. This will be the symmetry which preserves $\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}$ and the constraint (3.98). It is easy to see that the Lie algebra of this unbroken group preserves $\Phi^{1}_{\rm crt}$ under Ad-action. For the symmetry group V is larger than G (it is H) we expect some scalars which remain massless after the symmetry breaking in both cases (i.e., $i = 0, 1$, "true" and false vacuum case). They became massive only through radiative corrections. They are often referrred as the pseudo-Goldstone bosons.

Let us pass to the integral of action (3.94) in the two vacuum cases $\Phi^0_{\rm crt}$, $\Phi^1_{\rm crt}$. Let us expand the Higgs' field $\Phi^{\tilde a}_a$ in the neighbourhood of $(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^a_{\tilde b}$, $k=0,1$,

$$\Phi^a_{\tilde b} = (\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^a_{\tilde b} + (\varphi^k)^a_{\tilde b} \tag{3.107}$$

and apply this formula for $e^*(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi^b_{\tilde a})$:

$$e^*(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi^b_{\tilde a}) = e^*(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla_\mu}(\varphi^k)^b_{\tilde a}) + \alpha_s\frac{1}{\hbar c}((\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^a_{\tilde a}C^b{}_{ac}\alpha^c{}_j\widetilde{A}^j{}_\mu + (\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^a_{\tilde b}f^{\tilde b}{}_{\tilde a j}\widetilde{A}^j{}_\mu) \tag{3.108}$$

and for $V(\Phi)$

$$V(\Phi) = V(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) + \widetilde{V}^k(\varphi^k), \quad k=0,1, \tag{3.109}$$

where $V(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})$ is the value for the critical value of Φ and $\widetilde{V}^k(\varphi^k)$ is the polynomial of fourth order in φ^k. If we use Eq. (3.88) we get a mass matrix for vector bosons $\widetilde{A}^j{}_\mu$ which strongly depends on $\Phi^k_{\rm crt}$

$$N^{\mu\nu}M^2_{ij}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})\widetilde{A}^i{}_\mu\widetilde{A}^j{}_\nu. \tag{3.110}$$

The matrix $N^{\mu\nu}$ depends on $g_{\mu\nu}$ and in the case $g_{\mu\nu}=\eta_{\mu\nu}$ (Minkowski tensor) we have

$$N^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \tag{3.111}$$

and

$$M^2_{ij}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi r^2\hbar c}\frac{1}{V_2}\int_M\sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x\Big\{\ell_{np}g^{\tilde m\tilde p}\overset{(k)}{B}{}^p{}_{\beta(i}\Big(\overset{(k)}{B}{}^d{}_{\tilde m j)} + \xi k^n{}_d\overset{(k)}{B}{}^d{}_{\tilde m j)} - \zeta\overset{(k)}{B}{}^d{}_{\tilde a j}k^{0\tilde a}{}_{\tilde m}$$
$$- \xi\zeta^2k^n{}_dk^{0\tilde b}{}_{\tilde m}k^{0\tilde a}{}_{\tilde b}\overset{(k)}{B}{}^d{}_{\tilde a j)} + \xi^2\zeta k^{nb}k_{bd}k^{0\tilde a}{}_{\tilde m}\overset{(k)}{B}{}^d{}_{\tilde a j)}\Big)\Big\}, \quad k=0,1, \tag{3.112}$$

where

$$\overset{(k)}{B}{}^b{}_{\tilde n i} = [\delta^{\tilde m}{}_{\tilde n}C^b{}_{ms}\alpha^s{}_i + \delta^b{}_m f^{\tilde m}{}_{\tilde n i}][\Phi^k_{\rm crt}]^m_{\tilde m} \quad (M^2_{ij}=M^2_{ji}). \tag{3.113}$$

In the case of a symmetric theory $\ell_{ab}=h_{ab}$, $g_{\tilde a\tilde b}=h^0{}_{\tilde a\tilde b}$ one gets

$$M^2_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi r^2\hbar c}\frac{1}{V_2}\int_M\sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,d^{n_1}x\,\{h_{bn}h^{0\tilde m\tilde p}B^b{}_{\tilde p(i}B^n{}_{\tilde m j)}\}. \tag{3.114}$$

Let us consider an expression

$$(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^m_{\tilde n}C^b{}_{ms}\alpha^s{}_i + (\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^b_{\tilde m}f^{\tilde m}{}_{\tilde n i} \tag{3.115}$$

in order to find its interpretation. One easily notices that it equals to

$$\big([{\rm Ad}'_H(Y_i) + {\rm Ad}'_G(Y_i)]\Phi^k_{\rm crt}\big)^b_{\tilde m} \tag{3.116}$$

(${\rm Ad}'_H$ and ${\rm Ad}'_G$ mean the adjoint representation of Lie algebras of $H(\mathfrak{h})$ and $G(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively).

Thus if $k=0$ (3.115) equals zero for $Y_i\in\mathfrak{g}_0$ and if $k=1$ (3.115) equals zero for $Y_i\in\mathfrak{g}'_0$. The latest statement comes from the invariancy of the vacuum state with respect to the action if the group G_0 for $k=0$ (G'_0 for $k=1$). Generators of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ($\mathfrak{g}'_0$) should annihilate vacuum state. Thus the matrix elements $M^2_{ij}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})$ are zero for i,j corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ($\mathfrak{g}'_0$).

From the invariancy of the potential V with respect to the action of the group G one gets

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Phi^b_{\tilde{n}} \partial \Phi^d_{\tilde{d}}}\Big|_{\Phi=\Phi^k_{\rm crt}} (T^{b\ c}_{\tilde{n}\,\tilde{c}})_i [\Phi^k_{\rm crt}]^{\tilde{c}}_{\ c} = 0 \tag{3.117}$$

where

$$(T^{b\ c}_{\tilde{n}\,\tilde{c}})_i [\Phi^k_{\rm crt}]^{\tilde{c}}_{\ c} = [\Phi^k_{\rm crt}]^m_{\ \tilde{n}} C^b_{\ ms} \alpha^s_{\ i} + [\Phi^k_{\rm crt}]^b_{\ \tilde{m}} f^{\tilde{m}}_{\ \tilde{n}i}. \tag{3.118}$$

Eigenvalues of $M^2_{ij}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})$ are the squares of the masses of the gauge bosons. The secular equation $\det(M^2 - m^2 I) = 0$ gives us a mass spectrum of massive vector bosons. Thus there is an orthogonal matrix $(A^i_j) = A$ such that $A^T = A^{-1}$ and

$$A^{-1} M^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) A = \begin{vmatrix} m_1^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & m^2_{l_k}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) \end{vmatrix}, \tag{3.119}$$

$$l_0 = n_1,\ l_1 = \dim G - \dim G'_0.$$

In this way we transform the broken vector fields into massive vector fields

$$\widetilde{B}^{i'}_{\ \mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} A^{i'}_{\ j} \widetilde{A}^j_\mu \tag{3.120}$$

such that

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} m_j^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) \widetilde{B}^j_\mu \widetilde{B}^j_\nu = \eta^{\mu\nu} M^2_{ij}(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) \widetilde{A}^i_\mu \widetilde{A}^j_\nu. \tag{3.121}$$

Moreover, we should remember the formula (3.98) which is a constraint on Higgs' field. The mass matrix of masses for Higgs' bosons can be obtained in a similar way,

$$V(\Phi) = V(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}) + \frac{\delta V}{2\delta\Phi^a_{\tilde{a}} \delta\Phi^b_{\tilde{b}}} \varphi^a_{\ \tilde{a}} \varphi^b_{\ \tilde{b}} + \dots \tag{3.122}$$

The matrix

$$m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b} = -\frac{\delta^2 V}{\delta\Phi^a_{\tilde{a}} \delta\Phi^b_{\tilde{b}}}\Big|_{\Phi=\Phi^k_{\rm crt}} \tag{3.123}$$

can be calculated for $k = 0$. One gets

$$m^{2\tilde{h}}_{\ f\ a}{}^{\tilde{e}} = \frac{-1}{8\pi r^2 V_2} \int_M \Big\{ \frac{8\alpha_s^2}{\hbar c} Q_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{e}\tilde{a}][\tilde{n}\tilde{q}]} C^s_{\ ac} C^k_{\ ef} (\Phi^0_{\rm crt})^c_{\ \tilde{a}} (\Phi^0_{\rm crt})^e_{\ \tilde{q}} - 2\frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}} Q_{as}{}^{[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}][\tilde{n}\tilde{a}]} f^{\tilde{e}}_{\ \tilde{p}\tilde{q}} C^s_{\ ef} (\Phi^0_{\rm crt})^e_{\ \tilde{a}}$$
$$+ \frac{4\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}} Q_{sf}{}^{[\tilde{e}\tilde{a}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} f^{\tilde{n}}_{\ \tilde{p}\tilde{q}} C^s_{\ ea} (\Phi^0_{\rm crt})^a_{\ \tilde{a}} + Q_{af}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} f^e_{\ \tilde{c}\tilde{d}} f^{\tilde{n}}_{\ \tilde{p}\tilde{q}} \Big\} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1} x. \tag{3.124}$$

For $k = 1$, $H^a_{\ \tilde{p}\tilde{q}}(\Phi^1_{\rm crt}) \neq 0$ and $\Phi^1_{\rm crt}$ (if exists) satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{2\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}} Q_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{e}\tilde{a}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} C^s_{\ ac} (\Phi^1_{\rm crt})^c_{\ \tilde{a}} = Q_{ak}{}^{[\tilde{c}\tilde{d}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} f^{\tilde{e}}_{\ \tilde{c}\tilde{d}} \tag{3.125}$$

and the supplementary condition (3.98).

A mass matrix for Higgs' bosons looks like

$$m^{2\tilde{h}}{}_{f}{}^{\tilde{e}}{}_{a} = \frac{-1}{8\pi r^2 V_2}\int_M \left(\frac{4\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\, Q_{sk}{}^{[\tilde{e}\tilde{h}][\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]} H^k{}_{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}(\Phi^1_{\rm crt}) C^s{}_{af}\right)\sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^{n_1}x. \tag{3.126}$$

We can diagonalize the mass matrix and we get

$$m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{a\ b}_{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}\varphi^{\tilde{a}}{}_a\varphi^{\tilde{b}}{}_b = \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} m_j^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^a{}_{\tilde{a}}\psi^a{}_{\tilde{a}}\psi^a{}_{\tilde{a}} \tag{3.127}$$

where

$$\psi^a{}_{\tilde{a}} = \sum_{b,\tilde{b}} A^{\tilde{b}\,\tilde{a}}_{b\ a}\varphi_{\tilde{b}}{}^b. \tag{3.128}$$

For the mass matrix one has

$$(A^{-1})^{\tilde{c}\,a}_{c\ \tilde{a}} m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b} A^{\tilde{d}\,b}_{d\ \tilde{b}} = (m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{\tilde{c}}{}_c)\delta^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{c}}\delta^c{}_d. \tag{3.129}$$

The eigenvalue problem for $m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})$ can be posed as follows

$$m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b}X^a{}_{\tilde{a}} = m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})X^{\tilde{b}}{}_b. \tag{3.130}$$

One gets the mass spectrum of Higgs' particles from the secular equation

$$\det([m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})]^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b} - m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})I^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b}) = 0 \tag{3.131}$$

where

$$I^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b} = \delta_{ab}\delta^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}. \tag{3.132}$$

The diagonalization procedure of the matrix $m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b}$ can be achieved in the two following ways. The matrix defines a quadratic form on the representation space N for Higgs' field. Moreover, the space N can be decomposed into Higgs' multiplets $\underline{m}_j$ and according to this decomposition the matrix can be written in a block diagonal form

$$[m^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})] = \sum_j \oplus m_j^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt}). \tag{3.133}$$

We can diagonalize every matrix $m_j^2(\Phi^k_{\rm crt})$ corresponding to the multiplet $\underline{m}_j$.

Let us consider a problem of the Higgs' multiplet $\Phi^c{}_{\tilde{a}}$ on E. One can find a representation space N of $\Phi^c{}_{\tilde{a}}$ in the following way (see Ref. [45]). Let

$$\mathrm{Ad}_G \to \sum_i \oplus \underline{n}_i \oplus \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0} \tag{3.134}$$

be the decomposition of the adjoint representation of G, where $\underline{n}_i$ are irreducible representations of G_0 and let us consider the branching rule of Ad_H

$$\mathrm{Ad}_H \to \sum_j \oplus(\underline{n}'_j \otimes \underline{m}_j) \tag{3.135}$$

where $\underline{n}'_j$ are irreducible representations of G_0 and $\underline{m}'_j$ are irreducible representations of G. The latest formula comes from the known fact $G_0 \otimes G \subset H$. Thus for every pair $(\underline{n}_i, \underline{n}'_j)$ where $\underline{n}_i$ and $\underline{n}'_j$ are identical irreducible representations of G_0 there is an $\underline{m}_j$ multiplet of Higgs' field on E. In this way we can decompose Φ into a sum

$$\Phi = \sum_{(\underline{n}_i, \underline{n}'_j)} \oplus \Phi_{\underline{m}_j}^{(\underline{n}_i, \underline{n}_j)} \tag{3.136}$$

or

$$N = \sum_{(\underline{n}_i, \underline{n}'_j)} \oplus m_j. \tag{3.137}$$

Thus the multiplet of Higgs' field is quite complicated in contradiction to the usual case where the Higgs' field belongs to the adjoint representation of chosen group. Moreover, in our case we have to do with smaller number of parameters in the theory. The theory is established by a coupling constant α_s, a radius r, parameters coming from the nonsymmetricity of the theory ξ, ζ, a homomorphism μ, an embedding of G in H, α_i^c ($\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$) and an embedding of G_0 in G (i.e. the manifold M).

The second way of diagonalization of the matrix $[m^2(\Phi^k_{\text{crt}})]$ is based on the following observation.

The matrix $m^2(\Phi^k_{\text{crt}})^{\tilde{a}\ \tilde{b}}_{a\ b}$ can be transformed into a different matrix $(n_1 n) \times (n_1 n)$ forming an index from two indices $\widetilde{a}$ and a

$$\overline{a} = \alpha a + \beta \widetilde{a} + \gamma \tag{3.138}$$

where α, β, γ are integers. The new index $\overline{a}$ should be unambigous. Thus we must choose α, β, γ in such a way that for every $\overline{a} \in N_1^{n_1 n}$ the equation (3.138) has only one solution for $a \in N_1^n$, $\widetilde{a} \in N_1^{n_1}$.

After this we diagonalize $[m^2(\Phi^k_{\text{crt}})]$ as an ordinary matrix. What is a scale of masses in our theory? It is easy to see that

$$m_{\tilde{A}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{r} \left(\frac{\hbar}{c}\right) \tag{3.139}$$

is this scale where $m_{\tilde{A}}$ is a typical vector boson mass obtained due to Higgs' mechanism.

Let us consider the following decomposition of the connection ω_E defined on the principal fiber bundle Q:

$$\omega_E = {\omega^0}_E + \sigma_E, \quad {\omega^0}_E \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \sigma_E \in \mathfrak{m}, \tag{3.140}$$

corresponding to the decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{m}.$$

In this way we consider a reduction of a bundle Q to Q_0 induced by an embedding of G_0 into G. The form ${\omega^0}_E$ is a connection defined on Q_0 and σ_E is a tensorial form defined on $Q(E, G)$. We suppose that the reduction of the bundle Q to Q_0 is possible.

The form ${\omega^0}_E$ corresponds to the Yang–Mills' field (massless vector bosons) which remains after symmetry breaking. The tensorial form σ_E corresponds to massive vector bosons.

One gets for the curvature form

$$\Omega_E = {\Omega^0}_E + D^0 \sigma_E + [\sigma_E, \sigma_E], \tag{3.141}$$

where ${\Omega^0}_E$ is a curvature form for ${\omega^0}_E$ and D^0 means a covariant exterior derivative with respect to ${\omega^0}_E$. Thus

$$ {\Omega^0}_E = \frac{1}{2}\,\widetilde{H}^{\widehat{i}}{}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}\wedge\overline{\theta}^{\nu}\beta^{i}_{\widehat{i}}Y_i \tag{3.142} $$

$$ \sigma_E = \sigma^{\tilde{a}}Y_{\tilde{a}} \tag{3.143} $$

$$ e^*D^0\sigma_E = \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla^0_{[\mu}}\,\overline{\sigma}^{a}{}_{\nu]}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}\wedge\overline{\theta}^{\nu}Y_{\tilde{a}} \tag{3.144} $$

$$ \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla^0_{[\mu}}\,\overline{\sigma}^{a}{}_{\nu]} = \partial_{[\mu}\overline{\sigma}^{a}{}_{\nu]} + \frac{g}{\hbar c}\,f^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}i}\beta^{i}_{\widehat{i}}\overline{\sigma}^{\tilde{b}}{}_{[\nu}\widetilde{A}^{\widehat{i}}{}_{\mu]} \tag{3.145} $$

$$ \sigma^*\sigma_E = \overline{\sigma}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\nu}\overline{\theta}^{\nu}Y_{\tilde{a}} = \overline{\sigma}^{i}{}_{\nu}\beta^{\tilde{a}}{}_{i}Y_{\tilde{a}}, \tag{3.146} $$

$$ e^*{\omega^0}_E = \widetilde{A}^{i}{}_{\mu}\beta^{i}_{\widehat{i}}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}Y_i \tag{3.147} $$

where e is a local section of the principal bundle Q, matrices $\beta^{\tilde{a}}_{i}$, $\beta^{i}_{\widehat{i}}$ define an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ into $\mathfrak{g}$, $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla^0_{\mu}}$ means a gauge derivative with respect to a connection ${\omega^0}_E$.

If the symmetry is broken from G to G'_0 we have a different decomposition

$$ \omega_E = {\omega'^0}_E + \sigma'_E. \tag{3.148} $$

One can easily connect σ_E or σ'_E with $\widetilde{B}$ (i.e. fields with defined non-zero rest mass, because $\widetilde{A}$ have not defined masses) fields. One gets

$$ e^*\sigma_E = \frac{g}{\hbar c}\,\widetilde{B}^{i'}{}_{\mu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}Y_{i'} \tag{3.149} $$

where

$$ Y_{i'} = (A^{-1})^{i}{}_{i'}Y_i = (A^{-1})^{i}{}_{i'}\beta^{\tilde{a}}{}_{i}Y_{\tilde{a}}. \tag{3.150} $$

The matrix A is defined by (3.119). The same holds for σ'_E.

Let us consider the following gauge transformation, i.e. a change of a local section of Q from e to f,

$$ e(x) = \overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1}(x)f(x), \tag{3.151} $$

where

$$ \overset{(k)}{U}(x) = \exp\Big(\sum_{\check{a}}\overset{(k)}{\eta}{}_{\check{a}}(x)Y_{\check{a}}\Big) \tag{3.152} $$

for $k=0$, $Y_{\check{a}}\in\mathfrak{m}$, i.e. $\check{a}=\tilde{a}$; for $k=1$, $Y_{\check{a}}\in\mathfrak{m}$, and $\overset{(k)}{\eta}{}_{\check{a}}(x)$ is a multiplet of scalar fields on E transforming according to the $\operatorname{Ad}G_0$ ($\operatorname{Ad}G'_0$). $Y_{\check{a}}$ span $\mathfrak{m}$ or $\mathfrak{m}'$ ($k=0$ or $k=1$). Such a gauge transformation (a condition) is a "unitary gauge".

Let us consider the following parametrization of the Higgs' field

$$ \Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}} = \operatorname{Ad}_G(\overset{(k)}{U}(x))^{\tilde{a}'}_{a}\operatorname{Ad}_H(\overset{(k)}{U}(x))^{\tilde{c}}_{c'}\big((\Phi^k_{\text{crt}})^{c'}{}_{\tilde{a}'} + \overset{(k)}{\varphi}{}^{c'}{}_{\tilde{a}'}(x)\big). \tag{3.153} $$

We transform Higgs' and gauge fields

$$ \Big(\Phi\overset{(k)}{U}\Big)^{c}_{\tilde{a}} = \operatorname{Ad}_H(\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1})^{c}_{c'}\operatorname{Ad}_G(\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1})^{\tilde{a}'}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{c'}_{\tilde{a}'} = (\Phi^k_{\text{crt}})^{a}_{\tilde{a}} + \overset{(k)}{\varphi}{}^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}}(x) \tag{3.154} $$

$$ \widetilde{B}'^{i}{}_{\mu}(x)Y_i = \operatorname{Ad}_H(\overset{(k)}{U}(x))^{i}{}_{j}\widetilde{B}^{j}{}_{\mu}Y_i - \frac{\hbar c}{g}\,\partial_{\mu}\overset{(k)}{U}(x)\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1}(x). \tag{3.155} $$

One easily gets

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}^0_\mu \Phi^c_{\tilde a} = \mathrm{Ad}_H(\overset{(k)}{U}(x))^c{}_{c'} \mathrm{Ad}_G(\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1}(x))^{\tilde a'}{}_{\tilde a} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}^0_\mu (\Phi^{\overset{(k)}{U}})^{c'}{}_{\tilde a'}. \tag{3.156}$$

On the level of a tensorial form one gets

$$f^*\overset{(k)}{\sigma}{}_E = \mathrm{Ad}_G(\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1}(x))(e^*\overset{(k)}{\sigma}{}_E - d\overset{(k)}{U}(x)\overset{(k)}{U}{}^{-1}(x)) \tag{3.157}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}}(\widetilde{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}}(\widetilde{B}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}}(\widetilde{B}'). \tag{3.158}$$

It is important to notice that we should consider a local section for ℓ_{ij}, i.e. $a_{ij} = e^*\ell_{ij}$ in the lagrangian for the Yang–Mills' field. The fields $\widetilde{B}'$ are massive with the same masses as $\widetilde{B}$. The important point to notice is that the full lagrangian is still G-gauge invariant. Moreover, a choice of a particular value of Φ^k_{crt} (which is G_0 invariant) reduces symmetry from G to G_0 (spontaneously). The fields $\eta_{\check a}(x)$ disappear. They are eaten by the gauge transformation and due to this the massive vector fields have three polarization degrees of freedom. Sometimes $\eta_{\check a}(x)$ are called "would-be Goldstone bosons". In the matrix of masses they correspond to zero modes.

Let us come back to field equations in our theory. From the Palatini variational principle for the action S (see Eqs (3.77)–(3.78)) one gets (variation with respect to $\overline{W}{}^\lambda{}_\mu, g_{\mu\nu}, \omega_E$ and Φ)

$$\overline{R}_{\mu\nu}(\overline{W}) - \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\mu\nu}\overline{R}(\overline{W}) = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}{}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}(\Phi) + \overset{\text{int}}{T}{}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda) \tag{3.159}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{g}{}^{[\mu\nu]}{}_{,\nu} = 0 \tag{3.160}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_\nu g^{[\mu\nu]} = 0 \tag{3.161}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu,\sigma} - g_{\xi\nu}\overline{\Gamma}{}^\xi{}_{\mu\sigma} - g_{\mu\xi}\overline{\Gamma}{}^\xi{}_{\sigma\nu} = 0 \tag{3.162}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}(\widetilde{\ell}_{ij}\underset{\sim}{\widetilde{L}}{}^{i\alpha\mu}) &= 2\underset{\sim}{g}{}^{[\alpha\beta]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\beta(\widetilde{h}_{ij}g^{[\mu\nu]}\widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu}) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{r^2}\sqrt{-g}\,\frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\Big[\ell_{ab}g^{\tilde b\tilde n}g^{\mu\alpha}L^a{}_{\mu\tilde b}(\Phi^d_{\tilde c}C^b{}_{dc}\alpha^c{}_j + \Phi^b_{\tilde a}f^{\tilde a}{}_{\tilde n j}) \\
&\quad + \Big(\frac{\delta L^a{}_{\beta\tilde b}}{\delta\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}_\alpha \Phi^w_{\tilde v}}\Big)\ell_{ab}g^{\tilde b\tilde n}g^{\beta\mu}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}_\mu \Phi^b_{\tilde n})(\Phi^d_{\tilde w}C^w{}_{dc}\alpha^c{}_j + \Phi^w_{\tilde a}f^{\tilde a}{}_{nj})\Big]_{av} \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{r^2}\sqrt{-g}\,h_{ab}\mu^a{}_k\widetilde{\ell}_{ij}\widetilde{\ell}^{ki}\widetilde{g}^{[\tilde a\tilde b]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu\Big\{g^{[\mu\alpha]}\Big[\frac{\sqrt{\hbar c}}{\alpha_s}\,C^b{}_{cd}\Phi^c_{\tilde a}\Phi^d_{\tilde b} - \alpha_s\Big(\frac{\hbar}{c}\,\mu^b_{\hat i}f^{\hat i}_{\tilde a\tilde b} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\hbar c}\,\Phi^b_{\tilde d}f^d_{\tilde a\tilde b}\Big)\Big]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.163}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu(\ell_{ab}\underset{\sim}{L}{}^{a\mu}_{\tilde b})_{av} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2r^2}\Big\{\Big(\frac{\delta V'}{\delta\Phi^b_{\tilde n}}\Big)g_{\tilde b\tilde n} \\
&\quad + 2\sqrt{-g}\,\mu^e{}_i(\widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu}g^{[\mu\nu]})h_{ed}\Big(\frac{2\sqrt{\hbar c}}{\alpha_s}\,g^{[\tilde a\tilde n]}C^d{}_{cb}\Phi^c_{\tilde a}g_{\tilde b\tilde n} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\,g^{[\tilde c\tilde d]}f^{\tilde n}{}_{\tilde c\tilde d}g_{\tilde b\tilde n}\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.164}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}{}_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{\widetilde{\ell}_{ij}}{4\pi}\Big\{g_{\gamma\beta}g^{\tau\rho}g^{\varepsilon\gamma}\widetilde{L}^i{}_{\rho\alpha}\widetilde{L}^j{}_{\tau\varepsilon} - 2g^{[\mu\nu]}\widetilde{H}^{(i}{}_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{H}^{j)}{}_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\,g_{\alpha\beta}\Big(\widetilde{L}^{i\mu\nu}\widetilde{H}^j{}_{\mu\nu} - 2(g^{[\mu\nu]}\widetilde{H}^i{}_{\mu\nu})(g^{[\gamma\sigma]}\widetilde{H}^j{}_{\gamma\sigma})\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.165}$$

is the energy momentum tensor for the gauge (Yang–Mills') field with a zero trace

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{T}_{\alpha\beta}\, g^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{3.166}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\,(\ell_{ab} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^{a}{}_{\mu\tilde{b}} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{v} \Phi^{b}_{\tilde{n}})_{av} \tag{3.167}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\, g_{\mu\nu}\Big(-\frac{1}{8\pi r^4}\, V(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\,\ell_{ab}(g^{b\tilde{n}} g^{\alpha\beta} L^{a}{}_{\alpha\tilde{b}} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\beta} \Phi^{b}_{\tilde{n}})_{av}\Big). \tag{3.168}$$

It is an energy-momentum tensor for a Higgs' field

$$\overset{\text{int}}{T}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi r^2}\, h_{ab}\mu^{a}{}_{\widehat{i}}\widetilde{H}^{i}{}_{\mu\nu}\Big(\widetilde{g}^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar c}}{\alpha_s}\, C^{b}{}_{cd}\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\,\mu^{b}_{\widehat{i}} f^{\widehat{i}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\,\Phi^{b}_{\tilde{d}} f^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\Big)\Big)_{av}$$

$$+\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi r^2}\Big[h_{ab}\mu^{a}{}_{i}\widetilde{H}^{i}{}_{\alpha\beta} g^{[\alpha\beta]}\widetilde{g}^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar c}}{\alpha_s}\, C^{b}{}_{cd}\Phi^{c}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}} - \frac{\alpha_s\hbar}{c}\,\mu^{b}_{\widehat{i}} f^{\widehat{i}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sqrt{\hbar c}}\,\Phi^{b}_{\tilde{d}} f^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\Big)\Big]_{av}. \tag{3.169}$$

It is an energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the non-minimal interaction term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\widetilde{A}, \Phi)$.

$$\Lambda = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G_N}\Big(\frac{\alpha_s^2 \widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})}{\ell^2_{\text{pl}}} + \frac{\widetilde{P}}{r^2}\Big) = \frac{16\pi G_N}{c^4}\,\overline{\lambda}_c. \tag{3.170}$$

It plays a role of the "cosmological constant"

$$\underset{\sim}{\widetilde{L}}^{i\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\beta\nu} g^{\gamma\nu} \widetilde{L}^{i}{}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{3.171}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{g}^{[\mu\nu]} = \sqrt{-g}\, g^{[\mu\nu]} \tag{3.172}$$

$$(\ldots\ldots)_{av} = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, dx^{n_1}\,(\ldots\ldots). \tag{3.173}$$

We can write

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\,(\widetilde{\ell}_{ij}\underset{\sim}{\widetilde{L}}^{i\alpha\mu}) = \sqrt{-g}\,\overset{\text{gauge}}{\overline{\nabla}}_{\mu}\,(\widetilde{\ell}_{ij}\widetilde{L}^{i\alpha\mu}) \tag{3.174}$$

where $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\overline{\nabla}}_{\mu}$ means a covariant derivative with respect to a connection $\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ on E and ω_E at once.

Let us come back to the equation of motion for test particles in our theory. According to the usual interpretation we write down a geodetic equation on P with respect to a Levi-Civita connection induced by a symmetric part of $\kappa_{(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})}$.

One writes

$$u^{\tilde{A}}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{A}} u^{\tilde{B}} = 0 \tag{3.175}$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{A}}$ means a covariant derivative with respect to a Levi-Civita connection induced by $\kappa_{(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})}$ on P.

One finds

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}u^{\alpha}}{d\tau} + \Big(\frac{q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\beta}h_{cd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}H^{d}{}_{\beta\delta} + \Big(\frac{q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\tilde{b}}h_{cd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\delta}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}} = 0 \tag{3.176}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^{\tilde{a}}}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\beta}h_{cd}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\beta}\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{d}} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\tilde{b}}h_{cd}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}H^{d}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{b}} = 0 \tag{3.177}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(\frac{q^b}{m_0}\Big) = 0 \tag{3.178}$$

where $\widetilde{\overline{D}}$ means a covariant derivative along a line with respect to the connection $\widetilde{\overline{\omega}}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ on E. $\widetilde{D}$ means a covariant derivative along a line with respect to the connection $\widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}$ on G/G_0 (r = const),

$$u^{\tilde{A}} = (u^{\alpha}, u^{\tilde{a}}, u^{a}) \tag{3.179}$$

$$2u^{a} = \frac{q^{a}}{m_0}, \tag{3.180}$$

q^a is a Yang–Mills' charge known from the Non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein Theory (color (isotopic) charge), u^{α} is a four-velocity of a test particle.

$u^{\tilde{a}}$ is a charge associated with a Higgs' field. This charge transforms according to the properties of a complement $\mathfrak{m}$ with respect to G_0 and G. Eq. (3.177) describes a movement of a test particle in a gravitational, gauge and Higgs' field. Eq. (3.178) is an equation for a charge associated with Higgs' field. This charge describes a coupling between a test particle and a Higgs' field. Eq. (3.179) has a usual meaning (a constancy of a color (isotopic) charge). In this way we get a generalization of Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation to the presence of a Higgs' field. We have a normalization of a four-velocity u^{α}, $g_{(\alpha\beta)}u^{\alpha}u^{\beta} = 1$.

Let us project the equation on a space-time E, i.e. we take a section $e : E \to P$. One gets

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}u^{\alpha}}{d\tau} + \Big(\frac{Q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\beta}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}F^{d}{}_{\beta\delta} + \Big(\frac{Q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\tilde{b}}h_{cd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}e^{*}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}_{\delta}\,\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{b}}) = 0 \tag{3.181}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^{\tilde{a}}}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{Q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\beta}h_{cd}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}e^{*}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}{}_{\beta}\,\Phi^{d}_{\tilde{d}}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{Q^c}{m_0}\Big)u^{\tilde{b}}h_{cd}h^{0\tilde{a}\tilde{d}}e^{*}(H^{d}{}_{\tilde{d}\tilde{b}}) = 0 \tag{3.182}$$

$$e^{*}\omega = A^{a}{}_{\mu}\overline{\theta}^{\mu}X_a + \Phi^{a}_{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{\theta}^{\tilde{b}}X_a \tag{3.183}$$

$$e^{*}(q^{c}X_c) = Q^{c}X_c. \tag{3.184}$$

Equation (3.178) takes the form

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{dQ^a}{d\tau} - C^{a}{}_{cb}Q^{c}A^{b}{}_{N}u^{N} = 0,\\ \text{or}\quad \frac{dQ^a}{d\tau} - C^{a}{}_{cb}Q^{c}A^{b}{}_{\nu}u^{\nu} - C^{a}{}_{cb}Q^{c}\Phi^{b}{}_{\tilde{n}}u^{\tilde{n}} = 0,\end{gathered} \tag{3.185}$$

$\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}$ is defined by Eq. (2.25).

Let us consider a Nonsymmetric Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking. We should introduce an Hermitian tensor on the manifold $V = E \times M = E \times G/G_0$. It is

$$\gamma_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & r^2 g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \end{array}\right) \tag{3.186}$$

but now

$$g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = h^{0}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} + i\zeta k^{0}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \tag{3.187}$$

$$g^{*}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = g_{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}} \tag{3.188}$$

and

$$\gamma^{*}_{AB} = \gamma_{BA}. \tag{3.189}$$

The tensor (in a nonholonomic frame)

$$\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \gamma_{AB} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \ell_{ab} \end{array}\right) \tag{3.190}$$

is such that

$$\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + i\xi k_{ab} \tag{3.191}$$

$$\ell^*_{ab} = \ell_{ba} \tag{3.192}$$

and

$$\kappa^*_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \kappa_{\tilde{B}\tilde{A}}. \tag{3.193}$$

The connection $\Gamma^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}$ is compatible with $\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$ and we have

$$\Gamma^{*\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{W}} = \Gamma^{\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{W}\tilde{M}} + (\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{W}} - \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{W}\tilde{M}}) \tag{3.194}$$

where $\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{W} = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, (m+4)$.

All the formulae derived here (in this section) are the same but we should consider $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ as a pure imaginary tensor and put $i\zeta$ in place of ζ and $i\xi$ in place of ξ.

The Ricci (Moffat–Ricci) tensor and all energy-momentum tensors are Hermitian.

$\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{W}}$ is a connection generated by $\kappa_{(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})}$. In this theory we can consider Kähler structures on $M = G/G_0$.

In the case of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism we have more possibilities. We can have a complex Hermitian structure as we describe above or a hypercomplex Hermitian structure on a P manifold. Moreover, we can define on $M = G/G_0$ a hypercomplex Hermitian metric tensor or a complex Hermitian metric. This means that we have $\xi \mapsto I\xi$ and $\zeta \mapsto i\zeta$ (a pure imaginary). The last possibility seems to be very interesting for we get Hermitian Theory with a mixture of hypercomplex and ordinary complex. In this way we get two disconnected real structures on E (a space-time) coupled to Yang–Mills' fields and to a Higgs' field. For a base manifold $V = E \times M$ is a Cartesian product of E and M we have to do effectively with a real version and only on M a tensor is complex (Hermitian). In some cases the geometry of a whole space is effectively real and only on M we have even Kählerian geometry.

4 GSW (Glashow–Salam–Weinberg) model in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory

Let $\underline{P}$ be a principal fiber bundle

$$\underline{P} = (P, V, \pi, H, H) \tag{4.1}$$

over the base space $V = E \times S^2$ (where E is a space-time, S^2—a two-dimensional sphere) with a projection π, a structural group H, a typical fiber H and a bundle manifold P. We suppose that H is semisimple. Let us define on P a connection ω which has values in a Lie algebra of $H, \mathfrak{h}$. Let us suppose that a group SO(3) is acting on S^2 in a natural way. We suppose that ω is invariant with respect to an action of the group SO(3) on V in such a way that this action is equivalent to

SO(3) action on S^2. This is equivalent to the condition (3.23). If we take a section $e : E \to P$ we get

$$e^*\omega = A^a{}_A \overline{\theta}^A X_a = A_A \overline{\theta}^A \tag{4.2}$$

where $\overline{\theta}^A$ is a frame on V and X_a are generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

$$[X_a, X_b] = C^c{}_{ab} X_c. \tag{4.3}$$

We define a curvature of the connection ω

$$\Omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]. \tag{4.4}$$

Taking a section e

$$e^*\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\, F^a{}_{AB} \overline{\theta}^A \wedge \overline{\theta}^B X_a = \frac{1}{2}\, F_{AB} \overline{\theta}^A \wedge \overline{\theta}^B \tag{4.5}$$

$$F^a{}_{AB} = \partial_A A^a{}_B - \partial_B A^a{}_A - C^a{}_{cb} A^c{}_A A^c{}_B. \tag{4.6}$$

Let us consider a local coordinate systems on V. One has $x^A = (x^\mu, \psi, \varphi)$ where x^μ are coordinate system on E, $\overline{\theta}^\mu = dx^\mu$, and ψ and φ are polar and azimuthal angles on S^2, $\theta^5 = d\psi$, $\theta^6 = d\varphi$. We have $A, B, C = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$, $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let us introduce vector fields on V corresponding to the infinitesimal action of SO(3) on V (see Ref. [43]). These vector fields are called $\delta_m = (\delta^A_m)$, $\overline{m} = 1, 2, 3$, $A = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$. Moreover, they are acting only on the last two dimensions ($A, B = 5, 6$, $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6$). We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^\mu_{\bar{m}} = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{and} \\
&\delta^\psi_1 = \cos\varphi, \qquad\qquad \delta^\varphi_1 = -\cot\psi \sin\varphi, \\
&\delta^\psi_2 = -\sin\varphi, \qquad\qquad \delta^\varphi_2 = -\cot\psi \cos\varphi, \\
&\delta^\psi_3 = 0, \qquad\qquad \delta^\varphi_3 = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

They satisfy commutation relation of the Lie algebra A_1 of a group SO(3),

$$\delta^A_{\bar{m}} \partial_A \delta^B_{\bar{n}} - \delta^A_{\bar{n}} \partial_A \delta^B_{\bar{m}} = \varepsilon_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}} \delta^B_{\bar{p}}. \tag{4.8}$$

The gauge field A_A is spherically symmetric (invariant with respect to an action of a group SO(3)) iff for some $V_{\bar{m}}$—a field on V with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$—

$$\partial_B \delta^A_{\bar{m}} A_A + \delta^A_{\bar{m}} \partial_A A_B = \partial_B V_{\bar{m}} - [A_B, V_{\bar{m}}]. \tag{4.9}$$

It means that

$$\underset{\delta_{\bar{m}}}{\mathcal{L}}\, A_A = \partial_B V_{\bar{m}} - [A_A, V_{\bar{m}}], \tag{4.10}$$

a Lie derivative of A_A with respect to $\delta_{\bar{m}}$ results in a gauge transformation (see also Eq. (3.23)).

Eq. (4.10) is satisfied if

$$V_1 = \Phi_3 \frac{\sin\varphi}{\sin\psi}, \quad V_2 = \Phi_3 \frac{\cos\varphi}{\sin\psi}, \quad V_3 = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$A_\mu = A_\mu(x), \quad A_\psi = -\Phi_1(x) = A_5 = \Phi_5, \quad A_\varphi = \Phi_2(x)\sin\psi - \Phi_3\cos\psi = A_6 = \Phi_6 \tag{4.12}$$

with the following constraints

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi_3, \Phi_1] &= -\Phi_2, \\ [\Phi_3, \Phi_2] &= \Phi_1, \\ [\Phi_3, A_\mu] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

A_μ, Φ_1, Φ_2 are fields on E with values in the Lie algebra of $H(\mathfrak{h})$, Φ_3 is a constant element of Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$. Let us introduce some additional elements according to the Nonsymmetric Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory. According to Section 3 we have on E a nonsymmetric Hermitian tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, connections $\overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta$ and $\overline{W}^\alpha{}_\beta$. On S^2 we have a nonsymmetric metric tensor

$$\gamma_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = r^2 g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = r^2(h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} + \zeta k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) \tag{4.14}$$

where r is the radius of a sphere S^2 and ζ is considered to be pure imaginary,

$$h^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -\sin^2\psi \end{array}\right) \tag{4.15}$$

$$k^0{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \sin\psi \\ \hline -\sin\psi & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{4.16}$$

and a connection compatible with this nonsymmetric metric

$$g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} 5 & 6 \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -1 & \zeta\sin\psi \\ \hline -\zeta\sin\psi & -\sin^2\psi \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\widetilde{g} = \det(g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) = \sin^2\psi(1+\zeta^2) \tag{4.18}$$

$$g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = \frac{1}{\sin^2\psi(1+\zeta^2)} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} 5 & 6 \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -\sin^2\psi & -\zeta\sin\psi \\ \hline \zeta\sin\psi & -1 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \end{array}, \tag{4.19}$$

$\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6$. In this way we have to do with Kählerian structure on S^2 (Riemannian, symplectic and complex which are compatible). This seems to be very interesting in further research connecting unification of all fundamental interactions. On H we define a nonsymmetric metric

$$\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \xi k_{ab} \tag{4.20}$$

where k_{ab} is a right-invariant skew-symmetric 2-form on H.

One can rewrite the constraints (4.13) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi_3, \Phi] &= i\Phi \\ [\Phi_3, \widetilde{\Phi}] &= -i\widetilde{\Phi} \\ [\Phi_3, A_\mu] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where $\Phi = \Phi_1 + i\Phi_2$, $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi_1 - i\Phi_2$ (see Ref. [43]).

In this way our 6-dimensional gauge field (a connection on a fiber bundle) has been reduced to a 4-dimensional gauge one (a connection on a fiber bundle over a space-time E) and a collection of scalar fields defined on E satisfying some constraints. According to our approach there is defined on S^2 a nonsymmetric connection compatible with a nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}$, $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6$,

$$\begin{gathered} \widehat{D} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{d}} Q^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}(\widehat{\Gamma}) \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{c}} \\ Q^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{4.22}$$

where $\widehat{D}$ is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to a connection $\widehat{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}} = \widehat{\Gamma}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \overline{\theta}^{\tilde{c}}$ and $Q^{\tilde{d}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}(\widehat{\Gamma})$ its torsion.

Let us metrize a bundle P in a nonsymmetric way. On V we have nonsymmetric tensor (see Ref. [1])

$$\gamma_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & r^2 g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \end{array} \right) \tag{4.23}$$

and a nonsymmetric connection $\overline{\omega}^A{}_B = \Gamma^A{}_{BC} \theta^C$ compatible with this tensor

$$\begin{gathered} \overline{D} \gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{AD} Q^D{}_{BC}(\overline{\Gamma}) \theta^C \\ Q^D{}_{BD}(\overline{\Gamma}) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{4.24}$$

The form of this connection is as follows

$$\overline{\omega}^A{}_B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \overline{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \widehat{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}} \end{array} \right) \tag{4.25}$$

where $\overline{D}$ is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to $\overline{\omega}^A{}_B$ and $Q^D{}_{BC}(\overline{\Gamma})$ its torsion.

Afterwards we define on P a nonsymmetric tensor

$$\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \theta^{\tilde{A}} \otimes \theta^{\tilde{B}} = \pi^*(\gamma_{AB} \overline{\theta}^A \otimes \theta^B) + \ell_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\theta^{\tilde{A}} = (\pi^*(\overline{\theta}^A), \lambda \omega^a), \tag{4.27}$$

$\omega = \omega^0 X_a$ is a connection defined on P ($\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C} = 1, 2, \ldots, n+6$).

We define on P two connections $\omega^A{}_B$ and $W^A{}_B$ such that $\omega^A{}_B$ is compatible with a nonsymmetric tensor $\kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$,

$$\begin{gathered} D \kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \kappa_{\tilde{A}\tilde{D}} Q^{\tilde{D}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}(\Gamma) \theta^{\tilde{C}} \\ Q^{\tilde{D}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}}(\Gamma) = 0, \end{gathered} \tag{4.28}$$

where D is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to a connection $\omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$ and $Q^{\tilde{D}}{}_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}(\Gamma)$ its torsion.

The second connection

$$W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} = \omega^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} - \frac{4}{3(n+4)} \delta^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} \overline{W} \quad (n = \dim H). \tag{4.29}$$

In this way we have all quantities known from Section 3. We calculate a scalar of curvature (Moffat–Ricci) for a connection $W^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$ and afterwards an action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{V_1 V_2}\int_U \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x \int_H \sqrt{|\ell|}\, d^n x \int_{S^2} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega\, R(W) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2 V_1 V_2}\int_U \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x \int_{S^2} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega \Big(\overline{R}(\overline{W}) \\ &+ \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM} + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\,\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\nabla\Phi) - \frac{1}{8\pi r^2}\,V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2\pi r^2}\,\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}(\Phi,\widetilde{A})\Big) + \lambda_c\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where $V_1 = \int_U \sqrt{|\ell|}\, d^n x$, $V_2 = \int_{S^2} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega$, $U \subset E$,

$$\lambda_c = \Big(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{\ell_{\rm pl}^2}\,\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\,\underline{\widetilde{P}}\Big) \tag{4.31}$$

where $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar on a group H (see Section 3 for details).

$$\underline{\widetilde{P}} = \frac{1}{V_2}\int_{S^2} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega\, \widehat{R}(\widehat{\Gamma}) \tag{4.32}$$

where $\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Gamma})$ is a Moffat–Ricci curvature scalar on S^2 for a connection $\widehat{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}}$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM} = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\,\ell_{ij}(\widetilde{H}^{(i}\widetilde{H}^{j)} - \widetilde{L}^{i\mu\nu}\widetilde{H}^{j}{}_{\mu\nu}) \tag{4.33}$$

where

$$\ell_{ij} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} \widetilde{L}^{i}{}_{\gamma\alpha} + \ell_{ji} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} \widetilde{L}^{i}{}_{\beta\gamma} = 2\ell_{ji} g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\mu\gamma} \widetilde{H}^{i}{}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{4.34}$$

One gets from (3.45)

$$L^{b}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = h^{bc}\ell_{cd} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}}, \tag{4.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Phi) &= -\frac{1}{V_2}\int \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega\, (2h_{cd}(H^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}})(H^{d}{}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{d}} g^{\tilde{c}\tilde{d}}) - \ell_{cd} g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}} L^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} H^{d}{}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{V_2}\,\frac{2\pi^2}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}\,\kappa\big((\varepsilon_{\bar{r}\bar{s}\bar{t}}\Phi_{\bar{t}} + [\Phi_{\bar{r}}, \Phi_{\bar{s}}]), (\varepsilon_{\bar{r}\bar{s}\bar{t}}\Phi_{\bar{t}} + [\Phi_{\bar{r}}, \Phi_{\bar{s}}])\big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

$$\kappa_{de} = (1 - 2\zeta^2)h_{de} + \xi^2 k^{c}{}_{d} k_{ce} \tag{4.37}$$

where

$$k^{c}{}_{d} = h^{cf} k_{fd} \tag{4.38}$$

$$V_2 = \int_{S^2} \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega = 4\pi\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}, \tag{4.39}$$

$\overline{r}, \overline{s}, \overline{t} = 1, 2, 3$, $\varepsilon_{\bar{r}\bar{s}\bar{t}}$ is a usual antisymmetric symbol $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$.

We get also from (3.45)

$$\ell_{dc} g_{\mu\beta} g^{\gamma\mu} L^{d}{}_{\gamma\tilde{a}} + \ell_{cd} L^{d}{}_{\beta\tilde{a}} = 2\ell_{cd} F^{c}{}_{\beta\tilde{a}}. \tag{4.40}$$

Using Eq. (3.85) one gets

$$L^{n}{}_{\omega\tilde{m}} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\omega} \Phi^{n}_{\tilde{m}} + \xi k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\omega} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}} - \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\mu)} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Phi^{n}_{\tilde{m}} g_{[\mu\omega]} + \xi k^{n}{}_{d} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\beta} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}} \widetilde{g}^{(\delta\beta)} g_{[\delta\alpha]} g_{[\omega\mu]} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\mu)} - \xi^{2} k^{nb} k_{bd} \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla} \Phi^{d}_{\tilde{m}} g_{[\omega\beta]}. \quad (4.41)$$

Moreover, now we have to do with Minkowski space $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$L^{n}{}_{\omega\tilde{m}} = H^{n}{}_{\omega\tilde{m}} + \xi k^{n}{}_{d} H^{d}{}_{\omega\tilde{m}}. \quad (4.42)$$

We remember that $\widetilde{m} = 5, 6$ or φ, ψ and that

$$H^{n}{}_{\mu\tilde{m}} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu} \Phi^{n}_{\tilde{m}}. \quad (4.43)$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(H^{n}{}_{\mu\tilde{m}}) = \frac{1}{V_2} \int \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d\Omega\, (\ell_{ab} \eta^{\beta\mu} L^{a}{}_{\beta\tilde{b}} H^{b}{}_{\mu\tilde{a}} g^{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}}). \quad (4.44)$$

Finally we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(\nabla_{\mu}\Phi_{\bar{m}}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{V_2} \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^{2}}}\, \bar{\kappa}\big(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu} \Phi_{\bar{m}}, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\nu} \Phi_{\bar{m}}\big) \quad (4.45)$$

$$\overline{\kappa}_{ad} = (h_{ad} + \xi^{2} k_{ab} k^{b}{}_{d}) \quad (4.46)$$

where

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu} \Phi_{\bar{m}} = \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{a}{}_{\bar{m}} - [A_{\mu}, \Phi_{\bar{m}}]. \quad (4.47)$$

Now we follow Ref. [43] and suppose $\operatorname{rank} H = 2$ and afterwards $H = G2$. In this way our lagrangian can go to the GSW model where $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ is a little group of Φ_3 (see Appendix B). We get also a Higgs' field complex doublet and spontaneous symmetry breaking and mass generation for intermediate bosons. For simplicity we take $\xi = 0$ and also we do not consider an influence of the nonsymmetric gravity on a Higgs' field. We get also a mixing angle θ_W (Weinberg angle). If we choose $H = G2$ we get $\theta_W = 30^{\circ}$. We get also some predictions of masses

$$\frac{M_H}{M_W} = \frac{1}{\cos\theta_W} \cdot \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta^{2}} \quad (4.48)$$

where ζ is an arbitrary constant

$$\frac{M_H}{M_W} = \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\zeta^{2}}}{\sqrt{3}}. \quad (4.49)$$

We take $M_H \simeq 125\,\text{GeV}$ and $M_W \simeq 80\,\text{GeV}$ (see Refs [51, 52, 53, 54, 55]).

One gets

$$\zeta = \pm 0.911622i. \quad (4.50)$$

Thus ζ is pure imaginary. This means we can explain mass pattern in GSW model. r gives us a scale of mass and is an arbitrary parameter.

Moreover, a scale of energy is equal to $M = \frac{\hbar c}{r\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{1+\zeta^{2}}}$ which we equal to MEW (electroweak) energy scale, i.e. to M_W. One gets $r \simeq 2.39 \times 10^{-18}\,\text{m}$. In the original Manton model Higgs'

boson is too light. We predict here masses for W, Z^0 and Higgs bosons in the theory taking two parameters, ζ (Eq. (4.50)) and $r \simeq 2.39 \times 10^{-18}\,\mathrm{m}$ in order to get desired pattern of masses. The value of the Weinberg angle derived here for $H = G2$ has nothing to do with "GUT driven" value $\frac{1}{4}$ for $\frac{1}{4}$ is a value of our $\sin^2\theta_W$, not $\sin\theta_W$. According to Ref. [43] a Lie group H should have a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ with rank 2. We have only three possibilities: G2, SU(3) and SO(5). The angle between two roots plays a role of a Weinberg angle. For SO(5) $\theta = 45^\circ$ and for SU(3) $\theta = 60^\circ$. Only for $G2$, $\theta = \theta_W = 30^\circ$, which is close to the experimental value. In this way a unification chooses $H = G2$.

Let us notice that $\dim G2 = 14$ and for this $\dim P = 20$.

Moreover, we have

$$M_Z = \frac{M_W}{\cos\theta} = \frac{M_W}{\cos\theta_W} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\,M_W \simeq 92.4 \tag{4.51}$$

and we get from the theory

$$\sin^2\theta_W = 0.25 \quad (\theta_W = 30^\circ). \tag{4.52}$$

However from the experiment we get

$$\sin^2\theta_W = 0.2397 \pm 0.0013 \tag{4.53}$$

which is not 0.25.

Moreover, from theoretical point of view the value 0.25 is a value without radiation corrections and it is possible to tune it at $Q = 91.2\,\mathrm{GeV/c}$ in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme to get the desired value.

Let us notice the following fact. In the electroweak theory we have a Lagrangian for neutral current interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_N = qJ^{\mathrm{em}}_\mu A^\mu + \frac{g}{\cos\theta_W}(J^3_\mu - \sin^2\theta_W J^{\mathrm{em}}_\mu)Z^{0\mu} = qJ^{\mathrm{em}}_\mu A^\mu + \sum_f \overline{\psi}_f\gamma_\mu(g^f_V - g^f_A\gamma^5)\psi_f Z^{0\mu} \tag{4.54}$$

where g^f_V and g^f_A are coupling constants for vector and axial interactions for a fermion f. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} g^f_V &= \frac{2q}{\sin 2\theta_W}(T^3_f - 2q_f\sin^2\theta_W) \\ g^f_A &= \frac{2q}{\sin 2\theta_W} \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

where T^3_f is the third component of a weak isospin of a fermion f and q_f is its electric charge measured in elementary charge q,

$$q_f = T^3_f + \frac{Y_f}{2} \tag{4.56}$$

where Y_f is a weak hypercharge for f. It is easy to see that for an electron we get $g^f_V = 0$ if $\theta_W = 30^\circ$.

Moreover, we know from the experiment that

$$g^f_V \neq 0 \tag{4.57}$$

(see Ref. [51]).

In the original GSW model a Weinberg angle θ_W is a phenomenological parameter which has no geometrical interpretation in terms of Lie algebraic theory. Here this parameter has this

interpretation. Moreover, this theory is still classical. How we can quantize it in a general case including nonsymmetric gravity we describe in *Conclusions and prospects of further research*. Some quantum corrections can change many things going effectively to Eq. (4.57). Moreover, in Minkowski space $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and with $\xi = 0$ the situation is much more simple and we can agree that radiative correction can go to Eq. (4.57) which is interpreted as a correction to $\sin\theta_W$ for example in $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme at $Q = 91.2\,{\rm GeV/c}$. This means that even if $g_V^f(\theta_W = 30^\circ) = 0$ the corrections change g_V^f to be nonzero in such a way that θ_W is not exactly equal to 30°. Moreover, the unification scheme with $H = G2$ is still valid.

Let us define a differential cross-section for $f^+f^- \to f'^+f'^-$ scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}(f^-(P)f^+ \to f'^-(P')f^+) = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm em}}{s}\,\kappa_{PP'}^2\big|\mathcal{M}_{PP'}(-s)\big|^2 \tag{4.58}$$

where $\kappa_{PP'}^2$ is a kinematic factor from the Dirac algebra equal to $(\frac{u}{s})^2$ for $L({\rm left}) \to L({\rm left})$ and $R({\rm right}) \to R({\rm right})$ and to $(\frac{t}{s})^2$ for $L({\rm left}) \to R({\rm right})$ and vice versa. At Z^0 mass energies we can ignore mass of fermion f and f' ($m_{Z^0} > 2m_f$ and $m_{Z^0} > 2m_{f'}$).

In this way the helicity is conserved. $\mathcal{M}_{PP'}$ is an invariant amplitude which contains all nontrivial information about a coupling. It is defined in such a way that $\mathcal{M}_{PP'}$ is equal to 1 independently of P, P' for a simple s-channel photon exchange diagram of lowest order QED for electrons. In GSW theory one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{PP'}(Q^2) &= q_f\Big(\frac{-s}{Q^2}\Big)q_{f'} \\ &+ \Big(\frac{T_f^3 - q_f\sin^2\theta_W}{\cos\theta_W\sin\theta_W}\Big)\Big(\frac{-s}{Q^2 + M_{Z^0}^2 - {\rm Im}(\Pi_{Z^0Z^0}^{\text{1-loop}}(Q^2))}\Big)\Big(\frac{T_{f'}^3 - q_{f'}\sin^2\theta_W}{\cos\theta_W\sin\theta_W}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}{\rm Im}(\Pi_{Z^0Z^0}^{\text{1-loop}}) = \Gamma_{Z^0}^0 M_{Z^0} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{3\sin^2\theta_W\cos^2\theta_W}\sum_f\Big[\Big[\Big(\frac{T_{fL}^3}{2} - q_f\sin^2\theta_W\Big)\Big(1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{M_{Z^0}^2}\Big) \\ + \Big(\frac{T_{fL}^3}{2}\Big)^2\Big(1 - 4\,\frac{m_f^2}{M_{Z^0}^2}\Big)\Big]\Big(1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{M_{Z^0}^2}\Big)C_{QCD}(f)\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

where T_{fL}^3 is a left-handed isospin component for a fermion f. The factor C_{QCD} is $C_{QCD} = 3(1 + \alpha_s(-M_{Z^0}^2)/\pi)$ for quarks and 1 for leptons. These formulas are very well known in all textbooks and as we mention above θ_W is an arbitrary parameter. If we evaluate the formulas for $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$ one gets

$$\mathcal{M}_{PP'}(Q^2) = q_f\Big(\frac{-s}{Q^2}\Big)q_{f'} \tag{4.61}$$

which simply means that $g_V^f = 0$ ($\sin^2\theta_W = 0.25$).

Moreover, we can introduce an effective Weinberg angle $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6} + \delta$ in such a way that all the formulas are satisfied. In this way radiative corrections can be considered as corrections to 30° Weinberg angle. The formula (4.59) can be evaluated in the following way:

$$\mathcal{M}_{PP'}(Q^2) = q_f\Big(-\frac{s}{Q^2} + 4\delta^2\Big(-\frac{s}{(Q^2 + M_{Z^0Z^0}^2 - {\rm Im}(\Pi_{Z^0Z^0}^{\text{1-loop}}(Q^2)))}\Big)\Big)q_{f'} \tag{4.62}$$

(δ is a small correction to $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$).

One can use also some achievements from GSW model. Let us notice that

$$M_W^2 = \frac{\pi \alpha_{\rm em}(0) G_F}{\sqrt{2}\sin^2\theta_W(1-\Delta r)} \tag{4.63}$$

where Δr is the 1-loop correction and its dominant contributions are

$$\Delta r = \Delta r_0 - \frac{1-\sin^2\theta_W}{\sin^2\theta_W}\,\Delta\rho + \Delta r_{\rm rem} \tag{4.64}$$

$$\Delta r_0 = 1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M_{Z^0}^2)} \tag{4.65}$$

$$\Delta\rho = \frac{3G_F\sum_f (m_{f_1}^2 - m_{f_2}^2)}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}} \simeq \frac{3G_F(m_t^2 - m_b)^2}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}} \tag{4.66}$$

$$\Delta_{\rm rem} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,G_F M_W^2}{16\pi^2}\cdot\frac{11}{3}\Big(\ln\Big(\frac{M_H^2}{M_W^2}\Big) - \frac{5}{6}\Big), \tag{4.67}$$

G_F is a Fermi constant, m_t and m_b are top and bottom quark masses.

The term Δr_0 corresponds to the running of $\alpha_{\rm em}$ from zero (it means, from $Q^2 = m_e^2 \simeq 0$) to the electroweak scale $Q^2 = M_{Z^0}^2$. $\Delta\rho$ depends quadratically on the mass difference between the members of the same fermion doublet. $\Delta r_{\rm rem}$ (the remainder) is dominated by Higgs' boson effects and depends logarithmically on M_H.

We evaluate these formulas for $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$ getting

$$M_W^2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm em}G_F}{\sqrt{2}(1-\Delta r)}\,. \tag{4.68}$$

Now we proceed as before writing

$$M_W^2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm em}G_F}{\sqrt{2}\sin^2\theta_W} \tag{4.69}$$

where

$$\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6} + \delta \quad \text{(as above)}.$$

δ is not a new phenomenological parameter. It is an effect of 1-loop corrections and a running of $\alpha_{\rm em}$. In Eq. (4.64) we can write $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$ getting

$$\Delta r = \Delta r_0 - 3\Delta\rho + \Delta r_{\rm rem}. \tag{4.70}$$

In the formula (4.67) we can put the value of Higgs' mass and bare value of M_W obtained by us. In this way we get the desired value of $\sin^2\theta_W$

$$\sin^2\theta_W = 4(1-\Delta r). \tag{4.71}$$

In terms of δ one gets

$$\delta = -\frac{\sqrt{3}\,\Delta r}{6} \tag{4.72}$$

or

$$\delta = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M_{Z^0}^2)} - 3\Delta\rho + \Delta r_{\rm rem}\Big). \tag{4.73}$$

We get exactly the same results if we use the $\overline{\rm MS}$ definition of $\sin^2\theta_W = 1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_{Z^0}^2}$ which is also an effective value of $\sin^2\theta_W$.

Using results from Ref. [51] we can evaluate δ from the formula (4.73) getting

$$\delta = -0.00748550. \tag{4.74}$$

We have

$$\delta = -25'44'' \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_W = 29^\circ 34' 16''.$$

This gives

$$\sin^2\theta_W = 0.243546 \tag{4.75}$$

which is not bad as compared with the experimental value for an effective Weinberg angle. The formula (4.73) can be improved getting

$$\delta = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M_{Z^0}^2)} - 3\Delta\rho + \Delta r_{\rm rem}\Big)/(1 - 4\Delta\rho). \tag{4.76}$$

We get

$$\delta = -0.0074855 \tag{4.77}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_W = 0.249162 \tag{4.78}$$

More precise quantum field calculations can improve the result. The conclusion is as follows. The Weinberg angle is coming from the unification theory with $G2$ group. The value of this parameter is equal to $\frac{\pi}{6}$. The δ correction is coming from radiative corrections.

Now we have a physical relevance and correct description of the Nature. The results can be improved starting from the formula

$$M_W^2\Big(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_{Z^0}^2}\Big) = \frac{\pi\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\sqrt{2}\,G_F}\,(1 + \Delta r) \tag{4.79}$$

using results from Refs [56, 57, 58] and references cited therein. The numerical results obtained here do not change significantly the full quantization scheme. Eventually we get some remarks.

We have here to do with a finite renormalization of a parameter in the theory, i.e. with a finite renormalization of a Weinberg angle. According to the idea of a renormalization of any parameter due to quantum interactions this is correct. We should renormalize not only masses or charges (as in QED, an electron charge and its mass, which is an infinite renormalization), but really any physical quantity as in solid state physics (an effective mass of an electron). An infinite renormalization in QED showed us an impossibility to avoid a renormalization in general.

The second remark is as follows. In classical field theory as our model for $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ we have to do with parameters which have an interpretation as tree values. They should be renormalized. Only in a superrenormalizable theory they can remain the same in any order of perturbation calculus. Our theory is not superrenormalizable.

In our approach on a classical level we have the following parameters: r_0, ζ ($\sin\theta_W$ is known from the theory). In order to get a precise prediction we should translate them into G_F and $\alpha_{\rm em}(0)$, in particular $G_F = G_\mu$. We take from Particle Data (see Ref. [51]) all the interaction constants (coupling parameters) which can change running parameters.

Let us use the above results to recalculate ζ and r_0 in terms of M_H and M_{Z^0}. One gets

$$M_W = M_{Z^0}\cos\theta_W \tag{4.80}$$

$$\frac{M_H}{M_{Z^0}} = \sqrt{1-\zeta^2} \tag{4.81}$$

and

$$\zeta = \pm 0.948735i \tag{4.82}$$

$$r_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{M_{Z^0}\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}} = 2.73126\times 10^{-18}\,\mathrm{m}. \tag{4.83}$$

In this way

$$M_W = M_{Z^0}\cos(\tfrac{\pi}{6}+\delta) \tag{4.84}$$

gives us for the value (4.75)

$$M_W = 79.3119\,\mathrm{GeV}, \tag{4.85}$$

for the value (4.78)

$$M_W = 79.3321\,\mathrm{GeV}. \tag{4.86}$$

In the above formulas we take for $M_H = 125.7\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and for $M_{Z^0} = 91.19\,\mathrm{GeV}$. This means that for ζ given by (4.82) and r_0 given by (4.83) we get desired values of M_H and M_{Z^0}. The predicted value for M_W is a little smaller than the experimental value $80.385\,\mathrm{GeV}$. Moreover, it seems that consideration of higher order corrections of perturbation calculus (2-loop corrections) can improve the result to tune it to the experimental value. This has been done in Appendix E. It seems that everything is self-consistent. The value of M_W and $\sin^2\theta_W$ obtained in Appendix E indicates that higher order corrections improve an agreement with an experiment. This means that our 20-dimensional model works pretty well. The Weinberg angle is not here a phenomenological parameter and we have a confiance that a unification group H is $G2$. This our future development is justified by an experiment.

Appendix A

In this appendix we find formulae for $L^n{}_{\omega\nu}$, $L^n{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{n}}$, $L^n{}_{\omega\tilde{n}}$. We get these formulae using a general formula from n-dimensional generalization of Einstein Unified Field Theory obtained by Hlavatý and Wrede (see Refs [19, 59]). One gets

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^N{}_{WM} &= \widetilde{\Gamma}^N{}_{WM} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big(K_{WM}{}^N - 2k_{[M.}{}^A K_{W]AB}k^{NB}\big)\\ &\quad + h^{NE}\big\{K_{E(W.}{}^A k_{M)A} + k_{C.}{}^B\big[k_{(M.}{}^C K_{W)AB}k_{E.}{}^A + K_{EAB}k_{(W.}{}^A k_{M).}{}^C\big]\big\}\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$K_{ABC} = -\widetilde{\nabla}_A k_{BC} - \widetilde{\nabla}_B k_{CA} + \widetilde{\nabla}_C k_{AB}, \tag{A.2}$$

where

$$\gamma_{AB} = h_{AB} + k_{AB}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$h_{AB} = h_{BA}, \quad k_{AB} = -k_{BA}, \tag{A.4}$$

$\widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{WM}$ is the Levi-Civita connection generated by $h_{AB} = \gamma_{(AB)}$ ($\gamma_{[AB]} = k_{AB}$), $\widetilde{\nabla}_A$ is a covariant derivative with respect to the connection $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{WM}$.

The connection $\Gamma^{N}{}_{WM}$ is the solution of the equation

$$\begin{gathered} D\gamma_{A+B-} = D\gamma_{AB} - \gamma_{AD}Q^{D}{}_{BC}(\Gamma)\theta^{C} = 0, \quad A,B,C,D,N,M = 1,2,\ldots,\overline{N}, \\ Q^{D}{}_{BD}(\Gamma) = 0, \end{gathered} \tag{A.5}$$

where D is an exterior covariant derivative with respect to the connection Γ.

$$h^{AB}h_{BC} = \delta^{A}{}_{C} \tag{A.6}$$

and all indices are raised by h^{AB}. (E. Schrödinger was surprized that it was possible to find a solution to (A.5) in a covariant form.)

Equation (A.1) is more general than that form Refs [19, 59] for in Eq. (A.1) $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{WM}$ are coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection. This connection can be nonsymmetric in indices W, M for it can be considered in nonholonomic frame. In Refs [19, 59] $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{N}{}_{WM}$ mean Christoffel symbols.

Moreover, the proof is exactly the same as in Refs [19, 59]. The authors of Refs [19, 59] are using the natural nonholonomic frame connected to the nonsymmetric metric γ_{AB} in order to find (A.1). Moreover, this nonholonomic frame has nothing to do with the frame we consider.

V. Hlavatý and C. R. Wrede were first to consider n-dimensional generalization of the geometry from Einstein Unified Field Theory with the nonsymmetric real tensor γ_{AB}. Thus we can find $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ from the nonsymmetric non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein theory where $\overline{N} = n + 4$ (see Section 2). We can also consider non-Abelian theory with a spontaneous symmetry breaking where $\overline{N} = 4 + n + n_1 = 4 + m$ (see Section 3).

In order to find $L^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}$ we should calculate $\Gamma^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} = L^{n}{}_{\omega\mu}$. We should know a Levi-Civita connection generated by $\gamma_{(AB)}$ (and $\kappa_{(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})}$) which is easy to find from Eqs (2.20) and (3.44) (e.g. $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} = H^{n}{}_{\omega\mu}$) in order to find covariant derivative of antisymmetric part of the metric. Thus one eventually finds:

$$\begin{gathered} L^{n}{}_{\omega\nu} = H^{n}{}_{\omega\nu} + \xi H^{f}{}_{\nu\omega}k_{fe}h^{ne} + \big(H^{n}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\nu]} - H^{n}{}_{\alpha\nu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}g_{[\delta\omega]}\big) \\ - 2\xi h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\delta\tau)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\delta\alpha}g_{[\tau\omega]}g_{[\beta\nu]} - 2\xi h^{na}k_{ad}\widetilde{g}^{(\beta\delta)}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\tau)}H^{d}{}_{\beta[\omega}g_{\nu]\tau}g_{[\delta\alpha]} \\ + 2\xi^{2}h^{na}h^{bc}k_{ac}k_{bd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\alpha[\omega}g_{|\mu|\beta]} \end{gathered} \tag{A.7}$$

(One can try to get formula (A.7) using a different approach. It means to use an approximation formula from Ref. [60]. This is similar to our second approach from Ref. [9] in the case of an electromagnetic field (see Appendix B of Ref. [9]). Moreover, this approach in the case of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory seems to be much more complex.)

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{n}} &= H^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{n}} + \xi H^{f}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{w}}k_{fe}h^{ne} + \xi^{2}\big(g_{[\tilde{w}\tilde{b}]}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}H^{f}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{a}} - g_{[\tilde{n}\tilde{b}]}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}H^{f}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{a}}\big)k_{fb}k_{cd}h^{cn}h^{db} \\ &= H^{n}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{n}} + \xi H^{f}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{w}}k_{ef}h^{ne} + \xi^{2}\zeta\big(k^{0}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}H^{f}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{a}} - k^{0}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}H^{f}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{a}}\big)k_{fb}k_{cd}h^{cn}h^{db} \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n}{}_{\omega\tilde{n}} &= H^{n}{}_{\omega\tilde{n}} - \xi H^{f}{}_{\omega\tilde{n}}k_{fe}h^{ne} - \xi^{2}\big(g_{[\omega\beta]}\widetilde{g}^{(\beta\alpha)}H^{f}{}_{\alpha\tilde{n}} + \zeta k^{0}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}H^{f}{}_{\omega\tilde{a}}\big)k_{fb}k_{cd}h^{cn}h^{db} \\ &= \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_{\omega}}\Phi^{n}_{\tilde{n}} - \xi\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_{\omega}}\Phi^{f}_{\tilde{n}}k_{fe}h^{ne} - \xi^{2}\big(g_{[\omega\beta]}\widetilde{g}^{(\beta\alpha)}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_{a}}\Phi^{f}_{\tilde{n}} + \zeta k^{0}{}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}^{(\tilde{b}\tilde{a})}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_{\omega}}\Phi^{f}_{\tilde{a}}\big)k_{fb}k_{cd}h^{cn}h^{db} \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}$ means a gauge derivative with respect to a connection ω on E,

$$H^{c}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = C^{c}{}_{ab}\Phi^{a}_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^{b}_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^{c}_{\widehat{i}}f^{\widehat{i}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^{c}_{\tilde{d}}f^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Working in the same way we get Einstein–Kaufmann connections on a group G and on a homogeneous manifold $M = G/G_0$. This is important to find cosmological terms in the theory.

We have Levi-Civita connections

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{A}{}_{B} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^{*}(\tilde{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}) - h_{db}\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\alpha)}H^{d}{}_{\mu\beta}\theta^{b} & H^{a}{}_{\beta\gamma}\theta^{\gamma} \\ \hline h_{bd}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}H^{d}{}_{\gamma\beta}\theta^{\gamma} & \widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}(G) \end{array}\right) \tag{A.11}$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}(G)$ is a Levi-Civita connection on G, $\widetilde{\omega}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ is defined on E and $\widetilde{\omega}^{A}{}_{B}$ is defined on $\underline{P}$, $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots, n+4$;

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \pi^{*}(\tilde{\omega}^{A}{}_{B}) - h_{db}\widetilde{\gamma}^{(MA)}H^{d}{}_{MB}\theta^{b} & H^{a}{}_{BC}\theta^{C} \\ \hline h_{bd}\widetilde{\gamma}^{(AB)}H^{d}{}_{CB}\theta^{C} & \widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}(H) \end{array}\right) \tag{A.12}$$

$\widetilde{\omega}^{a}{}_{b}(H)$ is a Levi-Civita connection on a group H,

$$\widetilde{\gamma}^{(AB)}\gamma_{(AC)} = \delta^{B}{}_{C}. \tag{A.13}$$

Now $\widetilde{\omega}^{A}{}_{B}$ is defined on $V = E \times G/G_0$ and $\widetilde{\omega}^{\tilde{A}}{}_{\tilde{B}}$ on $\underline{P}$, $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1+4$, $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B} = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1 + n + 4$.

Using (A.11) and (A.12) one can easily calculate covariant derivatives $\widetilde{\nabla}_{A}k_{BC}$ or $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{A}}k_{\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}$ and afterwards K_{ABC} or $K_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{C}}$ in order to find desired connection coefficients of $\Gamma^{N}{}_{WM}$ and $\Gamma^{\tilde{N}}{}_{\tilde{W}\tilde{M}}$.

Let us do it for (A.11). One gets

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Gamma}^{d}{}_{\beta\gamma} &= H^{d}{}_{\beta\gamma} \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma b} &= -h_{db}h^{\alpha\beta}H^{d}{}_{\alpha\gamma} \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}{}_{a\gamma} &= h_{ad}h^{\alpha\beta}H^{d}{}_{\gamma\beta} \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}^{a}{}_{\alpha c} &= \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\delta}{}_{ac} = \widetilde{\Gamma}^{b}{}_{c\beta} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

(see also Ref. [61]).

Using (A.1) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} = \widetilde{\Gamma}^{n}{}_{\omega\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(K_{\omega\mu\cdot}{}^{n} - 2K_{[\mu\cdot}{}^{\alpha}K_{\omega]\alpha b}k^{nb} - 2K_{[\mu\cdot}{}^{\alpha}K_{\omega]ab}k^{nb} + h^{ne}\Big(k_{e(\omega\cdot}{}^{a}k_{\mu)a} \\ + k_{\gamma\cdot}{}^{\beta}\big[k_{(\mu\cdot}{}^{\gamma}K_{\omega)a\beta}k_{e\cdot}{}^{a} - K_{e\alpha\beta}k_{(\omega\cdot}{}^{\alpha}k_{\mu)\cdot}{}^{\gamma}\big]\Big)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Moreover, we have

$$K_{\omega\mu e} = -\widetilde{\nabla}_{\omega}k_{\mu e} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mu}k_{e\omega} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{e}k_{\omega\mu} = 2H^{f}{}_{\mu\omega}k_{fe} \tag{A.16}$$

$$K_{\omega ab} = -\widetilde{\nabla}_{\omega}k_{ab} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{a}k_{b\omega} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{b}k_{\omega a} = 0. \tag{A.17}$$

In all the formulae we keep original notation from Refs [19, 59] and after all calculations we switch to our notation.

$$h^{\alpha\beta} \to \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}, \quad k_{ab} \to \xi k_{ab}. \tag{A.18}$$

In this way

$$K_{\omega\mu e} = 2\xi H^{f}{}_{\mu\omega}k_{fe} \tag{A.19}$$

and we get Eq. (A.7).

Using (A.14) and switching according to (A.18) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_\omega k_{\mu e} &= -h_{ed}\widetilde{g}^{(\nu\beta)} H^d{}_{\omega\beta} g_{[\mu\nu]} - \xi H^m{}_{\mu\omega} k_{me} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu k_{e\omega} &= -h_{ed}\widetilde{g}^{(\varepsilon\gamma)} H^d{}_{\gamma\mu} g_{[\varepsilon\omega]} - \xi H^f{}_{\mu\omega} k_{me} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_e k_{\omega\mu} &= -h_{ed}\widetilde{g}^{(\nu\beta)} H^d{}_{\omega\beta} g_{[\mu\nu]} - h_{ed}\widetilde{g}^{(\nu\beta)} H^d{}_{\mu\beta} g_{[\omega\nu]} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_\omega k_{ab} &= \widetilde{\nabla}_a k_{b\omega} = \widetilde{\nabla}_b k_{\omega a} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We quote these formulae for a convenience of a reader.

Working similarly we get (A.8) and (A.9).

Let us come back to cosmological terms and calculate a connection (A.1) on G and G/G_0. On a group G a right-invariant Einstein–Kaufmann connection reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^n{}_{wm} = -\frac{1}{2}\, C^n{}_{wm} + \frac{1}{2}(K_{wm\cdot}{}^n - 2\mu^2 k_{[m\cdot}{}^a K_{w]ab} k^{nb}) \\
+ h^{ne}\Big\{\mu K_{e(w\cdot}{}^a k_{m)a} + \mu^2 k_{c\cdot}{}^b\big[k_{(m\cdot}{}^c K_{w)ab} k_{e\cdot}{}^a - K_{eab} k_{(w\cdot}{}^a k_{m)\cdot}{}^c\big]\Big\}. \quad \text{(A.20)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{abc} = -\mu(\widetilde{\nabla}_a k_{bc} - \widetilde{\nabla}_b k_{ca} + \widetilde{\nabla}_c k_{ab}) \quad (\ell_{ab} = h_{ab} + \mu k_{ab}). \quad \text{(A.21)}$$

$\widetilde{\nabla}_a$ means a Riemannian covariant derivative on a semisimple Lie group G with respect to a biinvariant Killing tensor h_{ab}.

One gets

$$\widetilde{\nabla} k_{bc} = -\frac{1}{2}(C^f{}_{bc} k_{fe} + C^f{}_{ec} k_{bf}) \quad \text{(A.22)}$$

and

$$K_{abc} = \mu(C^f{}_{ba} k_{fc} + C^f{}_{ac} k_{fb} - C^f{}_{bc} k_{fa}). \quad \text{(A.23)}$$

If we write a connection on Γ in the form

$$\Gamma^n{}_{wm} = -\frac{1}{2}\, C^n{}_{wm} + u^n{}_{wm} \quad \text{(A.24)}$$

one gets for a Moffat–Ricci tensor on G

$$R_{bd} = \widetilde{R}_{bd} + \widetilde{\nabla}_a u^a{}_{bd} - \widetilde{\nabla}_d u^a{}_{ba} + \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\nabla}_b u^a{}_{ad} - \widetilde{\nabla}_d u^a{}_{ab}) \quad \text{(A.25)}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_a u^c{}_{ed} = -\frac{1}{2}(C^f{}_{ea} u^c{}_{fd} + C^f{}_{da} u^c{}_{ef} - C^c{}_{fa} u^f{}_{ed}) \quad \text{(A.26)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u^n{}_{wm} = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mu(L_{wm}{}^n - 2\mu k_{[m\cdot}{}^a L_{w]ab} k^{nb}) \\
- \mu^2 h^{ne}(L_{e(w\cdot}{}^a k_{m)a} + \mu^2 k_{c\cdot}{}^b\big[k_{(m\cdot}{}^e L_{w)ab} k_e{}^a - L_{eb} k_{(w\cdot}{}^a k_{m)\cdot}{}^c\big]) \quad \text{(A.27)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{abc} = C^f{}_{ba} k_{fc} + C^f{}_{ac} k_{fb} - C^f{}_{bc} k_{fa}. \quad \text{(A.28)}$$

Eventually we find

$$R_{bd} = \widetilde{R}_{bd} - \frac{1}{2}\, C^{f}{}_{db}(u^{a}{}_{af} + u^{a}{}_{fa}) - \frac{1}{4}\, C^{a}{}_{fd}(2u^{f}{}_{ba} + u^{f}{}_{ab}) + \frac{1}{4}(C^{a}{}_{fb}u^{f}{}_{ad} - C^{f}{}_{bd}u^{a}{}_{fa}). \tag{A.29}$$

$\widetilde{R}_{bd}$ is a Moffat–Ricci (equals to Ricci tensor) for a Levi-Civita connection on G generated by h_{ab}

$$\widetilde{R}_{bd} = -\frac{1}{4}\, h_{bd}. \tag{A.30}$$

Moreover, if

$$k_{ab} = C^{f}{}_{ab}V_f \tag{A.31}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_k V_f = -\frac{1}{2}\, C^{e}{}_{fk}V_e \tag{A.32}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} u^{n}{}_{wm} = {} & \frac{\mu}{2}\, C^{sn}{}_{w}C^{p}{}_{ms}V_p - \mu^3 C^{p}{}_{as}V_p C^{rnb}V_r C^{q}{}_{[m\cdot}{}^{a}V_{|q|}C^{s}{}_{|b|w]} \\ & + \mu^4 C^{f}{}_{c}{}^{b}V_f\big[C^{p}{}_{(m\cdot}{}^{c}V_{|p|}C^{s}{}_{|b|w)}C^{q}{}_{as}V_q C^{rna}V_r - C^{s}{}_{b}{}^{n}C^{p}{}_{as}V_p C^{q}{}_{(w}{}^{a}V_q C^{r}{}_{m)}V_r\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.33}$$

$u^{n}{}_{wm}$ can be calculated explicitly in a general form. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} u^{n}{}_{wm} = {} & \frac{1}{2}\,\mu(C^{f}{}_{mw}k_f{}^{n} + C^{f}{}_{\cdot w\cdot}{}^{n}k_{fm} - C^{f}{}_{m}{}^{n}k_{fw}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\,\mu^2\Big[C^{f}{}_{bw}k_{fa}(k^{na}k_{m}{}^{b} - k^{nb}k_{m}{}^{a}) + C^{f}{}_{mb}k_{fa}(k^{na}k_{w}{}^{b} - k^{nb}k_{w}{}^{a}) - 2k^{nb}k_{m}{}^{a}C^{f}{}_{ab}k_{fw} \\ & \qquad - (k_f{}^{a}k_{mc}C^{f}{}_{w}{}^{n} + 2k_{fm}C^{anf}k_{wa} - C^{f}{}_{w}{}^{n}k_f{}^{a}k_{ma} + C^{f}{}_{m}{}^{n}k_f{}^{a}k_{wa})\Big] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\,\mu^4\Big[3k_{c}{}^{b}C^{f}{}_{ab}k_f{}^{n}k_{w}{}^{a}k_{m}{}^{b} + C^{f}{}_{bw}k_{fa}k_{m}{}^{c}(k_{c}{}^{a}k^{nb} - k_{c}{}^{b}k^{na}) + C^{f}{}_{bm}k_{fa}k_{w}{}^{c}(k_{c}{}^{a}k^{nb} - k_{c}{}^{b}k^{na}) \\ & + C^{f}{}_{ab}k_{c}{}^{b}k_{w}{}^{c}k^{a}{}_{m}k^{n}{}_{f} + C^{fn}{}_{b}k_{fa}k_{m}{}^{c}(k_{c}{}^{a}k_{w}{}^{b} - k_{b}{}^{c}k_{w}{}^{a}) + C^{an}{}_{f}k_{b}{}^{f}(k_{c}{}^{b}k_{ma}k_{w}{}^{c} - k_{w}{}^{b}k_{m}{}^{c}k_{ab})\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{A.34}$$

and

$$R_G = \ell^{ab}R_{ab}. \tag{A.35}$$

In the case of the Einstein–Kaufmann connection on $M = G/G_0$ manifold one gets

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{\tilde{n}}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n} \\ \widetilde{w}\widetilde{m} \end{matrix} \right\} + u^{\tilde{n}}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} \tag{A.36}$$

where $\{\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ \widetilde{w}\widetilde{m} \end{smallmatrix}\}$ is the Christoffel symbol built from $h^{0}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}$. In this way a cosmological term reads

$$\underline{P} = \frac{1}{V_1}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\,\widehat{\overline{R}}(\widehat{\Gamma})\, d^{n_1}x \tag{A.37}$$

$$\widehat{\overline{R}}(\widehat{\Gamma}) = g^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\widehat{\overline{R}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}(\widehat{\Gamma}) \tag{A.38}$$

$$\widehat{\overline{R}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}} = \widetilde{R}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}} + \widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{a}}u^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{d}}u^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}} + \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{a}}u^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{d}} - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{d}}u^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}) \tag{A.39}$$

where $\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}$ is a Moffat–Ricci tensor for a connection $\widehat{\widetilde{\Gamma}}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{d}}$ is a Ricci tensor of a Levi-Civita connection formed for a metric tensor $h^0_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}$, where

$$u^{\tilde{n}}{}_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}} = \frac{1}{2}(K_{\tilde{w}\tilde{m}}{}^{\tilde{n}} - 2\widetilde{g}_{[m\cdot}{}^{\tilde{a}]}K_{\tilde{w}]\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}^{\tilde{n}\tilde{b}}_{[\cdot]}) + h^{0\tilde{n}\tilde{e}}\Big\{K_{\tilde{e}}{}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{(\tilde{w}}\widetilde{g}_{|\tilde{m}|\tilde{a})} + \widetilde{g}_{[\tilde{c}\cdot]}^{\tilde{b}}\Big[\widetilde{g}_{[(|\tilde{m}|\cdot]}^{\tilde{c}}K_{\tilde{w})\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}_{[\tilde{e}\cdot]}^{\tilde{a}} - K_{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\widetilde{g}_{[(\tilde{w}\cdot]}^{\tilde{a}}\widetilde{g}_{[\tilde{m}\cdot]}^{\tilde{c}}\Big]\Big\}. \quad \text{(A.40)}$$

During the calculations in Section 4 we used the following identities:

$$g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} = g_{\tilde{m}\tilde{a}}g^{\tilde{m}\tilde{c}} = \delta^{\tilde{c}}_{\tilde{a}} \quad \text{(A.41)}$$

$$g_{\tilde{m}\tilde{a}}g^{\tilde{c}\tilde{m}} = g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{m}}g^{\tilde{c}\tilde{m}} = \delta^{\tilde{c}}_{\tilde{a}} \quad \text{(A.42)}$$

where $\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} = 5, 6, (\varphi, \psi)$ and

$$F_{\mu\psi} = F_{\mu 5} = -F_{5\mu} = -F_{\psi\mu} = -\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\Phi_1(x) = e^*(H_{\mu\psi}) \quad \text{(A.43)}$$

$$F_{\mu\varphi} = \sin\psi\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\Phi_2(x) = -F_{\varphi\mu} = F_{\mu 6} = -F_{6\mu} = e^*(H_{\mu\varphi}) \quad \text{(A.44)}$$

We have also

$$F_{\psi\varphi} = F_{65} = \cos\psi(\Phi_2(x) - [\Phi_3, \Phi_1(x)]) + \sin\psi(\Phi_3 + [\Phi_2(x), \Phi_1(x)]) = e^*(H_{65}) = -F_{\varphi\psi} = -e^*(H_{56}). \quad \text{(A.45)}$$

Appendix B

Following Ref. [43] we use the following formulae

$$\Phi_5 = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1^* x_{-\alpha} + \varphi_2^* x_{-\beta} - \varphi_1 x_\alpha - \varphi_2 x_\beta) \quad \text{(B.1)}$$

$$\Phi_6 = \frac{\sin\psi}{2i}(\varphi_1 x_\alpha + \varphi_2 x_\beta + \varphi_1^* x_{-\alpha} + \varphi_2^* x_{-\beta}) - \Phi_3\cos\psi. \quad \text{(B.2)}$$

Φ_3 is constant and commutes with a reduced connection. $\mathrm{SU}(2)\times U(1)$ is a little group of Φ_3,

$$\Phi_3 = \frac{1}{2}\,i(2 - \langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle)^{-1}(h_\alpha + h_\beta), \quad \text{(B.3)}$$

x_α, $x_{-\alpha}$, x_β, $x_{-\beta}$ are elements of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H (see Ref. [62]) corresponding to roots $\alpha, -\alpha, \beta, -\beta$, h_α and h_β are elements of Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$h_\alpha = \frac{2\alpha_i}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}\,H_i = [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}], \quad \text{(B.4)}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, $k = \mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$, $[H_i, x_\omega] = \omega_i x_\omega$, H_i form Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$, $[x_\omega, x_\tau] = C_{\omega,\tau}x_{\omega+\tau}$ if $\omega+\tau$ is a root, if $\omega+\tau$ is not a root x_ω and x_τ commute. We take $k = 2$.

$$\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle = \frac{2\gamma\cdot\alpha}{\alpha\cdot\alpha} = 2\,\frac{|\gamma|}{|\alpha|}\cos\theta. \quad \text{(B.5)}$$

In this way we get a Higgs' doublet $\binom{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2} = \widetilde{\varphi}$.

The SU(2) × U(1) generators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\, i(x_\gamma + x_{-\gamma}) \\ t_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(x_\gamma - x_{-\gamma}) \\ t_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\, i h_\gamma \\ y &= \frac{1}{2}\, i h. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

h is an element of Cartan subalgebra orthogonal to h_γ with the same norm. Now everything is exactly the same as in Ref. [43] except the fact that

$$\bar{k}_{ad} = h_{ad} - \xi^2 k_{ab} k^b{}_d \tag{B.7}$$

$$k_{ad} = (1 - 2\zeta^2) h_{ad} - \xi^2 k_{ab} k^b{}_d. \tag{B.8}$$

In Ref. [43]

$$\bar{k}_{ad} = k_{ad} = h_{ad}. \tag{B.9}$$

A four-potential of Yang–Mills' field (a connection ω_E) can be written as

$$A_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_\mu t_i + B_\mu y \tag{B.10}$$

$$\text{or} \quad A_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\, i(A^-_\mu x_\gamma + A^+_\mu x_{-\gamma} + A^3_\mu h_\gamma + B_\mu h) \tag{B.11}$$

$$A^\pm_\mu = A^1_\mu \pm i A^2_\mu. \tag{B.12}$$

We have (see Ref. [43])

$$h(t_i, t_j) = -\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}\, \delta_{ij}$$

$$h(y, y) = -\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}$$

$$h(t_i, y) = 0$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + \varepsilon^a{}_{bc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu) t_a + (\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu) y = F^a{}_{\mu\nu} t_a + B_{\mu\nu} y \tag{B.13}$$

$$h(F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{\delta_{ab}}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}\, F^a{}_{\mu\nu} F^{b\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \gamma} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \tag{B.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi = {} & \Big(\partial_\mu \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, i A^-_\mu \varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, i A^3_\mu \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, i \tan\theta B_\mu \varphi_1\Big) x_\alpha \\ & + \Big(\partial_\mu \varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, i A^+_\mu \varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, i A^3_\mu \varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, i \tan\theta B_\mu \varphi_2\Big) x_\beta \end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \widetilde{\Phi} = {} & -\Big(\partial_\mu \varphi^*_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, i A^+_\mu \varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, i A^3_\mu \varphi^*_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, i \tan\theta B_\mu \varphi^*_1\Big) x_{-\alpha} \\ & - \Big(\partial_\mu \varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, i A^-_\mu \varphi^*_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, i A^3_\mu \varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, i \tan\theta B_\mu \varphi^*_2\Big) x_{-\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

We redefine the fields A^a_μ, B_μ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ with some rescaling (g is a coupling constant)

$$A'^a_\mu = L_1 A^a_\mu, \quad B'_\mu = L_1 B_\mu, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}' = L_2 \widetilde{\varphi} \tag{B.17}$$

where

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{g}\,\frac{1}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)^{1/2}} \tag{B.18}$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{g}\Big(\frac{\gamma\cdot\gamma}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}\Big)^{1/2} \tag{B.19}$$

We proceed the following transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z^0_\mu \\ A_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^3_\mu \\ B_\mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.20}$$

According to the classical results we also have $\frac{g'}{g} = \tan\theta$, assuming $q = g\sin\theta$, where q is an elementary charge and g and g' are coupling constants of A^a_μ and B_μ fields. The spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in the Manton model works classical if we take for minimum of the potential

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha}, \quad \alpha \text{ arbitrary phase}, \tag{B.21}$$

and we parametrize $\widetilde{\varphi} = \binom{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}$ in the following way

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \exp\Big(i\,\frac{1}{2v}\,\sigma^a t^a(x)\Big) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v+H(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.22}$$

For a vacuum state we take

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.23}$$

$t^a(x)$ and $H(x)$ are real fields on E. $t^a(x)$ has been "eaten" by A^a_μ, $a = 1, 2$, and Z^0_μ fields making them massive. $H(x)$ is our Higgs' field. σ^a are Pauli matrices.

In the formulae (B.7)–(B.8) we take $\xi = 0$. One gets in the Lagrangian mass terms:

$$M^2_W W^+_\mu W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\,M^2_Z Z^0_\mu Z^{0\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\,M^2_H H^2,$$

where $W^+_\mu = A^+_\mu$, $W^-_\mu = A^-_\mu$, getting masses for $W^\pm$, Z^0 bosons and a Higgs boson (see Eqs (4.48)–(4.52)). For G2 $\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle = 3$ and $\theta = 30°$, θ is identified with the Weinberg angle θ_W.

In order to proceed a Higgs' mechanism and spontaneous symmetry breaking in this model we use the following gauge transformation

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x) \mapsto U(x)\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.24}$$

where

$$v = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{rg}\cos\theta \tag{B.25}$$

a vacuum value of a Higgs field

$$U(x) = \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2v}\, t^a(x)\sigma^a\Big). \tag{B.26}$$

$H(x)$ is the remaining scalar field after a symmetry breaking and a Higgs' mechanism. One gets

$$A_\mu \mapsto A^u_\mu = \mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} A_\mu + U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x) \tag{B.27}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \mapsto F^u_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} F_{\mu\nu}. \tag{B.28}$$

Appendix C

In this appendix we derive a Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in GWS-model. One gets (see Eqs (3.176)–(3.178))

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}u^\alpha}{d\tau} + \frac{u^\beta}{m_0} h(q, H_{\beta\delta}) + \frac{1}{m_0}\, \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}\Big(u^5 \cdot h(q, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta}\, \Phi_5) + u^6 h(q, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta}\, \Phi_6)\Big) = 0 \tag{C.1}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^5}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\, h(q, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta}\, \Phi_5) - \frac{1}{r^2}\, u^6 h(q, H_{56}) = 0 \tag{C.2}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^6}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^b}{m_0 \sin^2\psi}\, h(q, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta}\, \Phi_6) - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^5}{m_0 \sin^2\psi}\, h(q, H_{65}) = 0 \tag{C.3}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(\frac{q}{m_0}\Big) = 0. \tag{C.4}$$

q is an isotopic charge belonging to a Lie algebra of H ($\mathfrak{h}$), $u^{\tilde{a}} = (u^5, u^6)$ is a charge which couples a test particle to Higgs' field, $H_{\beta\delta}$ is a strength of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times U(1)$ Yang–Mills' field, Φ_5, Φ_6 are scalar fields before a spontaneous symmetry breaking (see Eq. (4.12)). $\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}}{d\tau}$ is a covariant derivative along a line with respect to a connection $\widetilde{\overline{\omega}}^\alpha{}_\beta$ on E, $\frac{\widetilde{D}}{d\tau}$ is a covariant derivative with respect to a Levi-Civita connection on S^2. We have of course $g_{(\alpha\beta)}u^\alpha u^\beta = 1$.

Using some additional fields Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 and also Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$, we can write $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\, \Phi_5$ and $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\, \Phi_6$ in terms of Higgs' fields φ_1 and φ_2 (see Appendix B), m_0 is the mass of a test particle.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\, \Phi_5 = \frac{1}{2}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\,(\Phi + \widetilde{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[&\Big(\partial_\mu\varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^-_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^3_\mu\varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, i\tan\theta B_\mu\varphi_1\Big)x_\alpha \\
&+ \Big(\partial_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^+_\mu\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, iA^+_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iB_\mu\varphi_2\tan\theta\Big)x_\beta \\
&- \Big(\partial_\mu\varphi^*_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, iA^+_\mu\varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, iA^3_\mu\varphi^*_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, iB_\mu\varphi^*_1\tan\theta\Big)x_{-\alpha} \\
&- \Big(\partial_\mu\varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, iA^-_\mu\varphi^*_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^3_\mu\varphi^*_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, i\tan\theta B_\mu\varphi^*_2\Big)x_{-\beta}\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\, \Phi_6 = \frac{\sin\psi}{2i}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\,(\Phi - \widetilde{\Phi}) = \frac{\sin\psi}{2i}\Big[&\Big(\partial_\mu\varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^-_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^3_\mu\varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, i\tan\theta B_\mu\varphi_1\Big)x_\alpha \\
&+ \Big(\partial_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iA^+_\mu\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, iA^+_\mu\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, iB_\mu\varphi_2\tan\theta\Big)x_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \Big(\partial_\mu \varphi_1^* + \frac{1}{2}\, iA_\mu^+ \varphi_2^* + \frac{1}{2}\, iA_\mu^3 \varphi_1^* + \frac{1}{2}\, iB_\mu \varphi_1^* \tan\theta\Big) x_{-\alpha}$$
$$- \Big(\partial_\mu \varphi_2^* + \frac{1}{2}\, iA_\mu^- \varphi_1^* - \frac{1}{2}\, iA_\mu^3 \varphi_2^* + \frac{1}{2}\, i \tan\theta B_\mu \varphi_2^*\Big) x_{-\beta}\Big] \tag{C.6}$$

Let

$$q = q_\gamma x_\gamma + q_{-\gamma} x_{-\gamma} + qh + \widetilde{q} h_\gamma + q_\alpha x_\alpha + q_{-\alpha} x_{-\alpha} + q_\beta x_\beta + q_{-\beta} x_{-\beta}. \tag{C.7}$$

It is easy to see that the first part of q,

$$q = q_1 + q_2, \tag{C.8}$$
$$q_1 = q_\gamma x_\gamma + q_{-\gamma} x_{-\gamma} + qh + \widetilde{q} h_\gamma \tag{C.9}$$

couples to Yang–Mills' field and the second part

$$q_2 = q_\alpha x_\alpha + q_{-\alpha} x_{-\alpha} + q_\beta x_\beta + q_{-\beta} x_{-\beta} \tag{C.10}$$

to scalar fields Φ_5 and Φ_6.

In this way in a GSW model a test particle has a weak isotopic charge, weak hypercharge which are equivalent to weak charge and an electric charge. It has also an additional weak charge which couples it to Higgs' field, i.e. q_2. Moreover, we have also $u^{\tilde{a}} = (u^5, u^6)$ charge. It would be very interesting to observe this additional charges in an experiment.

$$H_{56} = \partial_5 \Phi_6 - \partial_6 \Phi_5 + [\Phi_5, \Phi_6] \tag{C.11}$$
$$H_{65} = \partial_6 \Phi_5 - \partial_5 \Phi_6 + [\Phi_6, \Phi_5] \tag{C.12}$$

We get

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}} u^\alpha}{d\tau} - \frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\, \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} \widetilde{Q}^i \delta_{ij} F^i{}_{\beta\delta} - \frac{u^b}{m_0}\, \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} \cdot Q \cdot B_{\beta\delta}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{m_0}\, \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} \Big(u^5 \cdot h(q, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta} \Phi_5)) + u^6 h(q, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta} \Phi_6))\Big) = 0 \tag{C.13}$$
$$\frac{\widetilde{D} u^5}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\, \frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\, h(Q, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta}) \Phi_5) - \frac{1}{r^2}\, u^6 h(Q, e^*(H_{56})) = 0 \tag{C.14}$$
$$\frac{\widetilde{D} u^6}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\, \frac{u^b}{m_0 \sin^2\psi}\, h(Q, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta} \Phi_6)) - \frac{1}{r^2}\, \frac{u^5}{m_0 \sin^2\psi}\, h(Q, e^*(H_{65})) = 0 \tag{C.15}$$
$$\frac{dQ^a}{d\tau} - C^c{}_{cb} Q^c A^b_M u^M = 0 \tag{C.16}$$

where

$$e^* \omega_E = A^i_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu t_i + B_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu y \tag{C.17}$$
$$e^*(q^c X_c) = Q^c X_c \tag{C.18}$$
$$e^* \omega = \alpha^c_i A^i_\mu \overline{\theta}^\mu \widetilde{t}_i + \Phi^a_{\tilde{a}} \theta^{\tilde{a}} X_a, \tag{C.19}$$

$\widetilde{Q}^i = \frac{Q^i}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}$ is an isotopic charge, $\widetilde{Q} = \frac{Q}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}$ is a weak hypercharge,

$$\widetilde{t}_i = t_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \widetilde{t}_4 = y, \tag{C.20}$$

$$h(x,y) = h_{ab}x^a y^b. \tag{C.21}$$

Let us consider the transformation (B.20) and the following transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q^0 \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{Q}^3 \\ Q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.22}$$

θ plays of course a role of the Weinberg angle θ_W. Q^0 is a neutral weak charge and q an electric charge. In this way we get in Eq. (C.16) a very familiar term

$$-\frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)} q F_{\beta\delta} \tag{C.23}$$

where

$$F_{\beta\delta} = \partial_\beta A_\delta - \partial_\delta A_\beta \tag{C.24}$$

is a strength of an electromagnetic field and q an electric charge, i.e. a Lorentz force term.

One gets for H_{56}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{56} = -H_{65} = -i\sin\psi\Big(\varphi_1\varphi_1^*\,\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha\cdot\alpha} + \varphi_2\varphi_2^*\,\frac{\beta_i}{\beta\cdot\beta}\Big)H_i - i\cos\psi\varphi_1 x_\alpha - i\cos\psi\varphi_2 x_b \\ - i\cos\psi\varphi_1^* x_{-\alpha} - i\cos\psi\varphi_2^* x_{-\beta} + \frac{i}{2}\sin\psi\varphi_1\varphi_2^* C_{\alpha,-\beta}x_\gamma + \frac{i}{2}\sin\psi\varphi_2\varphi_1^* C_{\beta,-\alpha}x_{-\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.25}$$

Let us proceed a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in our Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation. In this way we transform

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi_{\tilde{a}} \mapsto \mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi_{\tilde{a}} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi^u_{\tilde{a}}\,, \quad \widetilde{a} = 5,6, \tag{C.26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi^u_5 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\Big[\partial_\mu H(x)(x_\beta - x_{-\beta}) \\ + \frac{i}{2}(v+H(x))(A^{3u}_\mu(x_\beta + x_{-\beta}) + B_\mu\tan\theta(x_{-\beta} - x_\beta) - A^{+u}_\mu x_{-\alpha} + A^{-u}_\mu x_\alpha)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi^u_6 = \frac{\sin\psi}{2i}\Big[\partial_\mu H(x)(x_\beta + x_{-\beta}) \\ + \frac{i}{2}(v+H(x))(A^{+u}_\mu x_{-\alpha} - A^{-u}_\mu x_\alpha + A^{3u}_\mu(x_\beta - x_{-\beta}) + B_\mu\tan\theta(x_{-\beta} - x_\beta))\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{C.28}$$

$$H_{56} \mapsto \mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} H^u_{56}, \tag{C.29}$$

where

$$H^u_{56} = -\frac{\sin\psi(v+H(x))}{2}\Big((v+H(x))\frac{\beta_i}{\beta\cdot\beta}\,H_i + \sqrt{2}\cos\psi(x_\beta + x_{-\beta})\Big) \tag{C.30}$$

$$H^u_{56} = -H^u_{65} \tag{C.31}$$

where

$$A^+_\mu \mapsto A^{+u}_\mu = (\mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)}A_\mu)^+ + \frac{i}{2v}\,\partial_\mu t^+(x) \tag{C.32}$$

$$A^-_\mu \mapsto A^{-u}_\mu = \big(\mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} A_\mu\big)^- + \frac{i}{2v}\,\partial_\mu t^-(x) \tag{C.33}$$

$$A^3_\mu \mapsto A^{3u}_\mu = \big(\mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} A_\mu\big)^3 + \frac{i}{2v}\,\partial_\mu t^3(x). \tag{C.34}$$

Simultaneously we proceed a transformation on charges

$$Q \mapsto Q^u = \mathrm{ad}'_{U^{-1}(x)} Q. \tag{C.35}$$

In this way we have from Eqs (C.13)–(C.16)

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}u^\alpha}{d\tau} - \frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}\widetilde{Q}^{iu}\delta_{ij}W^i{}_{\beta\delta} - \frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}Q^{0u}Z^0{}_{\beta\delta} - \frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}qF_{\beta\delta} + \frac{1}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\delta)}\Big(u^5 h(Q^u, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta}\Phi^u_5) + u^6 h(Q^u, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\delta}\Phi^u_6)\Big) = 0 \tag{C.36}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^5}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^\beta}{m_0}\,h(Q^u, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta}\Phi^u_5) - \frac{1}{r^2}\,u^6 h(Q^u, H^u_{56}) = 0 \tag{C.37}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^6}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^\beta}{m_0\sin^2\psi}\,h(Q^u, \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\beta}\Phi^u_6) - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^5}{m_0\sin^2\psi}\,h(Q^u, H^u_{65}) = 0. \tag{C.38}$$

In Eqs (C.36)–(C.38) a test particle is coupled to physical fields only, i.e. $W^i_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$ and H.

One derives a final form of $h(Q^u, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5))$, $h(Q^u, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_6))$, $h(Q^u, e^*(H_{56}))$, getting

$$\begin{aligned} h(Q^u, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5)) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\Big(&\frac{2}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}(q_{-\alpha}W^{-u}_\mu - q_\alpha W^{+u}_\mu) + \frac{2}{\beta\cdot\beta}\Big(\partial_\mu H(q_{-\beta} - q_\beta) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\,(v + H(x))(Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta + A_\mu\sin\theta)(q_{-\beta} + q_\beta) + (-Z^{0u}_\mu\sin\theta\tan\theta + A_\mu\sin\theta)(q_{-\beta} - q_\beta)\Big) \\ &+ h(x_\alpha, x_\alpha)q_\alpha W^{-u}_\mu + h(x_\beta, x_\beta)q_\beta\Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{i}{2}(v + H(x))(Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta + A_\mu\sin\theta) \\ &+ (Z^{0u}_\mu\sin\theta\tan\theta - A_\mu\sin\theta)\Big) \\ &+ h(x_{-\alpha}, x_{-a})q_{-\alpha}W^{+u}_\mu + h(x_{-\beta,-\beta})q_{-\beta}\Big(-\partial_\mu H + \frac{i}{2}(v + H(x))(Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta + A_\mu\sin\theta) \\ &+ (-Z^{0u}_\mu\sin\theta\tan\theta + A_\mu\sin\theta)\Big)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{C.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(Q^u, e^*(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_6)) = \frac{\sin\psi}{2\sqrt{2}\,i}\Big(&\frac{2i(v + H(x))}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}\,(q_\alpha W^{+u}_\mu - q_{-\alpha}W^{-u}_\mu) \\ &+ \frac{2}{\beta\cdot\beta}\Big(\partial_\mu H(q_\beta + q_{-\beta}) + (q_{-\beta} - q_\beta)\,\frac{Z^{0u}_\mu}{\cos\theta}\Big) \\ &- \frac{i}{2}\,h(x_\alpha, x_\alpha)q_\alpha W^{-u}_\mu + \frac{i}{2}\,h(x_{-\alpha}, x_{-\alpha})q_{-\alpha}(v + H(x))W^{+u}_\mu \\ &+ h(x_\beta, x_\beta)q_\beta\Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{Z^{0u}_\mu}{\cos\theta}\Big) + h(x_{-\beta}, x_{-\beta})q_\beta\Big(\partial_\mu H - \frac{Z^{0u}_\mu}{\cos\theta}\Big)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{C.40}$$

$$h(Q^u, e^*(H_{56})) = -h(Q^u, e^*(H_{65}))$$
$$= -\frac{\sin 2\psi\sqrt{2}}{4}\Big((q_\beta + q_{-\beta})\Big(\frac{2}{\beta\cdot\beta} + h(x_\beta, x_\beta) + h(x_{-\beta}, x_{-\beta})\Big)\Big) \quad \text{(C.41)}$$

Here the superscript u means that all quantities are in a gauge U. In this way all couplings of a test particle are expressed by physical fields after a spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism.

Let us consider Eq. (C.16) in more details using Eq. (C.6) and let us change a gauge using a gauge changing function $U(x)$. One finds

$$\frac{dQ^u_\gamma}{d\tau} - i((Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta - \sin\theta A_\mu)Q^u_\gamma - W^{-u}_\mu\widetilde{q})u^\mu + \frac{v+H(x)}{\sqrt{2}}\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle\Big(u^5 + i\,\frac{\sin\psi}{2}\,u^6\Big)q_\alpha$$
$$-\frac{i}{2}\,u^6\cos\psi(\langle\gamma,\beta\rangle + \langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle)Q^u_\gamma = 0 \quad \text{(C.42)}$$

$$\frac{dQ^u_{-\gamma}}{d\tau} - i(W^{+u}_\mu\widetilde{Q}^u - (Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta - \sin\theta A_\mu)Q^u_{-\gamma})u^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v+H(x))\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle q_{-\alpha}\Big(u^5 - i\,\frac{\sin\psi}{2}\,u^6\Big)$$
$$+\frac{i}{2}\,u^6\cos\psi(\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle + \langle\gamma,\beta\rangle)Q^u_\gamma = 0 \quad \text{(C.43)}$$

$$\frac{dq}{d\tau} = 0 \quad \text{(C.44)}$$

$$\frac{d\widetilde{Q}^u}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{2}(W^{+u}_\mu Q^u_\gamma - W^{-u}_\mu Q^u_{-\gamma}) = 0 \quad \text{(C.45)}$$

$$\frac{dq_\alpha}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\,i\Big((\cos\theta A_\mu - Z^{0u}_\mu\sin\theta)\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle q_\alpha - 2(Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta - \sin\theta A_\mu)q_\alpha\,\frac{\gamma_1\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\alpha_1}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big)u^\mu$$
$$+\frac{u^5(v+H(x))}{\sqrt{2}}\,Q^u_\gamma\Big(u^5 + \frac{i\sin\psi}{2\sqrt{2}}\,u^6\Big) - \frac{i}{2}\,u^6\cos\psi q_\alpha(2 + \langle\alpha,\beta\rangle) = 0 \quad \text{(C.46)}$$

$$\frac{dq_{-\alpha}}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\,i\Big(2(\cos\theta A_\mu - Z^{0u}_\mu\sin\theta)q_{-\alpha}\,\frac{\gamma_1\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\alpha_1}{\gamma\cdot\gamma} + (Z^{0u}_\mu\cos\theta - \sin\theta A_\mu)q_{-\alpha}\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle\Big)u^\mu$$
$$+\frac{v+H(x)}{\sqrt{2}}\,Q^u_{-\gamma}\Big(u^5 - \frac{i\sin\psi u^6}{2}\Big) + \frac{i}{2}\,\cos\psi q_{-\alpha}(2 + \langle\alpha,\beta\rangle) = 0 \quad \text{(C.47)}$$

Simultaneously we get

$$q_\beta = q_{-\beta} = 0. \quad \text{(C.48)}$$

In this way our equations are simpler, e.g. $h(Q^u, e^*(H_{56})) = h(Q^u, e^*(H_{65})) = 0$. Let us notice that q_α and $q_{-\alpha}$ charges are not influenced by the gauge transformation $U(x)$. The electric charge q does not feel any movement of additional charges.

Thus one gets eventually

$$\frac{\widetilde{\overline{D}}u^\mu}{d\tau} - \frac{\widetilde{Q}^{iu}}{m_0}\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\delta)}\delta_{ij}u^\beta W^{iu}_{\beta\delta} - \frac{Q^{0u}}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\delta)}u^\beta Z^{0u}_{\beta\delta} - \frac{q}{m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\delta)}u^\beta F_{\beta\delta}$$
$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\,m_0}\,\widetilde{g}^{(\mu\delta)}\Big(u^5\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}(q_{-\alpha}W^{-u}_\delta - q_\alpha W^{+u}_\delta) + \frac{1}{\beta\cdot\beta}(h(x_\alpha,x_\alpha)q_\alpha W^{-u}_\delta + h(x_{-\alpha},x_{-\alpha})q_{-\alpha}W^{+u}_\delta)\Big)$$
$$+u^6\sin\psi\Big(\frac{v+H(x)}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}(q_\alpha W^{+u}_\delta - q_{-\alpha}W^{-u}_\delta) - \frac{1}{2(\beta\cdot\beta)}(h(x_\alpha,x_\alpha)q_{-\alpha}(v+H(x)))W^{+u}_\delta\Big)\Big) = 0 \quad \text{(C.49)}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^5}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\,m_0}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}(q_{-\alpha}W_\beta^{-u} - q_\alpha W_\beta^{+u}) + \frac{1}{\beta\cdot\beta}\big(h(x_\alpha,x_\alpha)q_\alpha W_\beta^{-u} + h(x_{-\alpha},x_{-\alpha})q_{-\alpha}W_\beta^{+u}\big)\Big) = 0 \tag{C.50}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}u^6}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{r^2}\,\frac{u^\beta}{\sqrt{2}\,m_0\sin\psi}\Big(\frac{v+H(x)}{\alpha\cdot\alpha}(q_\alpha W_\beta^{+u} - q_{-\alpha}W_\beta^{-u}) + \frac{1}{2(\beta\cdot\beta)}\big(-h(x_\alpha,x_\alpha)q_\alpha W_\beta^{-u} + h(x_{-\alpha},x_{-\alpha})(v+H(x))W_\beta^{+u}\big)\Big) = 0. \tag{C.51}$$

Let us suppose that $H = G2$. In this case one gets

$$\begin{gathered} |\beta| = |\alpha| = \sqrt{2}, \quad |\gamma| = \sqrt{6}, \\ \alpha\cdot\alpha = \beta\cdot\beta = 2, \quad \gamma\cdot\gamma = 6, \\ \langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle = 3, \quad \langle\gamma,\beta\rangle = \langle\alpha,\beta\rangle = -1, \\ \frac{\gamma_1\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\alpha_1}{\gamma\cdot\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \\ \theta = 30^\circ, \quad \cos\theta = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\,, \quad \sin\theta = \frac{1}{2}\,. \end{gathered} \tag{C.52}$$

Thus one gets

$$\frac{dQ_\gamma^u}{d\tau} - i\Big(\frac{1}{2}(Z_\gamma^{0u}\sqrt{3} - A_\mu)Q_\gamma^u - W_\gamma^{-u}\widetilde{q}\Big)u^\mu - \frac{3(v+H(x))}{\sqrt{2}}\,q_\alpha\Big(u^5 + i\,\frac{\sin\psi}{2}\,u^6\Big) - i\cos\psi Q_\gamma^u = 0 \tag{C.53}$$

$$\frac{dQ_{-\gamma}^u}{d\tau} - iu^\mu\Big(W_\mu^{+u}\widetilde{Q}^u - \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\,Z_\mu^{0u} - A_\mu)Q_{-\gamma}^u\Big) + \frac{3u^5}{\sqrt{2}}(v+H(x))q_{-\alpha}\Big(u^5 - i\,\frac{\sin\psi}{2}\,u^6\Big) + iu^6\cos\psi Q_{-\gamma}^u = 0 \tag{C.54}$$

$$\frac{dq}{d\tau} = 0 \tag{C.55}$$

$$\frac{d\widetilde{Q}^u}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{2}(W_\mu^{+u}Q_\gamma^u - W_\mu^{-u}Q_{-\gamma}^u) = 0 \tag{C.56}$$

$$\frac{dq_\alpha}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\,i\Big(\frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3}\,A_\mu - Z_\mu^{0u}) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(\sqrt{3}\,Z_\mu^{0u} - A_\mu)\Big)q_\alpha u^\mu + \frac{u^5(v+H(x))}{\sqrt{2}}\,Q_\gamma^u\Big(u^5 + \frac{i\sin\psi}{2\sqrt{2}}\,u^6\Big) - \frac{i}{2}\,u^6\cos\psi q_\alpha = 0 \tag{C.57}$$

$$\frac{dq_{-\alpha}}{d\tau} + \frac{i}{2}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(\sqrt{3}\,A_\mu - Z_\mu^{0u}) + \frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3}\,Z_\mu^{0u} - A_\mu)\Big)u^\mu q_{-\alpha} + \frac{v+H(x)}{\sqrt{2}}\,Q_{-\gamma}^u\Big(u^5 - \frac{i\sin\psi}{2}\,u^6\Big) + \frac{i}{2}\cos\psi q_{-\alpha} = 0. \tag{C.58}$$

Eqs (C.49)–(C.51) and (C.53)–(C.58) are generalized Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equations in GSW model.

At the end of this appendix we consider a cosmological constant in GSW model. In this case we have from Eq. (4.31)

$$\lambda_c = \Big(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{\ell_{\rm pl}^2}\,\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\,\underline{\widetilde{P}}\Big).$$

Moreover, now we have also an additional term for a Higgs' potential $V(0) \neq 0$. One gets

$$\lambda_c' = \lambda_c - \frac{2\pi(1-2\zeta^2)}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}\,r^2} \tag{C.59}$$

and eventually

$$\lambda_c' = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\ell_{\rm pl}^2}\,\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\underline{\widetilde{P}} - \frac{2\pi(1-2\zeta^2)}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}\,r^2}\Big) \tag{C.60}$$

where $\underline{\widetilde{P}}$ is given by the formula (4.32).

Moreover, we should add to cosmological constant term also $V_2(0)$ (see Appendix D). The term $\widetilde{P}$ has been calculated in Refs [1, 5] for S^2. $\widetilde{R}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is equal to $\widetilde{R}_{G2}$ ($G = G2$, see Eq. (A.35)).

One gets

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_c' = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\ell_{\rm pl}^2}\,\widetilde{R}_{G2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\Big(&\frac{16|\zeta|^3}{3(2\zeta^2+1)(1+\zeta^2)^{5/2}}\Big(\zeta^2 E\Big(\frac{|\zeta|}{\sqrt{\zeta^2+1}}\Big) - 2(\zeta^2+1)K\Big(\frac{|\zeta|}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}\Big)\Big)\\ &+ 8\ln\Big(|\zeta|\sqrt{\zeta^2+1} + \frac{4(1+9\zeta^2-8\zeta^4)|\zeta|^3}{3(1+\zeta^2)^{3/2}}\Big)\Big)\frac{1}{2|\zeta|\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}\\ &- \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}\Big(2(1-2\zeta^2) + \frac{\xi^2}{2\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}}\,\overline{K}(h_\alpha+h_\beta, h_\alpha+h_\beta)\Big)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{C.61}$$

where

$$K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}} \tag{C.62}$$

$$E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}\,d\theta \tag{C.63}$$

are elliptic integrals of the first and second order.

$\widetilde{R}_{G2}$ strongly depends on k_{ab} and ξ. It seems that we can tune λ_c' to the desired value known from observational data. $\overline{K}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined by Eq. (D.15).

Appendix D

In this appendix we give details of an interaction of the Higgs' field and nonsymmetric (Hermitian) gravity. One gets from Eq. (3.88)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}(\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}\Phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^2(1+\zeta^2)}\Big\{&\overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi_5^k \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\omega \Phi_5^d\Big(2(\xi\zeta^2(\zeta-1)-1-\xi)k_{kd} + (\xi\zeta(2\xi^2-\zeta-2\xi\zeta-2)\\ &+ (\pi-2)\xi)k^b{}_d k_{bk} - 2h_{kd} + \xi^3\zeta(2\xi^2-\pi)k^{nb}k_{nk}k_{bd}\Big)g^{\omega\mu} + \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi_5^d \overset{\rm gauge}{\nabla}_\gamma \Phi_5^n\Big(-2\xi^2 h_{nd}\widetilde{g}^{(\gamma\beta)}g_{[\beta\omega]}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 2\xi^3\widetilde{g}^{(\gamma\beta)}g_{[\beta\omega]}k_{nd} + 2\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\nu)}\widetilde{g}^{(\gamma\rho)}g_{[\nu\omega]}g_{[\rho\alpha]}k_{nd} + 2\xi^2 k_{nd}k^n{}_d\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\nu)}\widetilde{g}^{(\gamma\rho)}g_{[\nu\omega]}g_{[\rho\alpha]}\Big)g^{\omega\mu}\\
&+ \Big(-2\zeta\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^n + 2\zeta\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\Phi_5^n + 2\zeta(\zeta+1)k^n{}_d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d\\
&+ 2\xi^2(\zeta\xi+1)k^n{}_d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d + 2\xi^2\zeta k^{nb}k_{bd}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\Phi_5^d\Big)g^{\omega\mu}\ell_{nk}\\
&+ \ell_{nk}g^{\omega\mu}k^n{}_d\big(\pi + 4\zeta + 4\zeta\xi^2 + 2\pi\xi\zeta^2 + 4\zeta\xi\big)g^{\alpha\eta}g_{[\eta\omega]}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5^n\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\alpha}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d\\
&+ 2\xi\zeta\ell_{nk}g^{\omega\mu}\widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\nu)}\widetilde{g}^{(\gamma\rho)}g_{[\nu\omega]}g_{[\rho\alpha]}\big(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\gamma}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d + \zeta^2\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\gamma}\Phi_5^d + 2\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\gamma}\Phi_5^d\big)\\
&+ \ell_{nk}g^{\omega\mu}\Big[\Big(-2\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^n - 2\xi(\zeta-1)k^n{}_d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d + 2\xi^2\zeta k^{nb}k_{bd}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d\Big)\\
&+ \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\eta)}g_{[\eta\omega]}\big(2\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\alpha}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k - 2\xi^2\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\alpha}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d - 4\zeta^2\xi k^n{}_d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\alpha}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d\big)\Big]\Big\} \qquad \text{(D.1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_5$ is given by the formula (C.5) before an electro-weak symmetry breaking and by the formula (C.27) after an electro-weak symmetry breaking (in a gauge U),

$$
\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\widehat{\Phi}_6 = \frac{1}{\sin\psi}\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_6 \qquad \text{(D.2)}
$$

and $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_6$ is given by the formula (C.6) before an electro-weak symmetry breaking and by the formula (C.28) after an electro-weak symmetry breaking (in a gauge U). ζ is pure imaginary. We should do a rescaling (B.17) in (C.5) and (C.27).

One can derive $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$ (see Eq. (3.82)) and gets

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{i\zeta\sqrt{6}}{16\pi r^2(1+\zeta^2)}\big(3F^3_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu}(\varphi_1\varphi_1^* - \varphi_2\varphi_2^*) - \varphi_1\varphi_2^*(F^-_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu} + F^+_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu})\big). \qquad \text{(D.3)}
$$

One eventually gets in a gauge U

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{i\zeta 3\sqrt{6}\,(v+H(x))^2}{64\pi r^2(1+\zeta^2)}(Z^{0u}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{3}\,F_{\mu\nu})g^{\mu\nu}. \qquad \text{(D.4)}
$$

Let us notice the following fact. In the formulas (D.1) and (D.3) an interaction between Higgs' and "gauge" fields is covariant, however non-minimal. The interaction between gravity and Higgs' fields has a non-classical kinetic term. The real significance of this interaction demands more investigations.

They are "interference effects" between Higgs' field from GSW model and a nonsymmetric gravity being an effect of a unification. $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$ is an effect of a unification as well.

Let us consider $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}\Phi)$ in a Minkowski space $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_m$ and let us suppose that electroweak symmetry breaking took place. One gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}\Phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^2(1+\zeta^2)}\,\eta^{\omega\mu}\Big[&\big((\xi\zeta(2\xi^2 - \zeta - 2\xi\zeta - 2) + (\pi-2)\zeta)k^b{}_dk_{bk} - 2h_{dk}\big)\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\Phi_5^d\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\mu}\Phi_6^k\\
&+ \big(\zeta(2(\zeta+1)+\xi^2)h_{nk} + 2\xi(\xi(\zeta\xi+1) - \zeta)k^n{}_dk_{nk}\big)\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\Phi_5^k\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla_\omega}\widehat{\Phi}_6^d
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ (h_{nk} - 2\xi^2(2\zeta - 1)k^p{}_n k_{pk}) \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \widehat{\Phi}_6^k \overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\omega \widehat{\Phi}_6^n \Big] \quad \text{(D.5)}$$

where

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \Phi_5 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \Big[-\frac{1}{2}(v + H(x))(W_\mu^{-u} x_\alpha + W_\mu^{+u} x_{-\alpha})$$

$$+ \Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{1}{2}\, i(v + H(x)) \Big(W_\mu^{+u} + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\, Z_\mu^{0u} - A_\mu) \Big) x_\beta \Big) \quad \text{(D.6)}$$

$$- \Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}(v + H(x)) Z_\mu^{0u} \Big) x_{-\beta} \Big] \quad \text{(D.7)}$$

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_\mu \widehat{\Phi}_6 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \Big(\frac{1}{2}\, i(v + H(x))(W_\mu^{-u} x_\alpha + W_\mu^{+u} x_{-\alpha}) \Big)$$

$$- \Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{1}{2}\, i(v + H(x)) \Big(W_\mu^{+u} + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\, Z_\mu^{0u} - A_\mu) \Big) x_\beta \Big)$$

$$+ \Big(\partial_\mu H + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}(v + H(x)) Z_\mu^{0u} \Big) x_{-\beta}. \quad \text{(D.8)}$$

Let us come back to the Higgs' potential. One can write

$$V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \frac{1}{V_2} \frac{2\pi^2}{\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}} \kappa \Big(\frac{1}{2}\, i(2 - |\varphi_1|^2) h_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\, i(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) h_\beta - \frac{3}{2}\, i\varphi_1 \varphi_2^* x_\gamma - \frac{3}{2}\, i\varphi_1 \varphi_2^* x_{-\gamma},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\, i(2 - |\varphi_1|^2) h_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\, i(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) h_\beta - \frac{3}{2}\, i\varphi_1 \varphi_2^* x_\gamma - \frac{3}{2}\, i\varphi_1 \varphi_2^* x_{-\gamma} \Big) \quad \text{(D.9)}$$

where

$$\kappa(x, y) = \kappa_{ad} x^a y^d \quad \text{(D.10)}$$

$$\kappa_{ad} = (1 - 2\zeta^2) h_{ad} + \xi^2 k^c{}_d k_{ce} \quad \text{(D.11)}$$

and ζ is pure imaginary.

This is of course in the case of Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory. This generalized potential is much more complicated than in the GWS model and can go to some complicated Higgs' sector structure. Moreover, in the simplest case for $\xi = 0$ it can predict a good agreement with an experiment for a pattern of masses for W, Z^0 bosons and Higgs' boson.

The Higgs' potential can be written in the following form

$$V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = V_1(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) + V_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \quad \text{(D.12)}$$

$$V_1(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = -\frac{\pi(1 - 2\zeta^2)}{2(1 + \zeta^2) r^2} \Big[\frac{9}{\gamma \cdot \gamma}\, |\varphi_1|^2 |\varphi_2|^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha \cdot \alpha}\, (2 - |\varphi_1|^2)^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{\beta \cdot \beta}\, (2 - |\varphi_2|^2)^2 - \frac{2(\alpha \cdot \beta)}{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)(\beta \cdot \beta)}\, (2 - |\varphi_1|^2)(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) \Big] \quad \text{(D.13)}$$

$$V_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \frac{\pi \xi^2}{2(1 + \zeta^2) r^2} \Big[-\frac{1}{4}(2 - |\varphi_1|^2)^2 \overline{K}(h_\alpha, h_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}(2 - |\varphi_1|^2)(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) \overline{K}(h_\alpha, h_\beta)$$

$$+ \frac{3}{2}(2 - |\varphi_1|^2) \varphi_1 \varphi_2^* \overline{K}(h_\alpha, x_\gamma) + \frac{3}{2}(2 - |\varphi_1|^2) \varphi_1 \varphi_2^* \overline{K}(h_\alpha, x_{-\gamma})$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}(2 - |\varphi_2|^2)^2 \overline{K}(h_\beta, h_\beta) + \frac{3}{2}(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) \varphi_1 \varphi_2^* \overline{K}(h_\beta, x_\gamma) + \frac{3}{2}(2 - |\varphi_2|^2) \varphi_1 \varphi_2^* \overline{K}(h_\beta, x_{-\gamma})$$

$$- \frac{9}{4}(\varphi_1\varphi_2^*)^2\overline{K}(x_\gamma, x_\gamma) - \frac{9}{4}(\varphi_1^*\varphi_2)^2\overline{K}(x_{-\gamma}, x_{-\gamma}) - \frac{9}{4}|\varphi_1|^2|\varphi_2|^2\overline{K}(x_{-\gamma}, x_{-\gamma})\Big] \quad \text{(D.14)}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}\overline{K}(x,y) = \overline{k}_{de}x^d y^e = k^c{}_d k_{ce} x^d y^e, \\ k^c{}_d = h^{cb}k_{bd}, \quad \overline{k}_{dc} = \overline{k}_{cd}, \quad k_{ab} = -k_{ba}\end{gathered} \quad \text{(D.15)}$$

(a right invariant tensor on $H = G2$).

$V_1(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is a part of Higgs' potential known in Manton model corrected by a constant from Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory (Hermitian version). $V_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is an additional term from Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory and can give additional Higgs' phenomena. Moreover, according to an experiment we do not see new phenomena. Moreover, we should do a rescaling (B.17) and use primed fields.

One gets

$$\begin{aligned} V_1'(\varphi_1', \varphi_2') &= V_1\Big(g\Big(\frac{\alpha\cdot\alpha}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big)^{1/2}\varphi_1', g\Big(\frac{\alpha\cdot\alpha}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big)^{1/2}\varphi_2'\Big) \\ = -\frac{\pi(1-2\zeta^2)}{(1+\zeta^2)r^2}\Big[&\frac{9g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)^3}\,|\varphi_1'|^2|\varphi_2'|^2 - \frac{1}{(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}\,|\varphi_1'|^2\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{(\beta\cdot\beta)}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}\,|\varphi_2'|^2\Big)^2 \\ &- \frac{2(\alpha\cdot\beta)}{(\alpha\cdot\alpha)(\beta\cdot\beta)}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_1'|^2\Big)\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_2'|^2\Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \quad \text{(D.16)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_2'(\varphi_1', \varphi_2') &= V_2\Big(g\Big(\frac{\alpha\cdot\alpha}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big)^{1/2}\varphi_1', g\Big(\frac{\alpha\cdot\alpha}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big)^{1/2}\varphi_2'\Big) \\ &= \frac{\pi\xi^2}{2(1+\zeta^2)r^2}\Big[-\frac{1}{4}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_1'|^2\Big)^2\overline{K}(h_\alpha, h_\alpha) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_1'|^2\Big)\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_2'|^2\Big)\cdot\overline{K}(h_\alpha, h_\beta) \\ &\quad + \frac{3g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{2(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_1'|^2\Big)\varphi_1'\varphi_2'^*\big(\overline{K}(h_\alpha, x_\gamma) + \overline{K}(h_\alpha, x_{-\gamma})\big) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_2'|^2\Big)^2\overline{K}(h_\beta, h_\beta) + \frac{3g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{2(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}\Big(2 - \frac{g^2(\alpha\cdot\alpha)}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)}|\varphi_2'|^2\Big)\big(\overline{K}(h_\beta, x_\gamma) + \overline{K}(h_\beta, x_{-\gamma})\big) \\ &- \frac{9g^4(\alpha\cdot\alpha)^2}{4(\gamma\cdot\gamma)^2}\big((\varphi_1'\varphi_2'^*)^2\overline{K}(x_\gamma, x_\gamma) + (\varphi_1'^*\varphi_2')^2\overline{K}(x_{-\gamma}, x_{-\gamma})\big) - \frac{9g^4(\alpha\cdot\alpha)^2}{(\gamma\cdot\gamma)^2}\,|\varphi_1'|^2|\varphi_2'|^2\overline{K}(x_{-\gamma}, x_{-\gamma})\Big] \end{aligned} \quad \text{(D.17)}$$

Eq. (4.33) can be rewritten in the form below (see Eq. (2.39)):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\Big[-\frac{1}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\Big[\delta_{\bar n\bar k}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar n}{}_{\omega\mu} - 2\delta_{\bar c\bar d}H^{\bar c}H^{\bar d} + 2\delta_{\bar n\bar k}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar n}{}_{\delta\omega}g_{[\alpha\mu]}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\delta)}\Big]\\ + \xi\Big[2k_{\bar n\bar k}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar n}{}_{\delta\omega}\widetilde g^{(\delta\alpha)}g_{[\alpha\mu]} - 2k_{\bar k\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\delta\alpha}\widetilde g^{(\delta\beta)}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\beta\omega]}g_{[\rho\mu]}\\ - k_{\bar k\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde g^{(\eta\beta)}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\mu\alpha]}g_{[\beta\rho]} + k_{\bar k\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde g^{(\eta\delta)}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\delta\beta]}r_{[\omega\delta]}\Big]\\ + \xi^2\Big[k_{n\bar k}k^n{}_{\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\eta\mu}\widetilde g^{(\rho\beta)}\widetilde g^{(\eta\alpha)}g_{[\omega\beta]}g_{[\alpha\rho]} - 2k_{n\bar k}k^n{}_{\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\delta\alpha}\widetilde g^{(\delta\eta)}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\rho)}g_{[\eta\omega]}g_{[\rho\mu]}\\ - k_{n\bar k}k^n{}_{\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\eta\omega}\widetilde g^{(\rho\alpha)}\widetilde g^{(\eta\beta)}g_{[\mu\alpha]}g_{[\beta\rho]} + k_{\bar k}{}^b k_{b\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\mu\alpha]}\\ - k_{\bar k}{}^b k_{b\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\omega\beta]} + k^p{}_{\bar n}k_{p\bar k}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar n}{}_{\omega\mu}\Big]\\ + \xi^3\Big[k_{n\bar k}k^{nb}k_{b\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\alpha\omega}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\mu\beta]} - k_{n\bar k}k^{nb}k_{b\bar d}H^{\bar k\omega\mu}H^{\bar d}{}_{\alpha\mu}\widetilde g^{(\alpha\beta)}g_{[\omega\beta]}\Big]\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{D.18}$$

where $H^{\bar c} = H^{\bar c}{}_{\mu\nu}g^{[\mu\nu]}$, $\bar n, \bar k, \bar c, \bar d = 1,2,3,4$ in such a way that

$$e^*\omega_E = A_\mu\overline\theta^\mu + B_\mu\overline\theta^\mu = (A_\mu{}^a t_a + B_\mu y)\overline\theta^\mu \tag{D.19}$$

$(a = 1,2,3)$, $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}\,i(x_\gamma + x_{-\gamma})$, $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}\,(x_\gamma - x_{-\gamma})$, $t_3 = \frac{1}{2}\,ih_\gamma = \frac{i}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}(\gamma_1 H_1 + \gamma_2 H_2)$, $t_4 = y = \frac{1}{2}\,ih = \frac{i}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}(\gamma_1 H_2 - \gamma_2 H_1)$,

$$e^*\Omega_E = e^*\Big(\frac{1}{2}\,H^{\bar k}\theta^\mu\wedge\theta^\mu\Big) = \frac{1}{2}(F^a_{\mu\nu}t_a + B_{\mu\nu}y)\overline\theta^\mu\wedge\overline\theta^\mu, \tag{D.20}$$

$a, b, c = 7, 8, \ldots, 20$ ($\mathfrak h = G2$) in such a way that

$$c = \alpha, -\alpha, \beta, -\beta, \gamma, -\gamma, \alpha', -\alpha', \beta', -\beta', \gamma', -\gamma', 19, 20,$$

where α, β etc. correspond to 12 roots of the algebra $G2$ and to generators x_α, x_β etc., $19, 20$ correspond to the generators H_1, H_2—elements of Cartan subalgebra of $G2$.

One gets

$$\ell_{\bar n\bar d} = -\frac{1}{\gamma\cdot\gamma}\,\delta_{\bar n\bar d} + \xi\alpha^c_{\bar n}\alpha^d_{\bar d}k_{cd} \tag{D.21}$$

where

$$\alpha^c_{\bar n}x_c = t_{\bar n}, \tag{D.22}$$

$\bar n = 1,2,3$, $t_{\bar n} = t_a$, $\bar n = 4$, $t_4 = y$.

In this way we have

$$\begin{aligned}&H^{\bar k}{}_{\omega\mu} \to F^a_{\omega\mu}, \quad \bar k = 1,2,3,\\ &H^4{}_{\omega\mu} \to B_{\omega\mu}.\end{aligned} \tag{D.23}$$

In a gauge U one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&F^a{}_{\omega\mu} \to W^{au}_{\omega\mu}, \quad a = 1,2,\\ &F^3{}_{\omega\mu} \to F^{3u}{}_{\omega\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(Z^{0u}_{\omega\mu} + \sqrt{3}\,F_{\omega\mu})\\ &B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\,Z^{0u}_{\mu\nu} - F_{\mu\nu}).\end{aligned} \tag{D.24}$$

One should remember that we have to do with Hermitian version of Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory (Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory). Moreover, we consider Hypercomplex–Hermitian version which is effectively equivalent to a real version of a theory.

Appendix E

In this appendix we consider our δ-deviation from $\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$ in a deeper level. It means we consider a Δr theory up to the second order known in the literature (see Ref. [56] and references therein, see also [63], [64]). We have

$$\Delta r = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M^2_{Z^0})}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{C^2_W}{S^2_W}\,\Delta\rho\Big) + \Delta r_{\rm rem},$$
$$C^2_W = \cos^2\theta_W, \quad S^2_W = \sin^2\theta_W, \tag{E.1}$$

where

$$\Delta\rho = 3x_t(1 + x_t\rho^{(2)}(z) + \delta\rho_{QCD}) \tag{E.2}$$
$$x_t = \frac{G_F m_t^2}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}} \tag{E.3}$$
$$\delta\rho_{QCD} = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi}\,c_1 + \Big(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi}\Big)^2 c_2(\mu) \tag{E.4}$$
$$c_1 = \frac{2}{3}\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\Big) \tag{E.5}$$
$$c_2 = -14.59 \tag{E.6}$$
$$\rho^{(2)}(z) = \frac{49}{4} + \pi^2 + \frac{27}{2}\log z + \frac{3}{2}\log^2 z + \frac{z}{3}(2 - 12\pi^2 + 12\log z - 27\log^2 z)$$
$$+ \frac{z^2}{48}(1613 - 240\pi^2 - 1500\log z - 720\log^2 z) \tag{E.7}$$
$$z = \frac{m_t^2}{m_H^2} \tag{E.8}$$
$$\Delta r_{\rm rem} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,G_F M_W^2}{16\pi^2}\cdot\frac{11}{3}\Big(\ln\Big(\frac{M_H^2}{M_W^2}\Big) - \frac{5}{6}\Big). \tag{E.9}$$

From the equation

$$\sin^2\theta_W = \sin^2(\tfrac{\pi}{6} + \delta) = 4(1 - \Delta r) \tag{E.10}$$

one gets

$$\delta = -\frac{\sqrt{3}(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M^2_{Z^0})} - 3\Delta\rho(1 + \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M^2_{Z^0})}) + \Delta r_{\rm rem})}{6(1 - 4\Delta\rho(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}(0)}{\alpha_{\rm em}(M^2_{Z^0})}))}. \tag{E.11}$$

Taking

$$\alpha_s(M^2_{Z^0}) = 0.1185 \tag{E.12}$$
$$G_F = G_\mu = 1.66378\times 10^{-5}\,({\rm GeV})^{-2} \tag{E.13}$$
$$m_t = 173.21\,{\rm GeV} \tag{E.14}$$
$$M_H = 125.7\,{\rm GeV} \tag{E.15}$$
$$M_W = 80.385\,{\rm GeV} \tag{E.16}$$
$$M_{Z^0} = 91.18\,{\rm GeV} \tag{E.17}$$

$$\alpha_{\rm em}(0) \simeq \alpha_{\rm em}(m_e^2) = \frac{1}{137.035} \tag{E.18}$$

$$\alpha_{\rm em}(M_{Z^0}^2) \simeq \alpha(M_W^2) = \frac{1}{128} \tag{E.19}$$

$$\Delta\rho = -0.000044702565 \tag{E.20}$$

and eventually

$$\delta = -0.01652297 \tag{E.21}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \delta = -56'48.108'' \tag{E.22}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_W = \sin^2\left(\tfrac{\pi}{6} + \delta\right) = 0.23583 \tag{E.23}$$

$$\text{and} \quad M_W = 79.7067 \tag{E.24}$$

which is almost correct value of a mass of $W^{\pm}$ bosons,

$$\theta_W = 29^\circ 3' 11.898''. \tag{E.25}$$

It seems that this is self-consistent.

Conclusions and prospects for further research

In the paper we consider a color confinement in the nonsymmetric non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein Theory. We derive a condition for a dielectric confinement in the theory. We remind to the reader some notions of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory and a new version of the Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation in this theory. We solve constraints in Nonsymmetric (Non-Abelian) Kaluza–Klein Theory and also constraints in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism.

In our geometrical unification we consider all interactions unified by one connection defined on many dimensional manifold (see Refs [1, 65]). We consider also spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory in a general scheme applicable in a general version for a unification of the nonsymmetric gravity (NGT) with a grand unified model of gauge field interactions in a bosonic sector. We combine in this case a dimensional reduction model with Kaluza–Klein Theory. In this approach Higgs' field is a part of a Yang–Mills' field on an extended space-time with a symmetry. A base manifold $V = E \times M$, where $M = G/G_0$ is a vacuum state manifold (classical vacuum). This approach has been suggested for the first time in Ref. [66]. We derive a generalization of the Kerner–Wong–Kopczyński equation for a case with a Higgs' field presence.

Due to a geometrical origin of these equations we get a new kind of a "charge" which couples to Higgs' field as an electric charge couples to an electromagnetic field in a Lorentz force term. This charge is a generalization of a color (isotopic) charge which couples to Yang–Mills' field.

We consider also a Manton model of electro-weak interactions in the framework of Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory. In this way we unify electromagnetic and weak interaction (a bosonic sector) with a nonsymmetric gravity (NGT). (This is a 6-dimensional Manton model with $G2$ group.) We get a possibility to obtain a realistic mass spectrum for $W^{\pm}$, Z_0 bosons and a recently discovered Higgs' boson. Our unification is justified by the fact that a small correction to

$\theta_W = \frac{\pi}{6}$ (Weinberg angle) obtained in the theory can be got by renormalization procedure known in the literature (Δr theory).

Let us give the following remark. The classical 5-dimensional Kaluza–Klein Theory (formulated as a metrized electromagnetic fiber bundle) gives the exact results of Maxwell electrodynamics with a Lorentz force term and Einstein General Relativity, on a unified geometrical basis. This theory can be considered as a quintessence of classical physics, even it does not give any "interference effects" between gravity and electromagnetic theory. Our 20-dimensional unification of Hermitian gravity and GSW model (a bosonic part) in a framework of Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking can be treated as a prequantum geometrical unification of gravity and electro-weak interactions. Our 12-dimensional unification of Hermitian gravity and Nonabelian Yang–Mills' field for $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ into Hermitian Nonabelian Kaluza–Klein Theory can be treated as prequantum geometrical unification of gravity and strong interactions (a bosonic part of QCD). Both unifications give "interference effects" between gravity, electro-weak interactions and strong interactions.

There are some further prospects for a research. First of all it is necessary to incorporate fermions in the theory.

The beautiful theories as Kaluza–Klein theory (a Kaluza miracle) and its descendents should pass the following test if they are treated as real unified theories. They should incorporate chiral fermions. Since the fundamental scale in the theory is a Planck's mass, fermions should be massless up to the moment of spontaneous symmetry breaking. Thus they should be zero modes. In our approach they can obtain masses on a dimensional reduction scale. Thus they are zero modes in $(4 + n_1)$-dimensional case. In this way $(n_1 + 4)$-dimensional fermions are not chiral (according to the very well known Witten's argument on an index of a Dirac operator). Moreover, they are not zero modes after a dimensional reduction, i.e. in 4-dimensional case. It means we can get chiral fermions under some assumptions.

We should look for some possibilities of Grand Unified Models (see Ref. [67]). First of all we should look for a group G such that

$$\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \subset G.$$

There are a lot of possibilities. One of most promising is $G = \mathrm{SO}(10)$. Moreover, we need also a group G_0 such that $M = G/G_0$ (see Refs [42, 43]). In our world $G_0 = \mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$. The group H, $G = \mathrm{SO}(10)$ and $G_0 = \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}} \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ should be such that

$$\mathrm{SO}(10) \otimes (\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}) \subset H.$$

The simplest choice is $H = \mathrm{SO}(16)$. Why? First of all $G2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(16)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(10) \otimes \mathrm{SO}(6) \subset \mathrm{SO}(16)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{SO}(6) \simeq \mathrm{SU}(4)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{SU}(4)$. Thus if we identify $\mathrm{U}(1)$ with $U(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ with $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ we get what we want. In this way

$$M = \mathrm{SO}(10)/\mathrm{SU}(3) \otimes \mathrm{U}(1),$$

$S^2 \subset M$, $\dim \mathrm{SO}(16) = 120$, $\dim \mathrm{SO}(10) = 45$, $n_1 = \dim M = 36$. There is also a possibility to consider a different possibility

$$M' = \mathrm{SO}(10)/\mathrm{SU}(3)$$

and $\mathrm{SO}(10) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(3) \subset H$ for a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ is an Abelian factor, which is a little in a spirit of the Manton approach for GSW model (see Ref. [43]). Coming back to the problem of fermions we

can try to couple multidimensional spinors in a minimal coupling scheme to multidimensional connections describing a unified field theory. In this way we can get chiral fermions coupled to gravity, Yang–Mills' and Higgs' fields.

Thus a Yukawa mechanism is possible in our approach. The Yukawa sector in the theory can be obtained due to a minimal coupling to a total covariant derivative ("gauge" and with respect to a Levi-Civita connection generated by a symmetric part on a multidimensional metric on a total $(4+n+n_1)$-dimensional manifold at one) to many-dimensional spinor in 2^N-dimensional space, where $N = \mathrm{Ent}(\frac{4+n+n_1}{2})$. In this way we can write a Lagrangian of the spinor field in the form $\frac{1}{2}i\hbar c(\overline{\Psi}\Gamma^M \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_M \Psi + \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_M \overline{\Psi}\Gamma^M \Psi)$, where $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_M$ is a derivative mentioned above. Γ^M are 2^N-dimensional generalization of Dirac matrices and $\overline{\Psi} = \Gamma^4\Psi^+$. Due to a dimensional reduction procedure, taking only zero-modes for 2^N-dimensional spinor we can get 4-dimensional spinors defined on a space-time E. We can try to get chiral spinors (a Witten argument of an index of a Dirac operator does not work on a 4-dimensional space) and also to arrange many-dimensional (2^N-dimensional) spinor as a collection of 4-dimensional spinors to get fermions (known from an experiment). Due to a coupling to many-dimensional Yang–Mills' field (after a dimensional reduction decomposed into 4-dimensional Yang–Mills' field and a multiple of scalar (four-dimensional) fields—Higgs' fields) we get a Yukawa-type terms for 4-dimensional spinors. Thus due to a Higgs' mechanism (geometrized in our theory) we get a pattern of masses for 4-dimensional fermions. The scale of mass for such fermions is given by a parameter r—a radius of a manifold $M = G/G_0$. Very heavy fermions with masses of order of a Planck's mass are removed from the theory by conditions of zero-mode for Ψ. The bare masses obtained here can interact according to the Newton law.

Generalized Dirac matrices are defined by the relations

$$\{\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB} \quad \text{or} \quad \{\Gamma^{\tilde{A}}, \Gamma^{\tilde{B}}\} = 2\eta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$$

where

$$\eta^{AB} = \mathrm{diag}\{-1,-1,-1,1,\underbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}_{n}\}$$

$$\eta^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \mathrm{diag}\{-1,-1,-1,1,\underbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}_{n_1},\underbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}_{n}\}.$$

For $(n+4)$ or $(n+n_1+4)$ equal to $2l+2$ (the even case) we define

$$\Gamma^{4\pm} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\pm\Gamma^4 + \Gamma^1),$$
$$\Gamma^{\bar{A}\pm} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{2\bar{A}} \pm i\Gamma^{2\bar{A}+1}), \quad \overline{A} = 1,\ldots,l.$$

It is easy to show

$$\{\Gamma^{\bar{A}\pm}, \Gamma^{\bar{B}\pm}\} = -\delta^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}$$
$$\{\Gamma^{\bar{A}+}, \Gamma^{\bar{B}+}\} = \{\Gamma^{\bar{A}-}, \Gamma^{\bar{B}-}\} = 0.$$

In particular

$$(\Gamma^{\bar{A}+})^2 = (\Gamma^{\bar{A}-})^2 = 0.$$

In this way we always have a spinor Ψ_0 such that

$$\Gamma^{\bar{A}-}\Psi_0 = 0$$

for all $\overline{A}$. We get all possible spinors acting on Ψ_0 by $\Gamma^{\bar{A}+}$. We get 2^{l+1} such spinors (a full representation). Γ^A or $\Gamma^{\tilde{A}}$ can be derived in such a base by using iterative method.

In the case of $2l+3$ (an odd case) we should have

$$\Gamma^{2l+3} = i^{-(l+1)}\Gamma^1 \dots \Gamma^{2l+2}$$

such that

$$(\Gamma^{2l+3})^2 = -1, \quad \{\Gamma^{2l+3}, \Gamma^{\bar{\bar{A}}}\} = 0, \quad \overline{\overline{A}} = 1, \dots, 2l+2.$$

It is easy to define a basis of spinors for both cases. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_l)$, $\zeta_{\bar{A}} = \pm\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\Psi_\zeta = \Big(\prod_{\bar{A}=0}^{l} (\Gamma^{(l+\bar{A})})^{\zeta_{(l+\bar{A})}+1/2}\Big)\Psi_0.$$

Γ^{2l+3} in the even case distinguishes between two classes of spinors

$$\Gamma^{2l+3}\Psi_\zeta = +\Psi_\zeta \quad (2^l\text{-dimension—first representation})$$
$$\Gamma^{2l+3}\Psi_\zeta = -\Psi_\zeta \quad (2^l\text{-dimension—second representation})$$

In the odd case we have only one representation of 2^{l+1}-dimension.

We can introduce also generators of $\mathrm{SO}(1,3+n)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(1,3+n_1+n)$ algebra

$$\widehat{\sigma}^{AB} \quad \text{or} \quad \widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$$
$$\widehat{\sigma}^{AB} = \frac{i}{4}[\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B]$$
$$\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = \frac{i}{4}[\Gamma^{\tilde{A}}, \Gamma^{\tilde{B}}].$$

We have of course

$$[\widehat{\sigma}^{MN}, \widehat{\sigma}^{RS}] = -i[\eta^{NS}\widehat{\sigma}^{MR} + \eta^{RN}\widehat{\sigma}^{SM} + \eta^{MR}\widehat{\sigma}^{NS} + \eta^{SM}\widehat{\sigma}^{RS}]$$
$$[\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}, \widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{R}\tilde{S}}] = -i[\eta^{\tilde{N}\tilde{S}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{M}\tilde{R}} + \eta^{\tilde{R}\tilde{N}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{S}\tilde{M}} + \eta^{\tilde{M}\tilde{R}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{N}\tilde{S}} + \eta^{\tilde{S}\tilde{M}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{R}\tilde{S}}].$$

Our spinors transform as

$$\Psi \to \exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_{AB}\widehat{\sigma}^{AB})\Psi$$
$$\text{or} \quad \Psi \to \exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}})\Psi$$
$$\alpha_{AB} = -\alpha_{BA}$$
$$\alpha_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} = -\alpha_{\tilde{B}\tilde{A}}.$$

We also have

$$(\widehat{\sigma}^{AB})^+\Gamma^4 = \Gamma^4\widehat{\sigma}^{AB}$$
$$(\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}})^+\Gamma^4 = \Gamma^4\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}.$$

In our particular cases with or without spontaneous symmetry breaking we get our matrices using ordinary Dirac matrices and their tensor products with some special matrices. One gets for covariant derivatives

$$\widetilde{D}\Psi = d\Psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}_{AB}\widehat{\sigma}^{AB}\Psi$$
$$\widetilde{D}\Psi = d\Psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\Psi.$$

Moreover, we use as before (see Ref. [9])

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \Psi = \operatorname{hor}\widetilde{D}\Psi = \overset{\text{gauge}}{d}\ \Psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{hor}(\widetilde{\omega}_{AB})\widehat{\sigma}^{AB}\Psi$$
$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \Psi = \operatorname{hor}\widetilde{D}\Psi = \overset{\text{gauge}}{d}\ \Psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{hor}(\widetilde{\omega}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}})\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\Psi$$

and also

$$\widetilde{D}\overline{\Psi} = d\overline{\Psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}_{AB}\overline{\Psi}\widehat{\sigma}^{AB}$$
$$\text{or}\quad \widetilde{D}\overline{\Psi} = d\overline{\Psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}\overline{\Psi}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$$

where

$$\overline{\Psi} = \Psi^{+}\Gamma^{4}$$

and similarly

$$\overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \overline{\Psi} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{d}\ \overline{\Psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{hor}(\widetilde{\omega}_{AB})\overline{\Psi}\widehat{\sigma}^{AB}$$
$$\text{or}\quad \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \overline{\Psi} = \overset{\text{gauge}}{d}\ \overline{\Psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{hor}(\widetilde{\omega}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}})\overline{\Psi}\widehat{\sigma}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}.$$

$\widetilde{\omega}_{AB}$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$ are Levi-Civita connections defined on P with respect to a symmetric part of metrics $\gamma_{(AB)}$ and $\gamma_{(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})}$.

How does an iterative method for a construction of Γ matrices work? Let us suppose we have ordinary Dirac matrices γ^{μ} and let us define

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad \mu = 1,2,3,4,$$
$$\Gamma^{5} = I_4\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Gamma^{6} = I_4\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0 & -i\\ i & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad I_4 \text{ an identity matrix, } 4\times 4.$$

Next step

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{A} = \Gamma^{A}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad A = 1,2,3,4,5,6,$$
$$\overline{\Gamma}^{7} = I_6\otimes I_6\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\overline{\Gamma}^{8} = I_6\otimes I_6\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0 & -i\\ i & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad I_6 \text{ an identity matrix, } 6\times 6.$$

The Lagrangian for out spinor field (multidimensional) looks like

$$\mathcal{L}(\Psi,\overline{\Psi},\ \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ ) = i\,\frac{\hbar c}{2}\,(\overline{\Psi}\ell\wedge\ \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \Psi + \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}\ \overline{\Psi}\wedge\ell\Psi)$$

where

$$\ell = \Gamma^{\mu}\overline{\eta}_{\mu}$$

and $\overline{\eta}_{\mu}$ is a dual Cartan basis on E.

We also write new type of covariant derivative $\overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{D}}$ as $\mathcal{D}\Psi$ and $\mathcal{D}\overline{\Psi}$ (see Ref. [9]).

The interesting problem is to find exact solutions of field equations in the case of GSW-model of Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory with spontaneous symmetry breaking and also for the Nonsymmetric Nonabelian (real or Hermitian) Kaluza–Klein Theory with $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$. We expect some nonsingular, particle-like stationary solutions in the case of spherical symmetry. Axially symmetric stationary solutions in both cases seem to be very interesting from more general point of view and we will seek for them. These solutions can be considered with and without fermion sources. We also look for some wave-like solutions: a non-Abelian plane wave, spherical and cylindrical waves. The waves can be considered as gravito-Yang–Mills' waves.

Let us give some comments. There are two versions of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory: real and Hermitian. Both versions work very well in the case of 5-dimensional (electromagnetic) and in the case of Non-Abelian Yang–Mills' field. We get charge and color confinement and nonsingular solutions. However, if we want to apply the theory for GSW model (a bosonic part of this model), only Hermitian version works getting pattern of masses of Z^0 and $W^{\pm}$ bosons and Higgs' boson agreed with an experiment. It seems that an experiment chooses the Hermitian version. In this way an idea of deriving a unified field theory from higher-dimensional gravity is maintained, together with much of the appealing simplicity and unity of the theory.

Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory seems to be closer to quantum theory even it is a classical field theory. According to A. Einstein Hermitian version of Unified Field Theory would be prequantum gravity.

Let us express E_c and H^e in terms of D^n and B_d in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory (electromagnetic case):

$$E_c = \Delta^r_c \cdot \overline{L}_{rn} D^n + \Delta^r_c \overline{K}_{re} \overline{C}^{ed} B_d$$

where $\overline{L}_{rn}, \overline{K}_{re}$ and $\overline{C}^{ed}$ are given resp. by Eqs (2.123), (2.122), and by Eq. (1.73) of Ref. [9] and Δ^r_c is an inverse tensor of $\delta^c_r - \overline{K}_{re}\overline{A}^{ec}$, i.e.

$$(\delta^c_r - \overline{K}_{re}\overline{A}^{ec})\Delta^r_d = \delta^c_d$$

where $\overline{A}^{ec}$ is given by Eq. (1.70) of Ref. [9] and

$$\det(\delta^c_r - \overline{K}_{re}\overline{A}^{ec}) \neq 0$$
$$H^e = \Xi^e_a \overline{A}^{ac} \overline{L}_{cn} D^n + \Xi^e_a \overline{C}^{ad} B_d$$

and Ξ^e_a is an inverse tensor of $\delta^a_e - \overline{A}^{ec}\overline{K}_{ce}$, i.e.

$$(\delta^a_e - \overline{A}^{ec}\overline{K}_{ce})\Xi^e_f = \delta^a_f, \quad \det(\delta^a_e - \overline{A}^{ec}\overline{K}_{ce}) \neq 0.$$

In the case of Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory we define vectors

$$\mathcal{F}_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D_c + iB_c)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_c + iH_c).$$

One gets

$$\mathcal{G}_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[(\Delta^r_c \overline{L}_r{}^n + i\Xi_{ca}\overline{A}^{af}\overline{L}_f{}^n)D_n + (\Delta^r_c \overline{K}_{re}\overline{C}^{ed} + i\Xi_{ca}\overline{C}^{ad})B_d].$$

We remind to the reader that Latin indices (3-dimensional space indices) are keeping in up or down position only for convenience. Thus we exchange

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi^c_{\ a} &\to \Xi_{ca}\\ D^n &\to D_n\\ \overline{L}_{fn} &\to \overline{L}_f^{\ n}\\ \overline{L}_{rn} &\to \overline{L}_r^{\ n}\\ H^c &\to H_c.\end{aligned}$$

In this way we describe the Riemann–Silberstein vector in the Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory in an electromagnetic case (see Refs [68], [69], [70], [71]) $\mathcal{F}_c$ and the second vector $\mathcal{G}_c$.

For a vector $\mathcal{F}_c$ is considered as a wave function of a photon we are closer to the quantum theory. This really is a prequantum theory.

We can do the same in the case of Yang–Mills' field getting

$$\mathcal{F}^e_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^e_{\bar{c}} + iB^e_{\bar{c}})$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}^e_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E^e_{\bar{c}} + iH^e_{\bar{c}}),$$

which can be calculated in the Hermitian-Nonabelian Kaluza–Klein Theory using formulas (2.45)–(2.50) and (2.111)–(2.112).

How to quantize the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory? First of all we can quantize it using Ashtekar–Lewandowski formalism considering it as GR with additional sources, i.e. $g_{[\mu\nu]}$, gauge fields, Higgs' fields (see Ref. [32]). This will be done elsewhere. The second approach is to consider our theory also as GR with additional geometrized sources (see Appendix E of Ref. [9]) and develop it into a nonlocal theory. There are several approaches of quantization of nonlocal theories (see Refs [72], [73]). In this case we can avoid infinities appearing in perturbation calculus, getting a theory which is renormalizable, super-renormalizable and even finite.

Nonlocal theories, roughly speaking, are equivalent to theories with higher derivatives up to an infinite order. An integral transformation is equivalent to a differential operator of an infinite order.

Moreover, introducing nonlocality or a differential operator of an infinite order can be considered as a special type of a regularization procedure to remove infinities from Feynman diagrams calculations in perturbation calculus. It is possible to consider such a procedure as a generalized (covariant and gauge invariant) Pauli–Villars regularization procedure. Simultaneously we can quantize the theory (as an ordinary field theory) using Faddeev–Popov prescription in path-integral formalism for gravity and Yang–Mills' field. A divergence of a one loop in the case of gravity can be removed using dimensional regularization-renormalization procedure. In order to avoid massive ghosts we should carefully design higher derivative corrections to gravity, Yang–Mills's fields, Higgs' fields using differential operator of infinite order. According to Ref. [74, 75] we should add

$$\ell_{ab}L^{a\mu\nu}h_1\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)H^b_{\ \mu\nu}$$

where Δ is a Laplace operator, a gauge covariant and a covariant with respect to a Levi-Civita connection generated by $g_{(\alpha\beta)}$, Λ is a scale:

$$\Delta = \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_{\alpha} \overset{\text{gauge}}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_{\beta} .$$

h_1 is an entire function (non-polynomial) which should be carefully chosen.

We should also add

$$h_{ab}H^a h_2\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)H^b$$

$$\overline{R}(\overline{W})h_3\Big(-\frac{\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2}\Big)\overline{R}(W)$$

$$\overline{R}_{\mu\nu}(\overline{W})h_4\Big(-\frac{\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2}\Big)\overline{R}^{\mu\nu}(\overline{W})$$

$$\nabla^2 = \widetilde{g}^{(\alpha\beta)}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\alpha}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\beta}.$$

$\overline{R}(\overline{W})$ and $\overline{R}_{\mu\nu}(\overline{W})$ should be expressed by $\widetilde{\overline{R}}$, $\widetilde{\overline{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ and additional fields, i.e. $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ and $\overline{W}_{\mu}$.

In the case where we have to do with Higgs' fields and spontaneous symmetry breaking we add also the terms

$$\frac{1}{V_2}\int_M \sqrt{|\widetilde{g}|}\, d^m x\Big(\ell_{ab}\tilde{g}^{\tilde{b}\tilde{n}}L^{a\mu}{}_b h_5\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)\overset{\text{gauge}}{\nabla}_{\mu}\Phi^b_{\tilde{n}}\Big)$$

$$\text{and}\quad h_{ab}\mu^a{}_{\hat{i}}\widetilde{H}^i h_6\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)g^{[\tilde{a}\tilde{b}]}(C^b{}_{cd}\Phi^c_{\tilde{a}}\Phi^d_{\tilde{b}} - \mu^b{}_{\hat{i}}f^{\hat{i}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} - \Phi^b{}_{\tilde{d}}f^{\tilde{d}}{}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}})$$

where h_3, h_4, h_5 and h_6 are entire transcendental functions of a complex variable.

The problem which arises now is as follows: Is it possible to choose $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6$ in such a way that no physical poles are introduced while the theory will be (super-) renormalizable and unitary. It seems that such entire transcendental functions can be defined (see also Refs [76, 77]). This will be examined elsewhere.

Let us consider the following Lagrangian in the theory

$$L = \overline{R}(W) + \overline{R}(\overline{W})h_3\Big(-\frac{\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2}\Big)\overline{R}(\overline{W}) + \overline{R}_{\mu\nu}(\overline{W})h_4\Big(-\frac{\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2}\Big)\overline{R}^{\mu\nu}(\overline{W})$$
$$+ \ell_{ab}L^{a\mu\nu}h_1\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)H^b{}_{\mu\nu} + h_{ab}H^a h_2\Big(-\frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2}\Big)H^b + \overline{R}(\overline{\Gamma}),$$

i.e. a Lagrangian (2.67) plus higher order in derivatives terms (this Lagrangian can be extended to the case with Higgs' fields).

Let us apply a path-integral method to quantize gravitational and Yang–Mills' field. We write rather formally (see Ref. [78])

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int e^{iS[A,g,\bar{W}]}\mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}g\mathcal{D}\overline{W},$$

where S is a classical action

$$\mathcal{D}A = \prod_{\mu}\mathcal{D}A_{\mu} = \prod_{x,\mu} dA_{\mu}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{D}g = \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ \alpha\le\beta}} Dg_{(\alpha\beta)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ \alpha<\beta}} Dg_{[\alpha\beta]} = \prod_{\substack{x,\alpha,\beta\\ \alpha\le\beta}} dg_{(\alpha\beta)}(x) \prod_{\substack{x,\alpha,\beta\\ \alpha<\beta}} dg_{[\alpha\beta]}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{D}\overline{W} = \prod_{\mu} \mathcal{D}\overline{W}_{\mu} = \prod_{x,\mu} d\overline{W}_{\mu}(x)$$

mean functional (nonexiting) measure for gauge field and gravity.

According to Ref. [74] we add gauge-fixing terms

$$L_g = -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2\beta_1}\, f_\nu[g] W_{\mathrm{g}}\Big(-\frac{\Box}{\Lambda^2}\Big) f_\mu[g] - \frac{1}{2\beta_2}\, f_a[A] W_{\mathrm{YM}}\Big(-\frac{\Box}{\Lambda^2}\Big) h^{ab} f_b[A] - \frac{1}{2\beta_3}\, h[\overline{W}]\widetilde{W}\Big(-\frac{\Box}{\Lambda^2}\Big) h[\overline{W}],$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are constants and $\Box$ is an ordinary d'Alembert operator in a Minkowski space. $f^\mu[g] = f^\mu[g_{\alpha\beta}]$ is a gauge fixing function for a gravitational field, $f_a[A]$ for a gauge field, W_{g} is a gravity gauge-fixing weighting function, W_{YM} is a gauge-term weight for a Yang–Mills' field. We add also a gauge-fixing function for $\overline{W}_\mu$ field with its weight $\widetilde{w}$.

We can also add gauge-fixing terms for Higgs' fields. Sometimes it is possible to consider a gauge condition which involves gauge and Higgs' fields together. In this way we can get also additional Faddeev–Popov ghosts. But this does not threaten us. These ghosts are easily exorcized. The most important problems in this theory are possible massive ghosts which could appear if functions h_i are not properly chosen.

The FP (Faddeev–Popov) ghosts are not dangerous as we mention above from quantum field theory point of view. They are also exorcized from geometrical point of view, i.e. they can be geometrized (see Ref. [79]). According to Refs [79, 80] a gauge field (in specific fixed gauge, i.e. in a section of a principal bundle) plus a ghost field is a globally defined connection on the principal bundle (see also Eq. (2.56)). The anticommuting property of a ghost field can be easily derived and a nilpotent BRST charge obtained as a differential operator. In order to proceed a functional integration we apply a well-known Faddeev–Popov trick in order to do an integration over those configurations which satisfy a gauge fixing conditions. In this approach ghosts fields appear in the Lagrangian. We have two kinds of ghosts—gauge field ghosts and gravity field ghosts. Thus we have a ghost field Lagrangian

$$L_{gh} = \overline{c}_a M_{ab} c_b + \overline{c}^\mu N_{\mu\nu} C^\nu + \overline{c} M c$$

coming from an exponentiation of a Faddeev–Popov determinant (an infinite analogue of a "Jacobian") such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ab} c_b &= \delta_c f_a[A, x] \quad \text{(scalars)} \\ N_{\mu\nu} c_\mu &= \delta_\alpha f_v[g, x] \quad \text{(vector)} \\ M c &= \delta h[\overline{W}, x] \quad \text{(scalar)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_c f_a$ is the infinitesimal transformation of f_a with gauge parameters c_b, $\delta_\alpha f_v$ is the infinitesimal transformation of f_v with a changing of a frame with parameter c_μ and δh is an analogue of a gauge changing of $\overline{W}_\nu$. They do not depend on weighting functions. The Faddeev–Popov ghosts are ghost fields in this sense that they do not have a right statistics. In order to get ghost Lagrangian we should integrate using anticommuting fields. In this way, they are anticommuting bosons. Thus one gets

$$Z = \int e^{iS[A,g,\bar{W},c_a,c_\mu,c]}\, DF\, Dh = V \cdot \int e^{iS[A,g,\bar{W},c_a,c_\mu,c]}\, DF$$

where

$$DF = \prod_x dA^{\rm fix}(x) \prod_x dg^{\rm fix}(x) \prod_x d\overline{W}^{\rm fix}(x) \prod_x (dc_a(x)\, d\overline{c}_a(x)) \prod_x (dc_\mu(x)\, d\overline{c}_\mu(x)) \prod_x (dc(x)\, d\overline{c}(x))$$

$$Dh = \prod_x dh(x) \quad \text{(integration over a gauge group)},$$

$\overline{c}_a, \overline{c}_\mu, \overline{c}$ mean antighost fields. V is an "infinite volume" of a local gauge group, $A^{\rm fix}(x)$ means that the gauge has been fixed. The same for $g^{\rm fix}(x)$ and $\overline{W}^{\rm fix}(x)$. (In a more geometrical language we say that an integration is over an orbits space of a local gauge group.)

$$S[A, g, \overline{W}, c_a, c_\mu, c] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}(L + L_g + L_{gh}).$$

The above formulae are starting points for a path-integral quantization of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory after a careful choice of entire functions h_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We hope to find them to get (super-) renormalizable or even finite theory unifying nonsymmetric gravity and other fundamental interactions (in a bosonic section) with "interference effects" obtained on a level of a classical field theory. After an inclusion of fermion fields this program accomplishes the Einstein idea of a Unified Field Theory of all interactions, which is geometrical (geometrization of physical interactions), nonlinear (nonlinear field equations) and also non-local. This nonlocality should of course be causal and this depends on functions h_i. Such functions are entire transcendental functions. They are not polynomials, i.e.

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = 0.$$

It means they are defined on a whole open complex plane and according to Liouville theorem they have a pole or an essential singularity at infinity.

The construction of such functions can be done according to Refs [74, 75, 76, 77]. In any cases we can write ($\widetilde{g}$ is a coupling constant)

$$h(z) = 1 + \widetilde{g}^2 \exp\Big(\int_0^{p_\gamma(z)} \frac{1 - \zeta(w)}{w}\, dw - 1\Big)$$

where $p_\gamma(z)$ is a real polynomial of degree γ and $p_\gamma(0) = 0$, $\zeta(z)$ is an entire function and real on the real axis and $\zeta(0) = 1$,

$$|\zeta(z)| \to \infty \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \to \infty,\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

There are several propositions for such functions in some applications for GR and Yang–Mills' fields. We can perform a perturbation calculus using Feynman diagrams for S-matrix which is unitary. The full program will be developed elsewhere.

We should look for Kähler structure on $M = G/G_0$ (on a homogeneous space) and also on compact Lie groups G and H in order to get a more sound mathematical constructions.

Let us notice that all the conclusions from Appendix D of Ref. [9] can be applied also here.

The important problem in this theory is a problem of ghosts and tachions. This problem should be solved on the level of a classical field theory before a quantization, on a prequantum level. The problem is connected with the existence of the skew-symmetric tensor $g_{[\mu\nu]}$—a skewon.

This particle in a linear approximation is massive getting mass term from a cosmological constant which appears in the theory. According to modern ideas a cosmological constant is not zero and we are (in principle) able to tune our cosmological term to the observation data. In this way we can predict a value of a mass of a skewon. Skewon has a spin zero and has a positive energy in the case of a pure real or hypercomplex Hermitian Theory (see Refs [81], [82], [83], [84]).

Thus our 20-dimensional unification should be considered in the case of hypercomplex Hermitian gravity with Kählerian structure on S^2 combined to Hermitian (complex or hypercomplex) Kaluza–Klein Theory.

Our 12-dimensional unification should be considered in the case of hypercomplex Hermitian gravity combined to Hermitian (complex or hypercomplex) Kaluza–Klein Theory.

Our 5-dimensional unification from Ref. [9] should be considered in the case of hypercomplex Hermitian gravity combined to Hermitian (complex or hypercomplex) Kaluza–Klein Theory.

We do not exhaust a possible research in this direction. First of all we can consider a Quaternionic (or Split-Quaternion) Hermitian gravity (if we find some applications of additional degrees of freedom) to extend to Hermitian (quaternionic or split-quaternion) Hermitian Kaluza–Klein Theory. The second direction is to consider in place of a Cartesian product $V = E \times M = E \times G/G_0$ or $V = E \times S^2$ a nontrivial principal fiber bundle over E with a fiber $M = G/G_0$ or S^2. Moreover, we need application of additional degrees of freedom, i.e. a connection on the bundle.

In Ref. [85] the authors write in a very pessimistic way. We quote: "*Unfortunately, although our understanding of gauge theories has continued to develop, we have made very little progress in understanding the origin of spontaneous symmetry breakdown. For the most part, the Higgs' mechanism continues to be described by the ad hoc introduction into the Lagrangian of elementary, weakly self-coupled scalar fields. In the minimal model, a complex $SU(2)$ doublet is used, providing three Goldstone bosons (longitudinal W and Z bosons) and one physical massive scalar.*"

According to our research this pessimistic view of Higgs' sector is not longer true. Higgs' fields are part of gauge fields (dimensional reduction procedure). A full bosonic sector of GSW model can be incorporated as a part of the Hermitian (Nonsymmetric) Kaluza–Klein Theory getting masses of W and Z bosons, Higgs' boson and Weinberg angle agreed with an experiment. All mentioned particles have been discovered and some additional phenomena can be predicted due to the existence of antisymmetric tensors in the theory.

Let us give some historical remarks. The dimensional reduction and invariant connections which lead to the interpretation of the Higgs-like scalar multiplets as a part of Yang–Mills' field in higher dimensional bundle over a quotient space has been also introduced in Refs [86, 87, 88]. Moreover, in our approach we follow Refs [40]–[48]. They are by any means published earlier. Let us notice the following fact. In 1977 A. Trautman communicated to me that K. A. Olive found a possibility to get a kinetic term for a scalar field from the fifth dimension which was similar to my observation.

The idea to interpret the non-Abelian gauge field as a torsion appeared in Refs [89, 90]. The quartic potential of generalized Higgs' field can be obtained in the framework of non-commutative geometry, see Refs [91, 92]. Actually we do not follow this approach.

We mention above on our plans to consider chiral fermions in our approach. Probably we use some ideas from Ref. [93].

In Refs [94, 95] an idea was considered to use supergroups in order to unify physical interactions. In this way a nonsymmetric tensor on a supergroup appears naturally as a part of generalized Killing–Cartan tensor connected anticommuting generators. We mention this possibility

in Ref. [1]. Moreover, in Refs [94, 95] this idea is not connected to any nonsymmetric geometry as in Ref. [1]. Let us notice the idea to geometrized BRST symmetry has been developed in Ref. [96]. Let us notice that in Refs [94, 95] supergroups are considered as global symmetries. Our suggestions from Ref. [1] considered a supergroup as a local symmetry combined via Einstein geometry with nonsymmetric gravity or even supergravity. This idea can be considered as future prospects for further research. Let us notice that we give some historical remarks on Einstein Unified Field Theory in the last section of Ref. [9]. It is interesting that A. Einstein in Ref. [17] came back to his first ideas in Unified Field Theory (from 1920–30) and we develop them further in a new context. Let us mention on Ref. [97] where A. Crumeyrolle developed a program of geometrization and unification using a manifold with hypercomplex coordinates close to our prospects with quaternionic metric.

Finally, let us give some remarks. We do not consider in our (even do not touch) approaches in which weak interactions and gravity are cross-correlated. In particular, the possibility to see gravitational interactions as emerging from the long-range behavior of the Higgs' field (see Ref. [98]), the possibility that gravitation morphs into weak interactions at the Fermi scale (see Ref. [99]) and the relationship between weak interactions chirality and gravity (see Refs [100, 101]). All mentioned approaches are very interesting in principle. However, they have not any application in our approach—geometrization and unification of fundamental interactions. Only in Ref. [99] we see some possibility to extend it to an Einstein–Cartan-like theory in order to get current-current interaction known in an old weak interaction theory. However, this approach even interesting from conceptual point of view cannot be maintained because we have now GSW-model employing Yang–Mills' and Higgs' fields. The relationship between weak interactions chirality and gravity (see Refs [100, 101]) is not applicable in our Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory because we can get chiral fermions in a completely different setting (mentioned above).

We should look for a flavor-chiral fermion representation in our approach as we described above. However this is a still unresolved problem to be considered in future works. Moreover, we can claim that we deal with GSW-model and QCD-model in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory. Our theory is a real candidate of TOE. Moreover, it does not make any development to "modern" Kaluza–Klein Theory for the reasons given in Conclusions of Ref. [9]. Now we are waiting for results from new LHC and future accelerators.

The nonsymmetric metric considered here is a crucial point and it has real physical motivation described in the paper (dielectric model of a confinement and a correct pattern of $W^{\pm}, Z^0$ and Higgs bosons in GSW-model). The gravitational influence on GSW-model (Higgs kinetic energy) can be of course testable in an experiment as a skewon-Higgs' interaction which may be discovered in LHC even before graviton-Higgs' interaction.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor B. Lesyng for the opportunity to carry out computations using Mathematica$^{\text{TM}}$ 9[1] in the Centre of Excellence BioExploratorium, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland.

[1] Mathematica$^{\text{TM}}$ is the registered mark of Wolfram Co.

References

[1] M. W. Kalinowski, *Nonsymmetric Fields Theory and its Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore 1990.

[2] M. W. Kalinowski, *Can we get confinement from extra dimensions?*, in: Physics of Elementary Interactions (ed. Z. Ajduk, S. Pokorski, A. K. Wróblewski), World Scientific, Singapore 1991, p. 294.

[3] M. W. Kalinowski, *Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein* (*Jordan–Thiry*) *Theory in a general non-abelian case*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **30**, p. 281 (1991).

[4] M. W. Kalinowski, *Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein* (*Jordan–Thiry*) *Theory in the electromagnetic case*, Int. Journal of Theor. Phys. **31**, p. 611 (1992).

[5] M. W. Kalinowski, *Scalar fields in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein* (*Jordan–Thiry*) *Theory*, arXiv: hep-th/0307242v10, 7 Jul 2015.

[6] J. W. Moffat, *Generalized theory of gravitation and its physical consequences*, in: Proceeding of the VII International School of Gravitation and Cosmology. Erice, Sicilly, ed. by V. de Sabbata, World Scientific, Singapore, p. 127, 1982.

[7] M. W. Kalinowski, *Preliminary applications of the nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein* (*Jordan–Thiry*) *theory to Pioneer 10 and 11 spacecraft anomalous acceleration*, CEAS Space J. **5**, p. 19 (2013).

[8] M. W. Kalinowski, *Pioneer 10 and 11 spacecraft anomalous acceleration in the light of the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein (Jordan–Thiry) Theory*, arXiv: 1502.07745v2.

[9] M. W. Kalinowski, *On some developments in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory*, The European Physical Journal **C74**, id. 2742 (2014).

[10] *McGraw–Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms*, sixth edition, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1989.

[11] H. F. M. Goenner, *On the history of unified field theories*, Living Rev. Relativity **7**, p. 2 (2004).

[12] H. F. M. Goenner, *On the history of unified field theories, Part II* (ca. 1930–ca. 1965), Living Rev. Relativity **17**, p. 5 (2014).

[13] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vols. I and II, John Wiley & Sons, Interscience, New York, 1963 and 1969.

[14] A. Trautman, *Fibre bundles associated with space-time*, Rep. Math. Phys. **1**, p. 29 (1970/71).

[15] T. T. Wu, C. N. Yang, *Concept of nonintegrable factors and global formulation of gauge fields*, Phys. Rev. D **12**, p. 3845 (1975).

[16] S. Pokorski, *Gauge Field Theories*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[17] A. Einstein, *The Meaning of Relativity*, Appendix II, Fifth Edition, revised, Methuen and Co., London, 1951, p. 127.

[18] A. Einstein, *On the generalized theory of gravitation*, Sci. Amer. **182**, p. 13 (1950).

[19] V. Hlavatý, *Geometry of Einstein Unified Field Theory*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen 1957.

[20] J. B. Kogut, *The lattice gauge theory approach to quantum chromodynamics*, Rev. of Modern Phys. **55**, p. 775 (1983).

[21] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee, *Quantum chromodynamics and the soliton model of hadrons*, Phys. Rev. **D18**, p. 2623 (1978).

[22] T. D. Lee, *Feynman rules of quantum chromodynamics inside a hadron*, Phys. Rev. **D19**, p. 1802 (1979).

[23] T. D. Lee, *Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory*, Harwood, New York 1981.

[24] H. Lehman, T. T. Wu, *Classical models of confinement*, Nucl. Phys. **B237**, p. 205 (1984).

[25] H. Lehman, T. T. Wu, *Classical models of confinement* II, Comm. Math. Phys. **97**, p. 161 (1985).

[26] G. V. Efimov, M. A. Irmov, *The Quark Confinement Model of Hadrons*, CRC Press, New York 1993.

[27] G. V. Efimov, A. C. Kallomatis, S. N. Nedelko, *Confining properties of the homogeneous self-dual field and the effective potential in $SU(2)$ Yang–Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. **D59**, id. 014026 (1999).

[28] Yu. P. Goncharov, *Black hole physics, confining solutions of $SU(3)$ Yang–Mills equations and relativistic models of mesons*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16**, p. 557 (2001).

[29] Yu. P. Goncharov, *Structure of the confining solutions for $SU(3)$–Yang–Mills' equations and confinement mechanism*, Phys. Lett. **B617**, p. 67 (2005).

[30] Yu. P. Goncharov, *Intersections of black hole theory and quantum chromodynamics. The gluon propagator corresponding to linear confinement at large distances and relativistic bound states in the confining $SU(N)$–Yang–Mills' fields*, in: New Developments in Black Hole Research (ed. P. V. Kreitler), Nova Science, 2000, p. 67, arXiv: hep-th/0512099.

[31] Yu. P. Goncharov, N. E. Firsova, *Classical model of confinement*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, p. 1155 (2010).

[32] A. Ashtekar, J. Lewandowski, *Background quantum gravity: a status report*, Class. Quantum Gravity **21**, p. R53 (2004).

[33] S. K. Wong, *Field and particle equations for classical Yang–Mills' field and particles with isotopic spin*, Il Nuovo Cimento **A65**, p. 689 (1970).

[34] R. Kerner, *Generalization of Kaluza–Klein theory for an arbitrary nonabelian gauge group*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **IX**, p. 143 (1968).

[35] W. Kopczyński, *A fibre bundle description of coupled gravitational and gauge fields*, in: Differential Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics, Springer, Berlin 1980, p. 462.

[36] A. Trautman, *Yang–Mills' theory and gravitation: a comparison*, in: Geometric Techniques in Gauge Theories (Scheveningen, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. 926, Springer, Berlin 1982, p. 179.

[37] J. W. Moffat, *New theory of gravitation*, Phys. Rev. **D19**, p. 3554 (1979).

[38] G. Kunstatter, J. W. Moffat, J. Malzan, *Geometrical interpretation of a generalized theory of gravitation*, J. Math. Phys. **24**, p. 886 (1983).

[39] I. L. Kantor, A. S. Solodovnikov, *Hypercomplex Numbers* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow 1973.

[40] H. C. Wang, *On invariant connection on principal fibre bundle*, Nagoya Math. J. **13**, p. 19 (1958).

[41] J. Harnad, S. Shnider, J. Tafel, *Group actions on principal bundles and invariance conditions for gauge fields*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, p. 2719 (1980).

[42] J. Harnad, S. Shnider, J. Tafel, *Group actions on principal bundles and dimensional reduction*, Lett. in Math. Phys. **4**, p. 107 (1980).

[43] N. S. Manton, *A new six-dimensional approach to the Weinberg–Salam model*, Nucl. Phys. **B158**, p. 141 (1979).

[44] P. Forgacs, N. S. Manton, *Space-time symmetries in gauge theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **72**, p. 15 (1980).

[45] G. Chapline, N. S. Manton, *The geometrical significance of certain Higgs' potentials: an approach to grand unifications*, Nucl. Phys. **B184**, p. 391 (1981).

[46] W. Meclenburg, *Geometrical unification of gauge and Higgs' fields*, preprint ITCP IC/79/131, Trieste 1979.

[47] W. Meclenburg, *Towards a unified theory for gauge and Higgs' fields*, preprint ITCP IC/81/8, Trieste 1981.

[48] E. Witten, *Some exact multipseudo-particle solutions of classical Yang–Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, p. 121 (1977).

[49] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1987.

[50] J. Cheeger, D. G. Ebin, *Comparison Theorem in Riemannian Geometry*, North Holland/American Elsevier, New York, Amsterdam, Oxford 1975.

[51] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), *2014 review of particle physics*, Chin. Phys. C **38**, id. 090001 (2014).

[52] ATLAS Collaboration *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs' boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, Physics Lett. **B716**, p. 1 (2012).

[53] CMS Collaboration *Observation of a new boson at a mass* 125 GeV *with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, Physics Lett. **B716**, p. 30 (2012).

[54] W. M. Yao, *Standard model Higgs searches at the tevatron*, Int. J. of Modern Phys. **A27**, id. 1230023 (2012).

[55] CMS Collaboration *Evidence for the direct decay of the* 125 GeV *Higgs' boson to fermions*, `DOI 10.1038/nphys3005` (2014).

[56] W. Hollik, *Twenty years of Δr, the W–Z mass correlations*, Journal of Physics **G29**, p. 131 (2002).

[57] P. H. Chankourki, A. Dabelstein, W. Hollik, W. M. Mosie, S. Pokorski, J. Rosiek, *Delta R in the MSSM*, Nucl. Phys. **B417**, p. 101 (1994).

[58] A. Trectas, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, W. Walter, G. Weiglein, *Two-loop electroweak contributions to Δr*, arXiv: hep-ph/0101260v1, 23 Jan 2001; 5th International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (RADCOR 2000), Carmel, CA, USA, 11–15 Sep 2000.

[59] R. C. Wrede, *"n" Dimensional Considerations of Basic Principles A and B of the Unified Theory of Relativity*, Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Indiana University, August 1956; published partially in Tensor (N.S.) **8**, p. 95 (1958).

[60] M. W. Kalinowski, R. B. Mann, *Linear approximation in the nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein theory*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **1**, p. 157 (1984).

[61] M. W. Kalinowski, *Vanishing of the cosmological constant in non-Abelian Kaluza–Klein Theory*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **22**, p. 385 (1983).

[62] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer, New York 1972.

[63] R. Barbieri, M. Beccaria, P. Ciafalori, G. Curci, A. Vicere, *Radiative correction effects of a very heavy top*, Phys. Lett. **B288**, p. 95 (1992); erratum: ibid. **B312**, p. 511 (1993).

[64] R. Barbieri, M. Beccaria, P. Ciafalori, G. Curci, A. Vicere, *Two loop heavy-top effects in the Standard Model*, Nucl. Phys. **B409**, p. 105 (1993).

[65] M. W. Kalinowski, *The program of geometrization of physics. Some philosophical remarks*, Synthese **77**, p. 129 (1988).

[66] M. W. Kalinowski, *Spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs' mechanism in the Nonsymmetric Kaluza–Klein Theory*, Annales of Physics (N.Y.) **148**, p. 214 (1983).

[67] T.-P. Cheng, L.-T. Li, *Gauge Theories of Elementary Particle Physics*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 2000.

[68] L. Silberstein, *Elektromagnetische Grundgleichungen in bivectorieller Behandlung*, Ann. der Phys. **327**, S. 597 (1907).

[69] L. Silberstein, *Nachtrag zur Abhandlung über "Elektromagnetische Grundgleichungen in bivectorieller Behandlung"*, Ann. der Phys. **329**, S. 783 (1907).

[70] H. Weber, *Die partielle Differential-Gleichungen der mathematischen Physik nach Riemann's Vorlesungen bearbeitet von Heinrich Weber*, Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig 1901.

[71] I. Białynicki-Birula, Z. Białynicka-Birula, *The role of the Riemann–Silberstein vector in classical and quantum theories of electromagnetism*, J. Phys. A Math. and Theor. **46**, id. 053001 (2013); corrigendum: J. Phys. A Math. and Theor. **46**, id. 159501 (2013).

[72] G. V. Efimov, *Non-local quantum theory of the scalar field*, Comm. in Math. Phys. **5**, p. 43 (1967).

[73] G. V. Efimov, *On the construction of nonlocal quantum electrodynamics*, Annals of Physics **71**, p. 466 (1971).

[74] E. T. Tomboulis, *Superrenormalizable gauge and gravitational theories*, arXiv: hep-th/9702146v1.

[75] L. Modesto, L. Rachwał, *Super-renormalizable and finite gravitational theories*, Nuclear Physics **B889**, p. 228 (2014).

[76] J. W. Moffat, *Ultraviolet complete electroweak model without a Higgs' particle*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **126**, p. 33 (2011).

[77] J. W. Moffat, *Ultraviolet complete quantum gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **126**, p. 43 (2011).

[78] V. N. Popov, *Path integrals in quantum field theory and statistical physics* (in Russian), Atomizdat, Moscow 1976.

[79] J. Thierry-Mieg, *Explicit classical construction of the Faddeev–Popov ghost field*, Il Nuovo Cimento **56A**, p. 396 (1980).

[80] J. Thierry-Mieg, *Geometrical reinterpretation of Faddeev–Popov ghost particles and BRS transformation*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, p. 2834 (1980).

[81] R. B. Mann, *Linear approximation in a new theory of gravity*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **14**, p. 2367 (1981).

[82] R. B. Mann, J. W. Moffat, *Ghost properties of generalized theories of gravitation*, Phys. Rev. **D26**, p. 1858 (1982).

[83] R. B. Mann, *Five theories of gravity*, Class. Quantum Gravity **1**, p. 561 (1984).

[84] R. B. Mann, *New ghost free extensions of general relativity*, Class. Quantum Grav. **6**, p. 41 (1989).

[85] T. Appelquist, C. Bernard, *Strongly interacting Higgs bosons*, Phys. Rev. **D27**, p. 200 (1980).

[86] L. B. Hudson, R. Kantoushi, *Higgs' fields from symmetric connections—the bundle picture*, J. Math. Phys. **25**, p. 3093 (1984).

[87] C. Coquereaux, A. Jadczyk, *Symmetries of Einstein–Yang–Mills fields and dimensional reduction*, Comm. Math. Phys. **98**, p. 79 (1985).

[88] R. Kerner, *Multiple fiber bundles and gauge theories of higher order*, J. Math. Phys. **24**, p. 356 (1983).

[89] F. W. Hehl, E. A. Lord, Y. Ne'eman, *Hypermomentum in hadron dynamics and in gravitation*. Phys. Rev. D **17**, p. 428 (1978).

[90] R. Kerner, *Geometrical background for the unified field theories: the Einstein–Cartan theory over a principal fibre bundle*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **34**, p. 437 (1981).

[91] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, J. Madore, *Noncommutative differential geometry and new models of gauge theory*, J. Math. Phys. **31**, p. 323 (1990).

[92] A. Connes, *Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **182** , p. 155 (1996).

[93] C. Wetterch, *Chiral fermion generations from higher dimensional gravity*, Nuclear Phys. **B244**, p. 359 (1984).

[94] Y. Ne'eman, T. Regge, *Gauge theory of gravity and supergravity on a group manifold*, Rivista del Nuovo Cimento **1**, p. 1 (1978).

[95] Y. Ne'eman, *Internal supergroup prediction for the Goldstone–Higgs particle mass*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **B181**, p. 308 (1986).

[96] Y. Ne'eman, J. Thierry-Mieg, *Geometrical gauge theory of ghost and Goldstone fields and of ghost symmetries*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **77**, p. 720 (1980).

[97] A. Crumeyrolle, *Variétés différentiables a coordonnées hypercomplexes. Application a une géométrisation et a une généralisation de la théorie d'Einstein-Schrödinger*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse (4) **26**, p. 105 (1962).

[98] M. Consoli, *A weak, attractive, long-range force in Higgs condensations*, Phys. Lett. **B541**, p. 307 (2002).

[99] R. Onofrio, *On weak interactions as short-distance manifestations of gravity*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A28**, issue 7, 135022 (2013).

[100] S. Alexander, A. Marciano, L. Smolin, *Gravitational origin of the weak interactions chirality*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 065017 (2014).

[101] C. Chafin, *Gauge freedom and relativity. A unified treatment of electromagnetism, gravity and the Dirac field*, arXiv: 1403.7862.